

CHILI TOWN BOARD

March 21, 2007

A meeting of the Chili Town Board was held on March 21, 2007 at the Chili Town Hall, 3333 Chili Avenue, Rochester, New York 14624 at 7:00 p.m. The meeting was called to order by Supervisor Logel.

PRESENT: Councilwoman Ignatowski, Councilman Schulmerich, Councilman Slattery, Councilwoman Sperr and Supervisor Logel.

ALSO PRESENT: Joseph Carr, Commissioner of Public Works/Superintendent of Highways; Dawn Forte, Supervisor's Secretary; Dianne O'Meara, Director of Finance; Rosemary Rozzo, Deputy Town Clerk; Richard Stowe, Counsel for the Town; Eric Vail, Insurance Counselor;

The invocation was given by Rosemary Rozzo.

The Pledge of Allegiance was cited. The fire safety exits were identified for those present.

At this point, a Public Forum was conducted to allow public speakers to address the Town Board. Three speakers addressed the Town Board on various subjects, and the Public Forum concluded at 7:16 p.m.

TOWN LIAISON REPORTS:

Conservation Report by Virginia Ignatowski

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Both Conservation and Drainage have not met since our last meeting.

Drainage Report by Virginia Ignatowski

(COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Both Conservation and Drainage have not met since our last meeting.)

Historic Preservation Report by Mary Sperr

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I know Historic Preservation met last night, so.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Yes. If I could just briefly mention that the majority of the Town Board attended this training session last night that was hosted by the Historic Preservation Board for their members, and we did have a member of our Planning Board there and several residents. And the purpose of the training was to give people an idea of what historic preservation is all about and some opportunities that we may have.

It was -- the presenters were Bob Englert — he's a Program Analyst with the State in the Office of Parks Recreation and Historic Preservation, or SHPO, if you're familiar with that, and also Cynthia Hauk who led the discussion. They did a great job. I think everyone who was there last night really got a lot out of it, and I think it will energize our Historic Preservation Board a little more and give them some ideas as to what they can do with regard to Historic Preservation across the Town.

Library Report by Michael Slattery

Councilman Slattery indicated the Library Board has not met since the last Town Board meeting.

Recreation Report by Supervisor Logel

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Parks and Recreation met and discussed the Easter Egg Hunt that is

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

coming up and the pizza with the Easter Bunny that sold out on Friday night. There are not any tickets left for that. I forgot the date and I don't have it in front of me -- the Easter Egg Extravaganza. The Easter Egg coloring we're open up to everybody to come to it. Every child accompanied by an adult, won't cost anything and they can color and decorate Easter eggs. I want to say it is April 4th.

DAWN FORTE: April 4th.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: That is still open. The Easter Egg Hunt on Saturday morning, it's open to anyone that wants to come. It starts promptly at 10 o'clock. It is very important that you be there -- anybody that is going to bring little children there for the Easter Egg Hunt be there ahead of time so they can start right promptly at ten.

It worked very well at Davis Park. I know we all wore our boots, and hopefully this year it will be a little bit dryer, but if not, put your boots on and join us because it was a lot of fun.

And we also talked about the Chil-E Fest. I -- we placed a phone call to the owners of the plaza about the possibility of using the plaza for some of it this year, and the owners of the plaza have given the go-ahead if the two franchises that are still -- they still have not heard from say it is okay. So it is possible we'll be able to do at least the band stand and all of that on black top so we won't have to contend with the short-out that we had last year from the water and the rains that we had. So that is promising. It looks like we can be able to be there.

Traffic & Safety Report by Mary Sperr

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Traffic Safety?

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Has not met, but an issue was raised at the last Town Board meeting by a resident about a safety issue on Union Street. And after doing some research in past notes, that issue was discussed. A letter was sent to the State and a letter had come back that it was ineligible. The grade was too steep to put a guard rail down on Union Street where -- I believe it was Mr. Pikuet had asked for. And a copy of that will be sent to him.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Did you check on what sign it was that was stolen? Because we announced that it was one sign, and now I understand there are two signs that have actually been stolen.

The moveable, temporary signs.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: The moveable signs.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: That there are two that are missing now.

JOSEPH CARR: Initially we purchased five signs, and of those signs, two have now been stolen. We replaced one. In fact, the bill is here on the abstract for that replacement. I'm not intending to replace the other one. It was somewhat of a duplicate, so presently we have four. That's what we're going enter the season with.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Is it possible for us to do what they do at the County? I'm not sure if it was you, Michael (Slattery), who was saying how they fix them at the County so they don't get stolen. They put a bolt through and then they severe off the head so it is impossible to unthread it.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: No, I did not say that.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: It wasn't you. Someone told me that. I'm just wondering, can we do that? If it is so easy to unbolt them.

JOSEPH CARR: We do take some measures, but with the particular sign, the way we use it, we didn't do that method. We put some material on the nuts and bolts to try to discourage people, but it didn't work, and so that one we lost.

But we'll --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I thought about writing about it in my column, thinking maybe if some parent sees it on their child's wall, they will --

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I know where Joe (Carr) is coming from. They're only up two weeks, taken down and moved to another location. The idea is people won't be so familiar with the signs that you just won't see them any more and they blend into the landscape. So that was the idea. And to be able to not purchase so many of them, we would move them around to different neighborhoods. So I'm sure it will come back up in discussion, at the next meeting they will want to discuss it.

Planning and Zoning Boards Report by Dennis Schulmerich

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Okay. Zoning?

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Zoning has not met since.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Planning Board?

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Planning Board did meet. They tabled old business. They tabled Metalico one more time at the applicant's request. There were six public hearings. Three of them were related to conditional use and three were related to site plan, each approved. And discussion items, they started discussion on a 60-unit apartment project on Union Street and proposal by the Turkish Society of Rochester for a house of worship. That's it.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Was that approved?

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: It was for discussion only.

MATTERS OF THE SUPERVISOR:

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Okay. Under Matters of the Supervisor, um, there are a couple of these things that came up tonight that can be covered now.

The budget, I want to just say on the Association of Towns, the budget was for five -- is for five Town Board members, maximum budget for the five Town Board members is 7,000, 1400 per person. That is the maximum for five Town Board members.

There are other people who go, judges, other people that attend. But as far as this Board's budget -- it was in the budget for that association.

And I agree with you, it is expensive in New York City. And -- but they have had it there I think forever. I don't think it has ever been anywhere else. So I think it would take -- I can mention it, but I think would it take far more than us, when there are thousands of people that go down there, to change it.

On the microphone system, Chris (Levey) has order the part, and I'm not sure if he has actually got it in that is supposed to fix it so there are no more sound problems.

And the roof, Joe (Carr). Would you like to answer at this time, if you could, please, the -- what the plan is for the Senior Center roof?

JOSEPH CARR: Obviously the last two months we have had some very severe weather. Very first step in that process is to remove the roofing material, removing the insulation, remove the asbestos layer which has to be done by a specialty company, and you do not want to uncover a roof system in this kind of weather. I do suspect that within -- let me use the term "short period of time" we will be able to start that project, but it is absolutely weather dependent. The cold elements are a problem and the wet conditions are a problem.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I had asked at the last Town Board meeting if we could get the written permission to be able to disclose the appraisal on the old Town Hall site. Was that given yet?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Mr. O'Toole was meeting -- was contacting them again and talking with the Judge.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Okay.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: And that's it --

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: The judge on the appraisal of the old Town Hall?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I'm sorry, you know what I am -- I was thinking about Stage Coach. I'm sorry. The appraisal.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Yes. Because there is language within the appraisal that prohibits us from disclosing any of the contents to the media or anybody else. It's -- unless there is written permission given. At last Town Board meeting I brought that up.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I didn't ask for written permission.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Certainly I think residents have a right to know information on that.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Okay.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Since we have met with John Caruso of Passero this past Monday and a workshop is being recommended, is it possible for us to get some dates set up so we can quickly get to that and the public will be able to find out the exciting things we did on Monday?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We can go over dates tomorrow.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I don't know if that is something that can be taken care of at Town Board meeting. I recognize it will take a good hour or longer -- workshop or Town Board.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We can look at the agenda for April 1st and see how much is on there. Add an hour to that instead.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: As long as we use a workshop format while that is going on, I'm fine with that.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: So maybe we would end this meeting and then go into workshop format.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I consider a workshop to be more interactive than the format of the Town Board. If we want to try to run the Town Board as a --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: That is true. If you --

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I would like to be able to be around a table and look at the diagrams and be able to have interactive dialogue.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I got you.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We can do it as a separate.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Because I know how difficult it can be to get --

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I don't mind the same night as the Town Board. We can do them back to back.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I just assume we stay later.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Or we can start earlier if that could work out.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: That is true, too.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: If you start your workshop earlier, you're obligated to end it at 7.

Whereas, if you do it at the end, you can go over.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Or you can repost the Town Board meeting to start at 7:30 or so --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: That is an idea.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Give us --

RICHARD STOWE: You have two public hearings scheduled for April and --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We won't do that.

RICHARD STOWE: I encourage you not to mess with that.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Let's see if there are available dates between now and then and maybe we can have it as a workshop then.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: The minutes --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Supervisor, under Matters of the Supervisor, I have one -- I have actually a comment. We talked about the sound a little bit. I thought it was interesting reading the Gates-Chili Post regarding the Gates Town Supervisor's comments regarding the video of the Town Board meetings and where they're leaning and the cost associated with what he plans on doing with the Town of Greece as well on how the cost was comparable to what he is paying now.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I have not read the article.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That was last week.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Haven't read it yet.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I was just saying, it was interesting just looking at the cost associated with which route you go is comparable.

Um, secondly, in regards to the plaza, the potential of having the Chil-E Fest there, do we know, or can you check and get back to us at the next meeting the status of the plaza and the -- the plans to see how far along they are in regards -- or when they're going to complete the requirements for the plaza, such as the lighting and so forth. They had portable lighting up, and it has gone on for quite a while, and I have got a couple of comments from people that attend the Jazzercise in the a.m., and how dark it is.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: At night or in the morning?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: In the a.m. With, you know, how dark it is and so forth, and --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: That's a good idea.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Nothing has been worked on up there for a while, so.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I know they put permanent speed bumps in.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Yes. I found that out, as well.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Found out by accident.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Those should be highlighted a little bit.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: They should have yellow on them, because I -- Peter (Logel)'s car is cut quite low for the ramp.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: We're fortunate there is an Auto Zone there.

(Laughter.)

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: So those should definitely be painted yellow.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Couple banks. We're short on pizzerias, though.

(Laughter.)

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: One more follow-up question from our last meeting. Regarding the maps. Um, just hoping that we're at a point where the RFP will go out, and my question is --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I'm signing here the contract, for RLB finally. Rich (Stowe) had to, you know, write this -- or excuse me, approve this. He just approved it now for me to sign.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Great. My question is who do you have as part of the selection committee to hire the -- to select the consultant from the proposals that we'll receive?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: The RFPs come in.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: When the proposals that you generate, that you receive from the RFP, who do we have on the selection committee to choose?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We'll have to have a selection committee.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I would volunteer to participate.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I think the whole Town Board and Joe Carr and Mr. Brand would be a good idea.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Okay.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And one last thing, Supervisor, I'm sorry. I crossed it out, but I didn't address it or ask it. Can you give an update on the Assets Committee with the Gates-Chili School District, where they are, and what has taken place?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: There is another breakfast meeting coming up at 8 a.m. in a few, couple days. We're working -- they just did a presentation to the Town Board meeting -- excuse me, the School Board meeting on how they're working with the different areas, because trying to join together the elementary schools with the middle schools and the senior high and have a theme that crosses all across the three schools, you know, is very, very difficult. So what they have done is they have come up with a poster, and they're hoping to carry this poster of assets through, but what they want to do -- there are 40 development assets, and don't ask me to repeat them, because I don't have them that I can rattle them off and I don't have the material in here with me. I can send Dawn (Forte) for it if you wanted it.

Basically what they want to do, be able at the elementary school level to have very simplistic posters on the wall. This might be the Hall of Compassion. And then this would be the Hall of Understanding. Basically so you start teaching to children the basic concepts of interactive relationships that build assets of -- positive assets in children. So you start out in the elementary.

Then the middle school, they're stepping up to a harder level of definition of some of these, and then the senior level, and basically that's where we're at right at the moment. I don't know. I can give you a full written report or give you copies on anything you want on the developmental assets.

County wide, they're being worked on, and it is the County that is running a workshop, a breakfast meeting at 8 o'clock, and that's basically open to anyone who would like to be interested or get involved. I don't know if Churchville-Chili is involved in this or not. It would be good to find out and if the other school districts are -- but I know Gates-Chili is moving ahead very, very quickly, and they're planning a family -- as part of that, they're working on a Family Day again this year, in September, which the School District will -- is behind, and because it takes place in Gates, Gates is heavily behind it, but they're asking that the Town of Chili and our -- start getting involved in this.

And it's hard because we're so split. You know Chili is not just one school district. It's not just Gates-Chili. It's got Churchville-Chili, Wheatland-Chili and Cal-Mum, so those are on the east side, or the Gates-Chili side would be -- you know, but it is open to anybody from the Town.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I know that we're invited to the ribbon cutting tomorrow

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

afternoon --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: That's for the different --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I know. Let me finish.

-- for the Gates-Chili, for the new addition they just built. And not having -- basically just having a couple of the elementary schools within our Town, and the large portion of those school districts outside of our Town, um, I think that's important that we're involved, as well.

Who has been representing the Town at the asset meetings?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Michael Curley for recreation.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Okay. Any information that you get, actually I was talking to somebody involved with it who was giving me an update on what -- some of the stuff that was going on, so I just -- for the Board's, you know, interest, so. Yeah, if there is more information.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: There's reams of information.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Okay. Thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I just have one last thing, as well. I had e-mailed a while ago about the opening on the Recreation Advisory Committee and I made a suggestion. I didn't hear back from anybody. And we also still have a couple of positions open --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I think it has been so long since we interviewed, I couldn't put a name with the face on that particular one.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I was okay with the person you had.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Is that okay?

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I know that individual had indicated it was like -- that they were interested on being on that and it seemed like a --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Are the rest of you okay?

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: It seemed like an easy decision and we also still have an opening on the Zoning Board.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Is it Robert Springer?

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Robert Springer.

The 2/26/07 Town Board Meeting Minutes were approved as submitted.

The 2/15/07 Town Board Workshop Minutes were approved as modified.

The 2/28/07 Town Board Workshop Minutes were approved as submitted.

REPORTS SUBMITTED:

Community Center Revenue Report – February 2007

Recreation Center Revenue Report – February 2007

Senior Center Revenue Report – February 2007

Drainage Committee Minutes – 2/6/07

Planning Board Minutes – 1/9/07, 2/13/07

Recreation Advisory Committee – 1/10/07

Traffic & Safety Committee – Minutes 2/1/07

Zoning Board of Appeals – 1/23/07

OLD BUSINESS:

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We have a resolution to remove from the table 113.

Mr. Carr, these were the welcome signs they had questions about you about the repair.

There was a discussion off the record.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We had this up last time, someone on the Board asked questions

about -- that is why we tabled it.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I was asking for -- for a breakdown of the cost, better understanding. Mr. Carr has provided sufficient information and side conversations with Councilwoman Ignatowski, I'm comfortable with the dollar amount for upgrading and refurbishing our signs.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: So we had our questions answered ahead of time.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I had mine answered.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Anybody else have any?

(Indication was there were no more questions.)

BE IT RESOLVED to remove from the table Resolution #113 which was tabled from the February 7, 2007.

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #113 RE: Repair I-490 Welcome Signs

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery

WHEREAS, the two "Welcome to Chili" signs located on I-490 expressway have deteriorated over time and are in need of repair; and

WHEREAS, a quote was obtained from Signlanguage Inc., the company which manufactured these signs, to re-furbish both signs at a total cost of \$3,000.00

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, to authorize Signlanguage Inc. to proceed with the proposed repair work at a cost not to exceed \$3,000.00, to be paid from account A 7110.4 (Parks – Contractual).

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I think we tabled this last month because Mr. Carr was -- was in the here, and they -- there were several questions, some of which you heard from the audience tonight, around the bid, whether it was --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Competitive.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: -- whether it was bid appropriately, whether we have a maximum amount to spend. We just want to get some background from you on -- before we vote. No objection to moving forward with it last month with the exception that having a better understanding of that background would be helpful for us.

JOSEPH CARR: Let me indicate some history as to how we got to this point. Approximately five years ago, we put this -- put out a request for proposals. I think it actually was in the form of bids. We received three or four. We reviewed all of those. There were a number of complications with this one or that one. For example, it had to do with who was providing a vehicle and what the cost was, those types of things.

At the end of that process, the Town made a decision that -- to go with Pro-Tect Security. Since that time, I have not put this out for bid again. We have been extending this contract. It has been my understanding that Pro-Tect, at least from my standpoint, is providing us with excellent service, excellent communication. They simply have been doing a good job for us, and we have been extending the original contract. I think that is essentially the history, as to where we were with this. With respect

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

to whether we are following the Town's procurement policy on this matter, I would say we are. Does it require us to go out for bid again, well within our -- we're acting properly and we're acting within the confines of the procurement policy established by the Town.

Are there any other questions?

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Is there a not to exceed clause or a fixed dollar figure for the -- budget for security for the parks?

JOSEPH CARR: When I prepared the budgets, there is a separate line item for parks security, and this resolution fits within the budget that I had established.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: That's the answer I expected to hear. I just wanted to have it stated to the public.

JOSEPH CARR: I would say so it is very clear to everyone, this proposal does include an increase in the rate. We have not increased the rate for the past five years. This is the first time, and to accommodate the increase -- the increases in cost for implementing insurance and fuel, this seems reasonable to me that after a five-year period, that a dollar an hour was reasonable. I just wanted you to be aware of the fact that it is increased.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Increased by one dollar per hour in the rate.

JOSEPH CARR: That's correct.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Joe (Carr), there was also a question about if they were licensed and approved by New York State for doing this particular work. Do they need to have that licensing, I guess, would be the first question.

JOSEPH CARR: It has been quite a while. I will say five years since I reviewed those particular requirements. As you may recall, most members of -- let me call it -- the team or the employees of this Pro-Tect Security are either current or former police officers. That is one of the reasons that we went with them in the first place.

I believe they're -- there has been the last couple of years a younger person who either is going through the training to be a policeman or had completed that.

With respect to certifications, it -- it was my understanding that that was not required. I'm not aware that there is a specific requirement for certification to perform this duty. To my knowledge. I -- I'm not certain of that. But that's what I recall, when we first established this.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I do remember that question being asked, I think, back when we first went with them. I think Councilman Slattery had brought that up at that point.

There was another question about insurance. I don't know -- do they carry their own insurance? Are they under the umbrella of ours?

JOSEPH CARR: They are a private company. Prior to their starting work, we require an insurance certificate, and I work with the insurance counselor for that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Joe (Carr), I believe in regards to the reference to that young gentleman you're talking about, he is a Rochester policeman at this time.

JOSEPH CARR: Is?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I believe so.

JOSEPH CARR: Completed his --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I believe so. But in regards to the certification under the New York State law, from when Mario Cuomo left to perform that role, I do believe -- pretty positive you do have to have that certification. So. But -- but it is something for you to look into.

JOSEPH CARR: I will look into that and I will report back to you.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Thank you, Joe (Carr).

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I guess, you know, since it has been five years, perhaps next year would be advisable to go through the RFP process again, so -- it has been a number of years, to insure that we -- there is not a procedure out there that we could avail ourselves of.

JOSEPH CARR: I understand.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Joe (Carr), and then also, just comment in regards -- I'm not sure if all of the Board and the community is aware that they -- they have a sign-in sheet and they turn in nightly reports to the Parks Department and so forth, so -- so if there is an incident, you know, there are procedures in place. There are reports that are taken and so forth, and -- so I know that that is done as

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

well, in case there are questions or problems in regard to that.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Supervisor, one last thing. There was a comment being made that -- why we're not holding a public hearing on this particular resolution. This is not an action that requires a public hearing.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: That's correct.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: So that is why there isn't one. Certainly the public can speak to that resolution during the public forum. But this is not does not require a public hearing.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: The only other question that was ever asked of me was do they use a marked vehicle. It is a specific -- says security, or -- on it, or --

JOSEPH CARR: They -- they have a vehicle that is marked as security. It's blue, I think, with a white top. I don't recall the make of this, but it -- it stands out. I don't want to infer that they use it every hour, every night, but I see it most every night.

It's like a --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Small jeep.

JOSEPH CARR: A scout or something.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: A small SUV.

JOSEPH CARR: Okay. So --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: And the parks that they're checking are?

JOSEPH CARR: All Town -- all Town properties: Union Station Park, Baker property, Town Hall property, Ballantyne Park, Hubbard Park, Yolanda Park. They have duties and responsibilities at Memorial Park and Davis Park. But throughout their -- each shift, they are traveling more than once through all of our facilities, and as Councilman Slattery said, we get a nightly report. We do get special reports. They have our cell phones. They, in my opinion, are doing an excellent job.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Joe (Carr), and also in regards to that, the vehicles, if they're driving a separate vehicle, I believe they have the magnetic security signs that go on the sides of the other vehicles.

JOSEPH CARR: You're correct.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Thank you, Joe (Carr).

BE IT RESOLVED to remove from the table Resolution #126 which was tabled from the March 7, 2007.

OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery

SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION # 126 RE: Park Security Service

OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery

SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich

WHEREAS, the Town has contracted with Pro-Tect Security in the past years to provide evening hours of security services in Town parks during the summer. The Town has received excellent cooperation and security coverage from Pro-Tect Security and would desire to retain the same security services for the coming season,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, to authorize the Supervisor to sign a contract extension with Pro-Tect Security for the above referenced services, to include hourly reimbursement rates of \$25.50 per hour for evening park security.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

NEW BUSINESS:

RESOLUTION #139 RE: Purchase of Parks Mower

OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery

SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Sperr

WHEREAS, under the approved Year 2007 Budget, the Town intends to replace one of the large Parks Department mowers, and

WHEREAS, the desired mower is on the NYS Bid Contracts;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, to authorize the purchase one new HR5111 Jacobsen Mower from S.V. Moffett Co. Inc., under the NYS Bid Contract, at a cost of \$37,209.48 to be paid from account A 7110.2 (Parks-Equipment), and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED to authorize the Commissioner of Public Works, Joseph L. Carr, to dispose of the obsolete year 2000 Jake Mower at the highest value attainable.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION # 140 RE: Letter of Credit Release For Bellaqua Estates Release No. 8

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich

BE IT RESOLVED, that per recommendation of the Town Engineer, \$11,349.00 be released from the letter of credit with First Niagara Bank (#428143) for Bellaqua Estates, leaving a balance of \$58,074.10; subject to engineering fees and street light bills to the Town.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Yes, Supervisor in regards to this, I just got that letter that just came from New York State from the park naming –

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Right. The e-mail.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Right. From Niagara Frontier region in regards to it. So there is not a concern regarding the name.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Nope. He said quite frankly if we wanted to change -- even though he likes the Union Station and there were grants, all those grants given for Union Station, all we have to do is send them a letter officially changing the name of the -- of a park and we can change it, so there's no problem whether it goes back and forth between Baker or not. The fear we had was we would get locked into Baker, and I know everybody would like to -- and Mr. Curley has talked about whether we should name it historically or something around Town, or whether you want to have a naming contest where people can send in suggestions.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: My one concern, I guess, reading that letter, the sentence that states "A park project where funding is involved requires the grantee to use those funds regardless of park name changes for their original intended purpose."

Is this the \$20,000 that Senator Alessi had gotten? Does this letter match then, or belong with this resolution in regards to information?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Yes, because he changed the designation of the 20,000 that was given to us for the septic and everything so it could be used for this. That was done officially.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That was going to be my next question. Was that follow-up done and are we okay with the way that we spent the money with the Senator and with the grant and so forth?

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Yes, sir.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I just want to make sure that you know, we're not having a problem there. You spoke earlier in regards to the RFP then in regards to the Board -- or was this for something else?

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: That was for the maps.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: The maps. I'm sorry. In regards to this, how is this going to work then in regards to -- you're looking to hire a consultant, and -- for conceptual project plan for Baker property. The -- what information are they going to be going off of? Are they going to be having public, you know, sessions? How -- how are they going to design these, you know, or what they think their proposal would be, the conceptual plan.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I guess Mr. Curley needs to be here to answer your questions.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Okay.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I mean, he has been working with Mr. Caruso on this. And -- it was my impression, and I could -- I don't want to say it is cast in concrete, but that they would oversee this entire thing.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That they would.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: They would.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: My concern is in regards to the last report that came out for Baker property before the Master Plan, and how the -- we had a committee that was formed to work on that, and the report that was submitted was not -- the feelings of the people on the committee, that ended up, you know, being submitted, so I'm concerned with, you know, this plan and who is going to be working on it, and you, know, so --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We had our consultant on that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I'm sorry.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We need to confer with your consultant on that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Right.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I did e-mail Michael Curley earlier this week asking him for some background information in advance of this resolution. And one of the responses I got was this consultant will help to create a conceptual plan for Baker. The consultant will meet with myself and use the recently adopted Parks and Recreation Master Plan and the feedback that was provided during that process to help create a plan and drawings. This plan will then be presented to the Town Board. So I understand that part.

But what I was -- what I'm not clear on is a comment that was made during our public hearing regarding the Baker property relative to the disc golf and the number of holes that disk golf has. Disk golf has 18 holes. There was a comment made that they could possibly change it to nine holes. It would not be my wish, and I just want to give my personal opinion it would not be my wish to change the golf course from 18 to 9 holes to fit other things on that property that he wants to do. I like having disk golf at 18 holes, and the reason I have this opinion is that it is -- I have stated earlier at meetings regarding this topic, that I would want to promote disk golf rather than give up on the fact that we don't have enough attendance at that property. That it is like a diamond in the rough. And it can be promoted by the Town. I think we would have a hard time doing that if we changed it to nine holes. So it was just a comment that was made during some of the public hearings here and I'm not sure where he is coming from.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Michael (Curley), you mean? You mean Mr. Curley?

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Yeah, yeah. I wasn't sure what they were going to be telling the consultant.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: It is hard because he has never discussed reducing the number of holes, other than I remember him sitting right about over there and said that that night that they might, you know, suggest that.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: It was an option.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: It was just an option.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Just wanted to express my personal opinion for the record.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I think if we all went and put our personal opinions in, in any public hearings that they hold or anything they work on, we can certainly do it.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I guess the reason I wanted to go out front with that is if they're going to do a conceptual plan, I would feel that they would have wasted their time if they put a plan together that had to do with -- unless they're doing several options -- that one of the options I would not want to be in support of was to change that to nine holes.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I had conversations with Mr. Curley prior to the Master Plan and -- for recreation and parks update, and there were some conceptual drawings that had been put together ad hoc that were looking at multiple options around Baker. When the \$20,000 was divvied into the 15 for the Master Plan Update and 5 reserved for the conceptual, I think the expectation was to take the outcome from the Master Plan, and then based on that, put your scenarios together in terms of what made sense, present it to the Town Board.

I would like to see an opportunity for public input during that process -- well, either prior to reviewing with the Town Board or actually during the formulation of it. So I'm an advocate of looking at several options. I'm an advocate of incorporating the public into the process, and I guess a recommendation I would make to Mr. Curley is as part and parcel to the RFP, any work that is done around this, that should be given -- the public should be given full opportunity to participate as they did with the Master Plan.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: A public hearing.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Yeah. And -- and if they have any workshops, opportunity for the public to participate.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Okay.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: But the other comment I would like to make is, one -- a comment was made for the audience that it would not seem necessary or appropriate to spend the \$5,000 in conjunction with all of the other money and time that has been spent, um, on -- on the Master Plan. This, in fact, was the plan from the very start. This \$20,000 was apportioned at that point in time so we could formalize the Master Plan and use the outcome from that to then refine Baker Park, so as far as I'm concerned, we're right on track to what we agreed to more than a year and a half ago.

RESOLUTION # 141 RE: RFP for Baker Park

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich

WHEREAS, the Town of Chili received a \$20,000 grant from Senator James Alesi to hire consultants to assist with the Parks and Recreation Master Plan Update as well as a Conceptual Project plan for Baker Park,

AND, WHEREAS, the Parks and Recreation Master Plan Update has been completed,

BE IT RESOLVED that Supervisor Logel is hereby authorized to seek Request for Proposals for a professional consultant to develop a Conceptual Project plan for Baker Park not to exceed \$5000.00.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #142 RE: Order Establishing the Extension of Chili Consolidated Drainage District to Serve the Dario and Rita G. Marchioni Property Located at 100 Old Scottsville,- Chili Road, Tax Map No. 146.03-1-5, Churchville, N.Y. 14428, in the Town of Chili, County of Monroe and State of New York

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich

WHEREAS, at a regular meeting of this Town Board held on February 7, 2007, Resolution # 112 was adopted approving an extension of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District to serve the property at 100 Old Scottsville-Chili Road Churchville, N.Y. 14428, Tax Map No. 146.03-1-5; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board's determination that it is in the public interest to assess all expenses of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District, including this extension and all extensions heretofore or hereafter established, as a charge against the entire area of the district, as extended, was subject to a permissive referendum pursuant to Sec. 206 a of the Town Law; and

WHEREAS, a notice of resolution subject to a permissive referendum containing an abstract of the Town Board's determination was published and posted as required by law; and

WHEREAS, no petition requesting a referendum has been filed;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, that the Chili Consolidated Drainage District is hereby extended, as of this date, to include an area wholly located within the Town of Chili, County of Monroe and State of New York, pursuant to the terms contained in the Town Board Resolution #112, dated February 7, 2007; and said area to be included in said extension is more particularly described in Schedule A which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, and it is further

ORDERED, that the Town Clerk is hereby directed to certify a copy of this Order and forthwith cause said copy to be recorded in the Office of the Monroe County Clerk in which County the Town of Chili is situate and to forward a certified copy of the same (in duplicate) to the New York State Department of Audit and Control.

Upon a call of the Roll of the Members of the Town Board of the Town of Chili:

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Point of clarification based on comment from the audience. Zero figures at the bottom of the page?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Don't have the answer for that because Dick (Brongo) is not here with that. He is the one that does all these.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: All of the resolutions have been this way.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Defer to Counsel?

RICHARD STOWE: I believe it specifically talks about the amount of debt service that is going to be budgeted and that's zero.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Okay.

RICHARD STOWE: That has been historically what has been in those. Dianne (O'Meara), other resolutions that have been adopted setting the drainage district assessments for purposes of the public hearing, we do that at our budget hearings in November, once the actual assessments are there to be spread across the various special districts. This -- the drainage district resolutions typically, when they're coming into the district do not include any amounts specifically that will go with a given property at that point in time. They're lumped into the consolidated district, and then the budget is reviewed and approved as a special district budget and the assessments for those are done prior to our passing the budget, and then once the budget is adopted, the consolidated district costs are shared.

DIANNE O'MEARA: Right. This was for debt service. We don't have any debt service in the drainage district.

RICHARD STOWE: That was my review.

RESOLUTION #143 RE: Order Establishing the Extension of Chili Consolidated Drainage District to Serve 3 Circle Drive Subdivision Located at 3 Circle Drive, Tax Map No.160.010-001-007, Rochester, N.Y. 14623, in the Town of Chili, County of Monroe and State of New York

OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery

SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich

WHEREAS, a written petition, dated March 14, 2007, in due form and containing the required signatures has been presented to and filed with the Town Board of the Town of Chili, Monroe County, New York for the extension of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District to serve the property located at 3 Circle Drive, Rochester, N.Y. 14623, Tax Map No. 160.010-001-007, more particularly described in Schedule A (Property Description) on file in the Town Clerk's Office; and

WHEREAS, if the district extension is approved, the properties within the proposed extension will be eligible to receive the drainage services available to other properties within the Chili Consolidated Drainage District. No drainage improvements are proposed to be constructed within the proposed district extension by the Chili Consolidated Drainage District at this time.

WHEREAS, as stated in the Petition, all costs relating to the formation of the district extension shall be paid by the petitioners.

WHEREAS, except as otherwise provided above, all expenses of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District, including all extensions heretofore and hereafter created, shall be a charge against the entire area of the district, as extended; and

WHEREAS, the estimated cost to the typical property, and, if different, the typical one or two- family home within the Chili Consolidated Drainage District, in the first year following the formation of the district extension for debt service and operation and maintenance charges, is as follows:

Typical Property: _____ \$0.00 _____

Typical One or Two Family Home: _____ \$0.00 _____

WHEREAS, the proposed district extension is an Unlisted Action for the purposes of the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder ("SEQRA"); and

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby,

RESOLVED, that the Chili Town Board is hereby designated "Lead Agency" for the environmental review of this proposed action; and be it

ORDERED, that a meeting of the Town Board of the said Town of Chili shall be held at the Chili Town Hall, 3333 Chili Avenue, Town of Chili, New York on the 2nd day of May, 2007, at 7:00 p.m. to consider the said Petition and to hear all persons interested in the subject thereof and for such other action on the vote of said Town Board in relation to the said Petition as may be proper or required by law; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Town Clerk of the Town of Chili is hereby authorized and directed to publish a copy of this order in the Gates-Chili News and post a copy of the same on the bulletin board in the Office of the Town Clerk, not less than ten (10) days, but not more than twenty (20) days, prior to the date set for said public hearing.

Upon a call of the Roll of the Members of the Town Board of the Town of Chili:

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #144 Re: Amend Chili Fire Department Inc Plan Document

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr

SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery

WHEREAS, voters of the Town of Chili residing in the Fire Protection District served by the Chili Fire Department, Inc. approved a referendum to establish the Chili Fire Department, Inc. Service Award Program effective January 1, 1995 in accordance with Article 11-A of the New York State General Municipal Law; and,

WHEREAS, New York State volunteer firefighter Service Award Programs are governed by Article 11-A of the General Municipal Law; and,

WHEREAS, Article 11-A was amended by Chapter 647 of the laws of 2003 to explicitly state that a Service Award Program may allow post Entitlement Age volunteers to continue to earn service credit,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Town Board of the Town of Chili, by the adoption of this resolution, directs that the Chili Fire Department, Inc. Service Award Program administrative procedures shall be corrected effective January 1, 2006 to allow post Entitlement Age active volunteer firefighters to earn Service Award Program service credit under the point system and to thereby increase their monthly Service Award payments by twenty dollars (\$20) for each year of service credit earned under the point system during a calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2006 which is during or after the firefighter attained the Entitlement Age. Such payment increases shall be effective the January 1st following the year in which the additional service credit was earned and the certain period remaining shall be based on the original benefit commencement date (the effective date of the first monthly service award payment made after the attainment of entitlement age). Service credit shall continue to be limited by the maximum years of service credit allowed under the provisions of the Service Award Program. The cost of this change in administrative practice is estimated to be approximately \$2,500 for each year of post Entitlement Age service credit earned.; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED all other provisions of the Chili Fire Department, Inc. Service Award Program shall not change and the programs shall continue to be administered by the Town in accordance with Article 11-A of the New York State General Municipal Law as such law is amended from time to time.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I did speak with Dennis (Scibetta) about this to get a comfort level. I wanted to make sure we didn't incur any costs associated with this, that no review had been done, and he said no, it didn't -- didn't get anywhere, so I am comfortable refunding this.

RESOLUTION #145 RE: Planning Board Application Refund

OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski

BE IT RESOLVED that per the recommendation of Dennis Scibetta Building and Plumbing Inspector, a refund of \$75.00 (for Planning Board application #223 from account A2115) be issued to James Perna) as his client backed out of the deal prior to the application being presented to the Planning Board.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: This is the resolution that came out of New York, and this is exactly the way the -- the verbiage that we passed in New York City at the Association of Towns.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Just for clarification, in case anybody out there would like to know what this is about, it is kind of along the same lines with the court fees, that the State was looking to take over the court fees from the Towns, and we passed a resolution to protest that. Um, the State is looking at taking over the cable franchising fees that the Town receives, and that's something that the Town funding, we do not want to lose. That was actually a seminar that I attended in New York City at the Association of Towns, as did a number of other Board members, so we feel strongly about this and we do not want -- it passed unanimously at the voting session in New York. It is something I don't think any community wants to lose the money from. It's substantial revenue.

RESOLUTION #146 RE: Preserve Local Cable Franchising Authority, Franchise Fees and Public Rights-Of-Way Management

OFFERED BY: Supervisor Logel

**SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski,
Councilman Schulmerich,
Councilman Slattery,
Councilwoman Sperr**

WHEREAS, local governments competently administer cable franchises in accordance with the authority provided to them from the State and Federal Government, and

WHEREAS, the local franchising process benefits municipalities, service providers and consumers by ensuring franchise fee revenue for use of the public rights-of-way; customer service standards; requirements that cable operators serve the entire community; public, educational and governmental ("PEG") access channels; and municipal management of the public rights-of-way; and

WHEREAS, local governments have a New York State Constitutional obligation (Article 9, 1 (f)) to regulate the public right-of-way in a way that best serves the public interest; and

WHEREAS, towns invest substantial resources in the construction and maintenance public rights-of-way; and

WHEREAS, municipalities welcome competition in the cable industry and stand ready to negotiate franchise agreements with new cable operators in a timely fashion, and

WHEREAS, the State of New York provides an expedited cable franchising process for a company seeking to enter the market where an existing cable provider is located (16 N.Y.C.R.R. 894.7(e) (4)), and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Association of Towns calls upon the State and Federal Government to preserve local franchising authority to preserve New York State Constitutional authority to manage locally owned public rights-of-way in the best interest of the public, and to preserve the taxpayer's authority to receive a fair and reasonable compensation (franchise fee) for the use of publicly owned rights-of-way.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: There were a couple of questions on amounts. Garage door, Alliance Door, Joe (Carr).

JOSEPH CARR: Over time, we have been replacing those garage doors. I budget for it. This

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

expense represents the replacement of the last of the old doors in that building. They are used a great deal. They're large doors. Some of them are wooden. This last one was a metal door, and it was rusting, rotting away. It needed to be replaced. This does represent the last replacement and we should not see that type of expense again.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Joe (Carr), couldn't that door have lasted for a couple more years?

JOSEPH CARR: The fact of the matter is that particular door did fall apart. We had to -- I had budgeted and planned to do it with the last one. Before the replacement happened, it fell apart. We had to scramble to patch it up, get the building closed up. And fortunately, we had told the vendors to get the materials on order so that after the 1st of the year we could do it, and so the -- to answer your question, no, it could not have waited one more week.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Isn't it imperative to have security in your building with those doors closed and locked properly.

JOSEPH CARR: Absolutely. When you consider the amount of dollars that are kept in that garage, it -- that, and to have the building secure and control of the cost for heating, it's very important.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Joe (Carr), in regards to the complex, you know, we are -- comment was made in regards to \$100,000 for the new roof, garage door and so forth. The age of that facility, it's similar to someone's home or their own automobile, they're going to age, they're going to require more care, more dollars put into it. So I think that is what we're continually going to run into, is the costs associated with the upkeep.

JOSEPH CARR: I agree with you. I recall one of the comments when we began discussing these types of things that even if the ultimate decision is to relocate, it might not occur for two, three years, whatever it might take. I cannot stop maintaining, managing our buildings. There -- you are going to continue to see expenses for those buildings. I sincerely hope -- and we'll work to minimize those. The door had to be replaced. I hope that I don't have to spend money to replace things, but we have to maintain our buildings.

They're big buildings. East complex is 12,000 square feet. I have forgotten for the moment the size of the highway garage, but it's a large facility. It was built in -- well, initially 1932, and then there were additions. It's a big complex. We need to keep it going.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Thank you, Joe (Carr).

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I had a question no so much abstract-related. I seen on page 18 a Black Creek bank stabilization project. I know you weren't able to make the last Drainage Committee, so maybe if you can give an update on that. I was just curious where that is and what exactly that is entailing even.

JOSEPH CARR: I can't recall right at this minute how much I have explained about this project, but a grant was secured by the Monroe County Soil and Water Conversation as somewhat of a PILOT project to do some bank stabilization, and they asked if that work could be -- they asked if there were any locations in Black Creek that would be suitable, and you know that we have the Black Creek Water Shed -- what do you call it -- Coalition Group who had completed a -- an inventory of the water shed, and had also had an engineering report completed on things of this nature. Erosion problems. And when this application came out, we -- we were already in place with our report -- State of the Basin Report, and the difficulties.

And so I agreed, on behalf of the Town, to participate in this grant program. And our expenses will be minimal. Most all of the expenses will be covered under this grant. It's a project that we hope to do in the month of August.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Do you have an idea where it is going to be? What part of the --

JOSEPH CARR: I do. On Chili Avenue, opposite Creek View, where the creek comes very close to the road, the engineers did some inspections. They got in a boat and did -- did some depths. There is a slight curve in the creek, and that is severely cut in and it is very deep.

Right at that spot.

So a lot of repair and stabilization would occur there. It may be that all of the project will be in that one location.

They looked at a second project which is where the creek and the bridge on Stottle Road and

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

Black Creek is. I might say that the County -- there is a County highway, Stottle Road, and County Engineering, the Bridge Division are looking closely at upgrading that bridge, so our group and this project are kind of backing away from that until we understand clearly what they're going to do.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Joe (Carr), in that first location, in that area, we have a large culvert pipe that goes under Chili Avenue that -- that takes the run-off from --

JOSEPH CARR: Yes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I will choose my words carefully with what the nickname is.

JOSEPH CARR: Indian Hill?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Yes, up in that area.

JOSEPH CARR: Yes, there is major culvert under that road. It's not right at that point.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: It's in that area.

JOSEPH CARR: But it's in that area. Yes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Large volume of water and that is where it backs up on the north side of Chili Avenue and so forth.

JOSEPH CARR: That's correct.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Supervisor, one other question I would have, in regards to the abstract is on page four, for the -- add the heat run in your bathroom. I see that they -- I looked at the Town Hall complex. Do you know if they looked in the hallway out -- outside your conference room in the men's room? In regards to --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I -- this Mr. Carr did this without me -- I -- knowing about it because for 3 ½ years my office has been in the 60s, and the temperature dropped to 63 and they decided they couldn't get above 63 in there so they went looking for problems.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Not a problem with it. I'm just asking did they look in the men's room and that hallway because there is sort of a foul odor out there.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Oh, Michael (Slattery).

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: The hallway. The hallway does.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Is there an implied cause and effect here?

(Laughter.)

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Joe (Carr).

RICHARD STOWE: Can you help us, Joe (Carr)?

JOSEPH CARR: Yes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I call it like I smell it.

JOSEPH CARR: In all seriousness, with respect to the odor issue, in each of the public bathrooms is a floor drain. It's a trap. And as with any trap, in the plumbing system, you have to have water in that trap. That is what stops the odor from traveling up. And at least a couple times in the winter, we get complaints, and it's because we don't come in and fill the trap with water, because from the normal floor washing and everything, it just doesn't keep enough water there and it evaporates.

Just recently, we refilled those traps. That is the odor issue.

With respect to the heat in the bathroom, for whatever reason, the architects who designed this building, did not provide heat runs in the bathrooms. When I asked them about it, they said, "You don't need that. The residual heat from the other parts of the building will take care of that adequately."

I'm here to tell you that they were wrong.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I can tell you they're wrong, too.

JOSEPH CARR: The Supervisor's bathroom I judged at being 40 degrees, and -- which I think contributed to the malfunction of that particular rooftop system, and I decided it was time to make a correction and I did it, but I will in due time look into the lack of heat in the other public bathrooms.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Actually, they did it while we were in New York.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I was just wondering if they did look into it. You said you're aware of it. So, thank you, Joe (Carr), that's all I have.

RESOLUTION #147 RE: March 21, 2007 Abstract

OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Sperr

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - March 21, 2007

BE IT RESOLVED to pay vouchers 970-1196 totaling \$1,392,142.51 to be paid from the Distribution Account as presented to the Town Board by Richard Brongo, Town Clerk:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| General Fund | \$ 70,952.41 |
| Highway Fund | \$ 99,443.28 |
| Library Fund | \$ 720.00 |
| H43 2006-7 Annual Reassessment | \$ 368.55 |
| Consolidated Drainage | \$ 265.00 |
| Clifton Fire Protection District | \$129,635.00 |
| Chili Fire Protection District | \$870,800.00 |
| Scottsville Fire Protection District | \$ 333.00 |
| Clifton Ambulance District | \$ 19,339.83 |
| Chili Ambulance District | \$196,831.07 |
| Scottsville Ambulance District | \$ 1,980.89 |
| Special Light Districts | <u>\$ 1,473.48</u> |
| TOTAL | \$1,392,142.51 |

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: One comment I forgot to mention earlier. I got a letter and I don't have it with me from Rochelle Bell at the County. As many of you know, in Black Creek Park they have been creating a wetland, and they're asking for volunteers because they have a massive project, so any of you, if you want to volunteer, they need to plant something like 5,000 wetland plants, and they need anybody who is willing to come with boots, gloves and a trowel to plant things, and I have got the exact dates in April that they're going to do this. So call me if you're interested in helping. If you're a gardener at heart and you want to put things in, and Mary (Sperr), maybe you would like to contact the Garden Club.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I was just going to say, if you give me the details –

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I've got – the letter is in the computer -- I should say it came in the computer. I didn't print it out yet. But it is from Rochelle Bell at the County asking for volunteers, so I can give you the number and we can make arrangements for who would like to help out with that project.

The next meeting of the Chili Town Board is scheduled for Wednesday, April 4, 2007 at 7:00 p.m. at the Chili Town Hall meeting room.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:15 p.m.