

## CHILI TOWN BOARD

May 2, 2007

A meeting of the Chili Town Board was held on May 2, 2007 at the Chili Town Hall, 3333 Chili Avenue, Rochester, New York 14624 at 7:00 p.m. The meeting was called to order by Supervisor Logel.

**PRESENT:** Councilwoman Ignatowski, Councilman Schulmerich, Councilman Slattery, Councilwoman Sperr and Supervisor Logel.

**ALSO PRESENT:** Richard Brongo, Town Clerk; Joseph Carr, Commissioner of Public Works/Superintendent of Highways; John Ferlicca, Deputy Town Supervisor; Dawn Forte, Secretary to the Supervisor; Dianne O'Meara, Director of Finance; Richard Stowe, Counsel for the Town; Eric Vail, Insurance Counselor.

The invocation was given by Richard Brongo.

The Pledge of Allegiance was cited. The fire safety exits were identified for those present.

### **PRESENTATION:**

1. Passero Associates presentation regarding potential relocation of Town property.

MR. CARUSO: Thank you very much. Before we begin tonight, I would like to take the opportunity to thank the Town Board and the individuals on the Board who supported and advocated for us to do this project. I really wanted to do this job because of my sort of membership in this community and, you know, personally knowing each of you in different ways, and a lot of the folks over there that I know. A lot of the Planning Board people, and -- who are here to see our application tonight. So we're really thankful to have this opportunity and hope you like what you see. So with that, I will begin our project here.

Initially, we -- we set out to review the cost to replace the buildings at the old Town Hall site and try to evaluate that against what, you know, may be offered for the purchase of the old Town Hall site and its reuse for commercial use. And, you know what they say about the best-laid plans. As we got into it, it sort of came out that I needed to evaluate just more than the cost of those buildings. I needed to really look at that commercial use and compare it against the other alternative commercial use that was proposed in Chili so that, um, we -- we couldn't -- we couldn't have anybody come back and say, "Well, you looked at this alternative, and if it came out positive, what you did was in their favor."

So we needed to have sort of a basis to -- or a control to look at. So I wound up spending a little bit more time and I compared the land use alternative of the Paul Road development to the old Town Hall redevelopment, and then I evaluated the cost and looked at the cost so we were a little bit more comprehensive and meaningful study. When I am done with this, I turn this information back to the Town Board for them to take this information forward.

So our presentation tonight, and the work that we did is really in three parts. And here IS the table of contents. One, we evaluated the land use alternatives, which are, again, the Paul Road site for development and/or the old Town Hall. And to make it meaningful, I created some goals and objectives of a typical planning project that we use every day, so that -- the generic type stuff that we're not -- not Passero Associates or John Caruso or Town Hall's objectives, but ones that are used in planning projects every day. And I compared these two projects to that. We'll go through that soon.

And then we met with each department and we looked at the buildings that would be replaced, and we created a program for them, and that is a needs assessment. What type of building information would need to be replaced, what square footage, what equipment, offices and each of them, as you can

understand, are very specific. You know, Mr. Carr and the Town Highway Department needs a welder, and the courts obviously don't need a welder. So things like that we looked at.

Um, and then we evaluated a cost estimate. We were able to then from our needs assessment design a building. From the building, we put a building on a site. On a site, we were able to evaluate the cost of pavement and pipes just like 2 by 4s and wire in the buildings. As a result of that, we came up with a cost. We added -- three components to the cost are simply land, building and site costs. Okay.

So that is where we're going.

The first part then is the evaluation of the land use alternatives against these goals and planning objectives. Our purpose then was to determine the estimated construction cost of the commercial development alternatives and their benefits, because -- funny enough, some of them have benefits.

Our approach then was, as I stated, review and evaluate the individual building costs, justify where they would go and then consider these community benefits. This is where -- we're in the land use portion, evaluating Site A to Site B. And here is the lay of the land. We have the existing land use, and this is hard to read because the photo is darker than it was when we met individually, but I will just point around real quick and then we'll move on.

This is the old Town Hall site (indicating). And this is Wegmans (indicating). And this is the Paul Road site for development (indicating). This is The Father's House (indicating). Here is the rest and the balance of the Archer block, which is the Zuber Farm (indicating). And a -- it crosses the railroad, and here is the rest of the Zuber Farm and portions of the Zuber Farm there (indicating). Beaver Road (indicating). This is Cedar --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Cedars of Chili.

MR. CARUSO: Cedars of Chili. Yes. This is Blueberry Hill (indicating) and Chili Scottsville Road. And this is Memorial Park (indicating). This is the existing land uses. As you can see, I just want to point out, because it makes a difference in a minute here. We have commercial uses here (indicating). We have the old Town Hall (indicating). We have a bit of commercial use here at Wegmans (indicating). This is non-developed, but it is zoned for residential (indicating). The Fathers House here (indicating). We have single-family homes. Single-family homes (indicating). This is zoned Light Industrial, as a matter of fact. Industrial through here (indicating). And then we have high density apartments transitioning out of the commercial area. There is that transition. Commercial, municipal use, high density res., high density res. and south of here is residential again.

So the Alternative Land Use 1 is evaluating the use of Paul Road being developed as a commercial site, and the old Town Hall would remain the same.

Alternative Land Use 2 was where the Town Hall would be redeveloped for commercial use and the balance then of the Paul Road site would be developed under its current zoning or some residential type zoning.

Let's get down to the evaluation of these alternatives. This is the goals and objectives we applied to it. We have the old Town Hall site and the Paul Road site. And -- I put these ten objectives up. If they met the objective, they got an X. If they didn't, they didn't. I -- I didn't tally a score at the end. It was just for us to look at do these meet these common Planning and Master Plan type objectives.

So the first one we looked at was the location. Is the site located in a Central Business District? That is the CBD. And I felt that the old Town Hall site was right across from Chili Plaza. That -- that area was a central core, Chili Center core area, and I felt that the Paul Road site, if you look back at it, was more in -- even though it is next to Wegmans, this is food and not retail. I thought it was more in the residential area. It is obviously residential right across the street and to the east.

With respect to -- does it create the transition zoning that you're looking for in planning projects? Well, in -- in the old Town Hall site is actually right in line with commercial, commercial, high density residential, single-family residence. That is classical 101 transition zoning that we use several times for projects with the Planning Board.

I didn't feel that the Paul Road site really met that transition zoning and that is why I did not give it an X. I didn't feel that there was any other multi-family or residential use that would transition it from its commercial use to high-density res. down to the single-family. It sort of inhibits the area north and

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

east.

Infrastructure available. Well, I felt there is sewer and water drainage available to sites, so they both did get an X there.

With respect to transportation infrastructure, um, Chili Avenue was recently upgraded to two lanes on each side with a center turn lane. Paul Road site is still one lane in each direction, and even the development proposals that came before the Planning Board, they were looking at doing turn lanes and capacity improvements, and so I did not feel that the infrastructure was in place for the transportation here. Again, this is a very analytical approach to evaluating these two sites. And with this type of goals and objectives that are standards, you know, there is -- there is no way that anybody can say well, it -- you know, this was skewed one way or the other.

The other thing we looked at was building heights. You know, if we did put a building of two stories in these areas, um, how would it affect the neighborhood? And I felt that we could get away with putting, you know, one and a half, two stories in each of these areas. We're certainly doing it with The Fathers House.

Next, we have development -- will the development have a sign out at the road? You know the pylon signs, 48 square feet of bright lights. You know, would that be compatible with the community? On Paul Road I didn't feel that, you know, having a big Target sign or out on Paul Road really would meet that objective. However, over on Chili Avenue, it is something you would expect to see. So it didn't get an X there.

Potential for future growth. I felt that both sites had enough real estate and the infrastructure once constructed that it would allow for future growth.

Potential impacts on schools and the tax base. Well, um, the Paul Road site, if it was developed, I think the taxes would increase and it would benefit the school. Obviously it would not perpetuate any children from it. But I felt that the Town Hall site, the old Town Hall site would -- would double benefit. One is because it would go on the tax rolls, and it would pay commercial taxes without any -- producing any children. And so the same thing with commercial real estate taxes, you know, both of them would be on the commercial tax rolls, but the Town Hall -- the old Town Hall site is sort of new found revenue.

And then finally, I evaluate each of them about the community benefits, and community benefits is on the next page. You know, if we did develop the old Town Hall site, are there any community benefits? And you know, of -- of significance.

And I didn't find the Paul Road site, other than some socioeconomic type stuff, more revenue -- but both projects would bring that so -- I didn't find that there was that significant arguable point, but I did find it. So let me show you what I thought these benefits were.

Okay. On the left side here (indicating) we have the departments that were being relocated under the old Town Hall redevelopment. I looked at the current location. We don't have to go through this with a fine-tooth comb, but it sort of simply plays out as this. If we did sell the old Town Hall site, we would wind up having to reconstruct and build a new Highway Department. We would need to build a new court. We would need to build a new Senior Center. As a result of that, we would be getting these new facilities which would be a project benefit.

If we went to the Paul Road site and we developed all sorts of high-end commercial there, we would not see those types of public benefits that this community would benefit from. So it was sort of as simple as that. One little added perk.

When we were doing this, we recently were involved with the Parks and Recreation Master Plan Update, and as we relocated the Memorial Park over to a site on Paul Road, and gave it a one to one, for every ball field that was taken up, one was moved over, so it was one-to-one-comparison, there was enough real estate left over there where we were able to provide some of the needs assessment that came out of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan. What that means is the Parks and Recreation Master Plan estimated we needed about a 50, 60 acre piece of land so we could put more lacrosse fields on, alternating practice fields and a couple more soccer fields, and all of that goes with that. And the cost of that would be somewhere between 2 and \$3 million to do. Plus you have to purchase the 50, 60 acres of land.

So with this new project, and this is the community benefit that has the asterisk on it, I was able to put that new -- those new ball fields, that needs assessment that came out of that on with the one-to-one ball fields that were moved over from Memorial Park. So what that means is that there is a

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

bit of a benefit to going -- we would not have to purchase that land and construct it, because it could all be done in the same area.

So I will show you that a little bit tighter in a minute, but, you know, these were the things that I used to evaluate. I didn't keep score, but it was very interesting to note.

Okay. So now let's just -- I will take you through some of the evaluation of the different buildings and the different departments and their programs, and as a result of them, we came up with these buildings that I have posted around the room. And these were the five different parks -- buildings or departments that we programmed. We did a needs assessment with them.

And as a result of -- of working with the Senior Center and Parks and Recreation, um, we just couldn't help but put together a floor plan for a Community Center. And this is a very common -- it has a lot of very common elements that you will find in a Community Center, and it -- it has the senior component to it, and you will notice we separated the younger people from the seniors, because that is how you do it. Then there is common area. You will notice we have the -- we have that drawing labeled up over here (indicating). It is posted up. And the drawing next to it is a running track that is located on a second floor. And then in back of it is additional meeting rooms and community gathering rooms.

The location of the Parks and Rec. was added onto the same area where we did Memorial Park, and whether you agree that it goes here or not, it was just that the real estate was available, so we put it down there, and just showed how it could work.

Um, in -- in tying that together, this is a site plan of the relocation of Memorial Park, and you can see that it has the little league fields. It has the senior, adult, I should say, softball fields. The -- some are illuminated, some aren't. But it has parking that we needed. We learned a whole lot from Davis Park on how to do this and do a little bit right, and we also have our grand stand and our football field here (indicating) with concessions and bathrooms and there is all sorts of storage.

Mike (Slattery), this is some of the places that we put those storage buildings on that -- so we don't have to keep things underneath, out at North Chili underneath the -- you know.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Community Center in the basement.

MR. CARUSO: We'll have it in the -- we have it staged there, in the rooms out at Baker Park. So we solve -- solved a lot of our problems with this plan.

First time I met with Joe Carr, he made me double the size of those buildings, right, Joe (Carr)?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Walk in the basement of that Community Center, the storage down there with the stone floor.

MR. CARUSO: He must have hit his head once going underneath to try to pull something out.

Anyway, this is the floor plan of our courthouse. The relocation of our courts would need to go somewhere. We needed to program them. Really, this worked out well. We met with both the administrating judge and the assistant judge, and got some good feedback. This plan has actually evolved to having -- it has two courtrooms now proposed.

This courtroom here (indicating) has grown to support us if we were to become a district courthouse. So our -- our costs reflect that.

And the location of the courthouse was shown that the new Town Hall site -- this is us right here in the meeting room (indicating). This is our parking lot here (indicating). Some of you have parked down in here (indicating). We have proposed to relocate the courthouse to this position (indicating) so that when you drive in the parking lot, you can look left to the Town Hall, to the right, and as a result of us compromising some parking, we're adding some parking back over here and over here (indicating). So it could be done several ways. This was a nice way of doing it. Nice to bring it all on one campus.

And this is a location of a site on Paul Road -- on Archer Road for the new Public Works facility. It has a long driveway that comes in. Screening out at the front of the road. It has a building that meets the requirements of Mr. Carr's program. It has offices in the front, and, you know, the -- the overhead doors and the garages to the back. There is a salt barn and there is a storage area behind the salt barn, so it can't be seen from the road. It has bulk storage piles. Um, we have the opportunity to do some regional storm water management from a pond that was designed here when we did the Archer Meadows project. It not only benefits Archer Meadows, but the Clay Hill Subdivision.

By moving that pond down here (indicating), we have Archer Meadows or Memorial Park, whatever it is, Clay Hill and this project would benefit. So we were able to do some really neat planning with this. It could be done several different ways, but there is also a building on the side here

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

for cold storage.

Think we have the dog kennel here (indicating), Dog Catcher's office, and Parks and Recreation maintenance is -- is in that building.

So what did this all cost? Well, we went through, again, our three components of our cost were building, site and land. And here they are individually costed out.

Um, I -- I mentioned to you before that I didn't want anybody criticizing anything that we did that these were architects' or engineers' figures, so we hired Crista Construction, who has real-world figures, and they were excellent to work with, I must say. They costed this thing as site tight as they could. We spoke on the phone several times, and we came up with these figures.

So I -- I will tell you that -- here is a summation of the figures.

Um, I can tell you that these figures are probably in the range of 10 to 20 percent high at this stage. Um, but dealing with it as they are, um, I put together the summary cost comparison, because I also thought when I started this project, that land was going to have a lot to do with, you know, the cost.

As you can see here, land has very little to do with the cost, because the -- the cost of replacing these buildings is rather significant. So it just turned out to be that the land cost is such a small percentage, it is insignificant. So just something interesting to note.

So where do we stand with this? We have -- we have a development scenario in which someone is interested in purchasing the old Town Hall site. As a result, the purchase value of that could help offset the cost of these new Town facilities. And there are benefits in addition to offsetting the cost. There are collateral benefits that go with this. Um, we did not see that with the Paul Road development, not to say that -- that it -- it isn't viable. Just to say that in our evaluation of these two examples, it seems that you have to pay for these benefits because these costs don't equal or equate to what the value of the offer is.

And I also, just to bring up that we did work in conjunction with your appraiser who did appraise the land, and his appraisal was commensurate to what the offer was from Benderson. So I think at that point, I'm open to any questions you might have, or comments.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Thank you, John (Caruso). It is a lot of information to absorb.

MR. CARUSO: Yes, it is.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I know we individually looked at some of this. Any questions from the Board? Something you would --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I will, but I am still writing.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I appreciate the work that went into this. I think it is quite exciting when you take a look at all of the different things you brought in to provide this.

One thing you didn't mention in your presentation was Memorial Park was also going to be on Archer Road. You mentioned the DPW being there. You might want to say -- one of them was on the north side.

MR. CARUSO: That's correct.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: That is where the park side is. On the south side of the tracks is where the DPW would be?

MR. CARUSO: That's right. The Memorial Park would be relocated to the strip of land that was -- developed for -- by Pearl Development for the Archer Meadows project, and what the -- what the developer did was he secured those lands to relocate those facilities to that site.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Um, you didn't mention the veterans memorial monument and all of that that is there at the Town Hall, because that would need to be somehow either relocated here.

MR. CARUSO: Yes.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Or --

MR. CARUSO: Joe (Carr) warned me about that.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Did you warn him, Joe (Carr)?

MR. CARUSO: I didn't mention it. I'm sorry, I didn't. But I did include it in the relocation and its cost is also included in there.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: The other thing that is very important. As you know, we have the parade every year where we walk down Chili Avenue to the old Town Hall site, and I think that is very important, and we have to make sure that is a priority if this does go forward.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I have already received calls from the veterans and they have already

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

expressed their concerns about this.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And I have, as well.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Same here.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: There is some with the open mind and they understand, but then there are other ones that, as you know with any proposed development or talk of development, you know, some people don't like the change. You have to just show them all of the different aspects. Sometimes they will never go for it, but you know.

MR. CARUSO: The relocation of the memorial would be something that would be done elaborate and it would be done well. And it would demand it.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I think it would be most appropriate to be on this campus so you could come down Chili Avenue in a parade and end up on this campus as opposed to over there on Archer.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: My suspicion is if it remains a priority, which I am sure it will, we'll find a solution that meets everyone's needs. I find it hard to believe that we would be considering inhibiting growth in the Town, whatever growth means, based on one single argument. It will take time to negotiate, I'm sure.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And with their participation, as well.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Absolutely.

MR. CARUSO: Right on.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: You have had help with involvement with these sorts of projects in other communities. I imagine there are grants we could also pursue to offset the cost, as well.

MR. CARUSO: That is well put. Working in the Town of Webster on a very similar project, they approached their financing multiple ways.

One is they sought their local State Legislators and Senators to help kick some money in, and they have.

They also had money in some of their reserves, so they did tap their reserves a little bit, and they also were able to use Parks and Recreation funds to offset cost of their Community Center.

And then because they were doing new build rather than renovations, they were able to get an interest rate on their bonds, one or two points lower. As opposed to us trying to do the same thing and going over to renovate the old Town Hall, well, then the interest rate might be 5 percent, but it is only 3 percent if you did a new -- new construction.

So that -- that in itself was a syndication of five different sources of funds to do their project.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: One question I have actually is in regards to advertisement with this meeting with this presentation. I know that you were unfortunately unable to be here at the last scheduled meeting. What advertisement was done for this tonight to get --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I told Chris (Levey) when he called it was going to be tonight. Last week. Did it get in the paper or not?

UNIDENTIFIED NEWSPAPER REPRESENTATIVE: No. You just said it was going to be at a Town Board meeting. I didn't know if it was this one.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Yes, I did. This one.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Unfortunately, I know this is a hot topic throughout the Town. So it would have been good if we had that advertisement. I'm sure this room would have been packed if we had that. If that advertisement was out there.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Is it possible that you know -- we'll have a lot to think about here. Is it possible that you -- to meet the needs of the community that we schedule another session where Mr. Caruso could be here to give a similar review to those interested?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Right. The main -- right. The main focus of this was to get it presented to us, okay, and then we can have, you know, another public hearing and we'll make sure that this time John (Caruso) is not flying on any airplanes anywhere.

MR. CARUSO: I was like the guy from Terminal, Tom Hanks. That was me. I was going to take a job at the airport.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: One of the things that you mentioned was the classic 101 transition zoning. I find that very interesting when you look at what was involved and, you know, how some of it was basic, and when you look at it. Can you just, you know, talk about that a little bit more,

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

that comment that you made?

MR. CARUSO: Yes. You know, if you look at some of the places around our community, my favorite example is Ridge Road. If you look on Ridge Road, it is very commercial on the north and south side and extends east and west as far as you can see. But it is very interesting to note that on the north side of Ridge Road and even on the south side, past that 500 foot commercial zone, you will see some very highfalutin residential areas. And more so, the newer stuff where you see town homes and apartments behind the new Walmart at Elmgrove Road. You will see the town home projects. They don't have to be necessarily rental. But high -- but higher density is what you will find.

So our way in -- and our New York community, it is very prevalent throughout the United States, that high-density residential does buffer between single-family and commercial projects.

So when I got to looking at that, and that is why I kept going back to that slide, because there is the Cedars of Chili and Blueberry Hill, and all of a sudden, I started to think even further south, well, we have one mega residential subdivision in Bellaqua. Look at the size of the homes in there. You can't tell me that the commercial project, um, on Chili Avenue is affecting the cost of those homes. It is not. And it is not because there is a whole bunch of transitioning going on in land use, the right type of land use.

I couldn't see that to -- just to give it a check, not to rate it one to ten, but just to give it a check, I didn't see that over on the Paul Road development, and only -- even if -- with Wegmans there, I didn't see that the real estate was compatible. You know, we're going to be putting in retail for sale, local services. Wegmans is selling food. It is a food market, you know, not -- so that was that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, you know, since you and I met, when we went over this, I started driving around and I started looking at it thinking about that more. And Ridge Road and North Greece Road is a perfect example. You just mentioned with Walmart there. Then you look at the transition. You got the commercial development. Then look behind it, and then how it ends up going to single-family homes. There is that transition area. And I guess when you talk about the Cedars and Blueberry Hill, the project they're probably thinking at that time, was the transition going from commercial, back to the -- to the residential.

MR. CARUSO: Yes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And when I think about that new development that is going to be taking place on Buffalo Road by Union, next to the Community Center, you know, how -- the transition there, as well. So -- so it is -- it is important.

MR. CARUSO: That is a great example.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, I was going to ask you about the Community Center. You mentioned, you have worked on this type in the past. You just mentioned the Webster project.

And how would this -- how would this design of the Community Center compare to such as a YMCA?

MR. CARUSO: Um, well, the -- first of all, the plan that you see with our community center is -- is really a -- an allocation of the spaces that were needed to go into this building. And they're associated by their compatibility with each other. So it is easy to do that in a box. So that -- that is what you get out of your needs assessment.

But the next level of design, or that project would enter design development. The buildings might start to take on a little bit of form. That is where the architects, excuse me, their creativity starts to come in and some of the corners will get rounded, the glass, the two stories and the views come in. So I think that's -- that is what you would see with our Community Center. Some of the elements that are in it are meeting rooms and educational rooms, and most of them -- we use that multipurpose room, but they really are multi-purpose rooms. They are chameleons. They have sliding and collapsible doors that are of the quality you would expect so if it were open or closed, it would give you the -- um, the privacy between rooms. It is not a cheap one like they did in the gymnasium which accordions out. This is good stuff. They really do work. You can have a room like this with three dividers in it, making four different places.

Seniors use them because they have all sorts of things they do. Some of them play cards and some don't. Some of them do crafts. You know, you might want to joke about that, but -- or think it is cute, but they really do that stuff, and the proximity of it to the front door we found is -- is very important. These people don't want to walk all of the way to the back of the building. So you want to have it to -- maybe have their own little private entrance. You can make them separate and maintain their integrity and their privacy which is what they have now. They love their facility over there. We're

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

going to put a couple hundred thousand dollars into their roof, aren't we?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I think also from the same sense, you talked about funding grants. Actually, this could also generate more revenue. You could charge for the use and so forth. And when you look at the YMCAs and how busy they are, they are very popular for families and so forth. We're not in the business to compete with them, but at the same time we should be offering, you know, opportunities for families to do things together. Because as we know, families are so busy nowadays.

MR. CARUSO: Most of the -- I know, Mike (Slattery), I didn't answer your question that well. But with respect to the YMCAs, most of them are very active recreation. You know, people go there to work out and get in shape and that is why they join that membership. It is nice to have the family and go to the pool. You know, we don't have a pool here. This is a little bit different passive/active recreation. You know. It serves a lot -- a lot of different people.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Right.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: John (Caruso), I know that when I had met with you initially, I had questioned why a community center. Why don't we just stay with a Senior Center, and perhaps you can elaborate what your answer was to me at that point.

MR. CARUSO: From having the benefit in working in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan Update, it was sort of a component that kept coming to the surface. So when, again, we had the opportunity to meet with Mike (Curley), and is it Mary Anne?

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Mary Anne (Sears).

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Mary Anne Sears.

MR. CARUSO: We just got so much better feedback and were able to go more into detail, more of our scope of work with this project than the other one, where we could start to develop rooms and visit their space and see what worked and didn't work. So I think that the community center just sort of came to life then. You know, it was just prevalent that you weren't going to do that with just a Senior Center and then meet the needs of Parks and Recreation. Where was that going to come from. That little room that we needed for children's activities, you know, where do we stick it onto? This building? And if we did, would it be compatible?

The answer kept coming back no, it needs to come to a place where people can come, parents can drop their kids off and all sorts of things.

We also found out more about their programs, helped us write this building, because they needed a building to operate their programs out of this. You know what I mean? Because Mike (Curley) has, and Mary Anne (Sears) -- and they have some really neat programs and they want to do more. So we also looked at that a little. It is not just today's. There is a little bit of future in here on how we would like to add five or ten programs and an assistant to help him with that, and where would he sit.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, I think that is very important. When we look at the programs we're offering now, and Michael (Curley) is limited -- who happened to be here tonight. Mr. Curley, thank you. He is limited on what he can do with the facilities that he has. You're not going to put, you know, 20 14-, 15-year-olds in our Community Center, and -- just don't have that room. We're dealing with a 1930 something schoolhouse.

MR. CARUSO: We had that discussion with the Master Plan Update. You know, we found that our Community Center is located almost on the Riga Ogden line, Northwest Chili. It is not heart and central -- you know, core central. And we're using a building that was sort of retrofitted.

We are the retrofit kings here in Chili. We got that Community Center which was an old school. We have the old Town Hall that we have our Senior Center and our courts in. You should have heard me working with the Judge.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: My next comment is going -- you made a comment about the district courthouse. I'm not sure if people understand what you meant by that, but you can maybe speak a little bit about that.

MR. CARUSO: Sure. Judge Pietropaoli advised us during our programming with him about his space utilization needs, that there is an opportunity and there -- it may be going to the future that instead of having courts that are Town -- just Town-oriented, but they may be more regional.

As a result, there may be a Southwest Regional Court, and only the communities that would get that would -- would have to be the ones that had the facilities to support that type of flux, population, or

--

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Capacity.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

MR. CARUSO: Capacity, yeah.

But they would also be reimbursed, and there was a revenue portion to it. Well, if you are a district court, in the southwest, well, then, you know, because we don't have to have it in Ogden and there and send, you know, the County's attorneys out there, that there is a benefit to them. So there would be some sort of monetary value coming to the Town as a result of having them.

So in thinking forward, then we took our one and a half courtrooms and expanded it to two courtrooms, and we took that square footage and added it into the cost. That is why I mean the cost of -- that we presented to you could be as high as 20 percent, and there is all sorts of things that could be cut out of these programs, too, to adjust these figures.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Anyone else have a question?

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Um, when we look at the summary, cost comparison, and you and I have had this conversation, as well, it might be easy for one to look at the numbers and say it is all or nothing, and I think that would be a mistake on all of our parts. And one of the things I will be looking for is a roll-out and discussion around if we didn't sell the Town property, we would still want to move the DPW.

We have had continued discussions around the wisdom of having recreation intimately associated in the same location as courts. We would want to move our courts. We have had ongoing discussion for years about what could we do to enhance our recreation. If we develop on Town property, we would want to be doing and spending some money around the recreation center of some sort. So this is not \$30 million or nothing. This is \$30 million which would also take in the sale of the property which would offset the cost against some other costs that we have yet to roll.

MR. CARUSO: Excellent point.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: So I think that is something we'll need to spend some time on as we continue this dialogue, because there -- because there are needs in terms of how we grow. I don't believe it is prudent for this Town Board, nor have I seen the attitude of this Town Board that we shouldn't grow. I think we need to reflect on what is occurring in the community, and I believe anything we do should go to a referendum. I am hoping that is where we end up. But I don't believe people should be walking out of here thinking it is \$30 million plus or minus 20 percent or nothing, because that is not where I am.

MR. CARUSO: No, it is not. That is an excellent point. I didn't make that part of my challenge to take forward, but it is a good point to note for those that are very interested in this. We do need a new Highway Department. That public works facility is -- is antiquated. It -- you know, I -- I went through a similar presentation to some RIT students, and they were the public. I was doing this similar presentation. And you know, one of them said, "Gees, why -- my roads are plowed just fine and my lawn is cut just fine and why do I need to spend -- why as a taxpayer do I need to take on another \$300 a year?"

I told them that is what it was going to cost them.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Don't tell them that tonight.

MR. CARUSO: Well, it was -- we did some role playing, and he just didn't understand. And I said, "Well, what happens with this new development as we grow" -- and as I -- you know, us working out in the community have clients who are adding two and three miles of roadway each year, and all of a sudden your street is not getting done because they just can't plow another five miles of roadway, not unless we buy other truck and add another staff on.

When you do that, we need other garage bay to put that truck in. And, you know, our 75 gallon fuel container isn't big enough any more because we have a fleet of trucks. It perpetuates as we grow. And I will bet you from the time that Mr. Carr occupied that building down there, our population and our roadways have grown and grown and grown. I wonder how many trucks have we added. How much infrastructure, you know, have we added to our capacity here.

So you're 100 percent right, Denny (Schulmerich). 100 percent right. We need to -- and we're going to put a new roof on the Town Hall.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Old Town Hall.

MR. CARUSO: Old Town Hall, and we're going to have to do a new Highway Department. There is going to be a cost that would be compared against this.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I think we started out originally in the old highway garage, and I meant to look what year the highway garage was built. Do you have any idea, Joe (Carr)?

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

JOSEPH CARR: I thought the original building, which remember was the Town Clerk's Office and the Highway Superintendent's Office, I thought was about 1932.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: 32.

MR. CARUSO: We're looking at seeing how we can carve an office out of it for here and move Parks and Recreation into your office.

(Laughter.)

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: The back of a dump truck.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Taking into consideration from there, everything being housed in one building, including highway, in '32, to 1961, when they built the other Town Hall --

MR. CARUSO: Right.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: -- to now. We have done well with the buildings we have got. We're talking 40 some years.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And actually, Dennis (Schulmerich) made a very good point regarding the referendum. I think that is very important, and I would agree, that is the avenue we should also go into it.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I wanted to make a couple comments. I haven't had an opportunity tonight yet. But speaking about comparing this Community Center concept to the Ys, shortly after this conversation started to build up steam and we hired John (Caruso) from Passero to do this for us, I was contacted by several residents asking why doesn't the Y -- why can't the Y come and build this type of facility here? Why would the Town have to do that?

I did speak to several officials over at the Y. And they told us that there are many Chili residents that do service -- utilize that facility and it is so close to Chili. It was put there in that location to service both Gates and Chili. That in order to put one in Chili, it would be too close to their existing facility, and they need certain counts and certain numbers to make their facility operate at the right level, that it wouldn't be in their best interest to put another Y over in Chili, even if it were on the Wheatland side or the Riga side, farther away, as far away as possible from there. They didn't view this as an option.

However, they did tell us they would be more than happy to discuss with our Chili and Gates both, officials, Recreation and Parks, you again, Michael (Curley), if we were interested in some of their facilities as they add on another portion of that, to address any ideas that we had. They're there to support us, but they are not interested in building a Y here. So hence the further discussion about a Community Center from us.

Um, I forgot what else I was going to say. That's it for now. We have had a lot of discussion on this and we hope to have more. But it is an exciting opportunity to take a look at. I do believe that we owe it to all of the residents that we service here in Chili to provide good facilities for them. And one of the ways that the developers in our community can entice residents and commercial businesses to come to our community is to provide the kind of services for the residents that want to live here. People want to have good schools, and have good services, and we already know we have a Highway Department that does an excellent job.

I always know when I leave Chili and enter another Town. They don't do as good a job as our guys do. I will hold our Town guys up against anybody's. I always tell Joe (Carr) that. I think this is an important step for us to look into to take and consider.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Couple comments on the why if I might. I was on the Board of Directors of the Gates-Chili YMCA some years back, and if you look at towns like Greece or others in the County, you will find that they have recreation facilities operating in conjunction with the YMCA.

YMCA's mission is not to be the Community Center to a Town. YMCA's mission is to provide recreation outlets through pay-for service. Babysitting in some cases. They are a not-for-profit, for profit organization. So individuals that would suggest why wouldn't the YMCA build in Chili, number one, it is not aligned with their mission to be the recreation center for the Town of Chili.

Number two, if they were to do it, it would come with a significant cost with -- per application or membership. It is an apples to oranges comparison.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, Denny (Schulmerich), just to follow up on that. The perfect example would be the Town of Greece. They have the Y on the Long Pond Road across from Wegmans. 2/10 of the mile down the road, Town of Greece just built a brand new facility that is fabulous for their seniors and for their families.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Both are utilized, both are providing services and meeting the needs of the community, one not putting the other out of business.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I would like to bring up, too, the fact Webster – you mentioned Webster. I think that – I had someone asked me because they knew Webster had originally started out as a cooperative program with the Town and the school districts, and why couldn't we use the school facilities? Because Gates-Chili has put so much money into theirs and Churchville-Chili has put so much money into their sports facilities.

And now that Webster has -- I think we need to learn from Webster, okay, because they did that. They tried it. It didn't work. Now they're building their own Community Center. Because it sounded like a great idea, but it just -- they're just different entities. The school districts and the Town programs are just different entities. They have different programs and different laws and rules governing them. So I am glad you brought Webster up for looking at this, because they were basically a prototype, trying to do it the other way.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: To add to what Tracy (Logel) is saying, we did also find out that – that those facilities in the schools are so heavily used we wouldn't have the capacity to support our programs.

MR. CARUSO: That is an excellent point. We found that out with Parks and Rec. when we looked at what is available for us to use, rather than recommending that we need to buy a 60 acre piece of land and build all these. What you find is even if -- first of all, we would pay for them, a nominal charge, but we would pay. So there is a cost involved.

And secondly, they're not available. And if they are, they don't meet the needs of our programs.

RICHARD BRONGO: I have just a quick question. The Community Center and the highway garage, where they're located, they may meet our current needs, they may meet our needs for 10 years. In 20 years if the Town continues to grow and we need to expand those, is there sufficient land right there for those facilities to expand?

MR. CARUSO: Yes. Now, the Community Center was shown on the concept plan for the Memorial Park reconstruction and relocation. And that may not be the ultimate home for it. I just put it there because the land was, you know, cheap. But it could wind up anywhere, and so on that site, there was plenty of land. You could also, you know, move into a ball field and relocate a ball field if you wanted to build one there. So the answer on that site is yes.

Um, with respect to the Archer Road site for the DPW, it is quite a campus, if you will. It's some 30 acres of land and we're only using 10 of it.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Yes. That whole bottom section is available.

MR. CARUSO: That is this one here (indicating).

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That was one of my questions I would – was going to have for you. Highway Department and Recreation Department, size usable for the next blank years. So I think when you look at the growth that we have, and you look at having the infrastructure in place now, sewers and so forth -- sewers are going to restrict you on the size of the lot that you can build on at this point. And we know that we have Black Creek that we have to contend with, and we have to -- you know, we're basically going to be going south. You know, we're maxed out almost to the north and so forth, to the west. So basically we have to go to the south. So when you have to have that infrastructure, basically the water is there now. So the sewers are the key.

MR. CARUSO: The sewers are available to both of these sites along the railroad. So -- they're a little bit tough to get to, but we can't build the DPW on a septic system. It is just not feasible. We wouldn't even entertain that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So I guess the question is, is the size suitable for the next blank years? I think you took that into consideration when you looked at the size of the lot, the property with the amount of acreage that we have, so I think that would basically help us, as well.

MR. CARUSO: We even took into account which way the wind blows so when he opens his overhead doors, he doesn't lose all his heat. We tried to think it through.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Just had one more question. There were residents questioning about locating the Senior and Community Center building up here near the Town Hall facility. Was it your assessment when you positioned the courts at the end of our parking lot that there was enough space for that but not really for a community center --

MR. CARUSO: Yes. That community center starts -- the square footage of it starts around

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

40,000 square feet. Um, so -- let me back up to that slide for you.

There is the old Town Hall site (indicating). And this -- this building here (indicating) is about 10,000 square feet. The community center is four times that, starting in size. I think the one we have designed is around 45,000, round numbers.

So, you know, something that big would not fit in here, and we would have to look at -- now there is some other land over here (indicating), but the site has to be able to sustain itself. What I mean by that, is this location was sort of neat because the courts function more often than not off peak than Town Hall does. If they're operating in the evening, and the Town Hall isn't as busy -- so we have shared parking that seems to work for this arrangement.

But we could put a 40,000 square foot community center here (indicating) which we would all expect to be very busy, and it will be, and have people park there without being able to support its surface lots. So to put that over here (indicating), we would have to look either to the west here (indicating) where the -- sort of the mound is, or on the other side of the --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: West side of the parcel.

MR. CARUSO: Yes. West side where the wetland was. There's a free piece there. I even looked at the pyramid piece that you face on the driveway coming out. The problem with that piece is it is zoned commercial and we would be paying, you know, the commercial real estate, and you know, I'm not quite sure we want to take that off the tax rolls. So that is how it wound up over where it was.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And actually, with this -- with the community center, it is something that we could build in stages, as well, if you think we don't need to build it all at once, or a portion of it. I mean your experience.

MR. CARUSO: My experience is we would build it all at once. However, that doesn't mean your idea isn't a good one. You can only deal with what resources you have. I haven't thought about that, but that is interesting.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Because I think it -- Number 1, it scares people. You know, when you threw out that \$300 a month, which was just an example you used at RIT --

MR. CARUSO: Yes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: We want to make that clear.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: And it didn't have anything to do with this project.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That's correct. And the size of the building.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: That doesn't mean we won't see it said somewhere.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Oh, no. Being involved with the last community center, the number put out there, the next thing you know it was in the media and it scared people.

MR. CARUSO: I have seen everything in the media in the last month, haven't we?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: It's all true, John (Caruso).

(Laughter.)

MR. CARUSO: Meetings we haven't had.

(Laughter.)

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, you talked about the cost and the grants and so forth. And then also there is the -- there is the in-kind service where Town employees could perform some of this work as well to help keep that cost down. We did that at Union Station Park.

MR. CARUSO: Webster is doing the same thing. If you have the crews that have the --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Skills.

MR. CARUSO: -- the skill set really, and the equipment, those two things are -- save you a lot of money.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: So we're able to really chip down on this cost then. This is cost without anything else associated with it.

MR. CARUSO: Both these sites don't require that we go in and knock out a forest. They're all cleared. Farm land. There is a lot of money to be saved with that. There is also a lot of environmental benefits.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: And there are no environmental issues with any of this land.

MR. CARUSO: Well, that is the next step. That would be the next step. Not that I know of. These are all farmland.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I guess that would be my question, really, what is the next step? I know Benderson had indicated they would like to come before us again.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We're waiting for them. He just got back from vacation. He was in Europe.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So John (Caruso), you spoke a little bit about the appraisal of the old Town Hall. Did you happen to see that, the figure, the appraisal at all?

MR. CARUSO: (Indicated non-verbally.)

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: How comfortable, when you looked at it did you feel regarding that?

MR. CARUSO: Well, it was interesting to note it was pretty close to the original offer, which I thought was good. Really it wasn't skewed. And -- I didn't look into the makeup of it. It wasn't my expertise to question it, but I was happy to see how close it was.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I think, too, the next thing we have to look at is how much is in our building funds, how much is in our -- we have some in the rec., you know, fund. Developers have been putting money into that. We -- we also have highway reserve. Those are all of the areas. We have to get all those figures down on paper and say what do we have to work with, what is the -- and what is -- if -- the other good question is, if Mr. Benderson (sic) is willing, or whoever is willing to buy that piece of land, is that all they're willing to contribute, because I know for them, they only want the land, but the buildings do have a value. We know that. How much of this value are they willing to offset or pick up, too?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Yes. Because we do need a roof over there. Maybe -- maybe when we put on the new one, if we can take it and bring it to another building.  
(Laughter.)

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Wouldn't that be nice.

All kidding aside, though, there is a value to us of the -- and I think we have to face that. It is one thing for a developer to say, "Well, this -- I only want the land."

But those buildings have a replacement cost. We have to pay to replace them. And so that is something we need to look at, and there might be some kind of trade that we could do.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So I guess the next question is -- were you going to go to the audience for questions?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Not on this one. This was to us. This is a presentation to us. What we'll do is we have Mr. Benderson (sic) who wants to when back from --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Robinson.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Robinson, I'm sorry. Benderson Development. -- to make a presentation with what they propose, and then I think we'll have to have a public hearing, meeting, and, Denny (Schulmerich), I think we have to really truly go with the referendum and set that. We -- there is a lot of stuff that has to happen before we get to that point.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: We need to get all of that funding component.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: We need to more accurately --

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I think there is a funding component. I think there is also some discussion we need to have amongst ourselves, whether it is a workshop or one-on-one discussions, around what our -- what are our intentions. If we were to assume we don't do anything with the Town properties in terms of selling it, what would be our plan to replace structures at what cost and what time frame, because I think -- because I think the gap in spending is really between that number and the number we're seeing here, not status quo, leave everything where it is.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I'm sorry, Denny (Schulmerich).

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: That is fine.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I think we'll also run into the point where the land is starting to be developed in Town, and we're going to be restricted on -- if we wait, where we're going to go in the future? Where are we going to put -- I mean highway, it -- Joe (Carr), correct me if I am wrong, but I think it is important for you to be central for a Highway Department so when you're disbursing your crews, it is not like they're driving from the east to the west. Even though it is a matter of how many --

JOSEPH CARR: It is an important factor.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I do think one way to move this forward, at least one way to talk about moving this forward would be for us to commit to a time frame. If, in fact, we believe we want to take this to a referendum, let's talk about setting a referendum date that is realistic so we can then do the work we need to do and put some pressure on us to get the job done in a certain time frame

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

as opposed to letting this linger on and on. So if we say we need six months or nine months, or whatever the time frame is to do this assessment and be prepared to educate ourselves, educate the community, listen to the community's input, communicate the message from both sides, out in the community so we can have an informed vote, not an emotional vote. There will be some emotion along with any vote, but at least as an informed vote we can have.

Then we put ourselves into position of getting the best read from the community about what the greatest percentage of the community wants for the future of Chili. And I would prefer to see us be proactive setting a time frame when we would like that referendum. That would drive our behavior to get the job done.

Otherwise, I think you go one month after another asking some questions and trying to roll up the information. But if you don't have a finish line, you just don't do the work you need to do.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So I guess, Supervisor, how would you like to proceed?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I think we need to have Mr. Benderson (sic), now that he is back from vacation come in and do his presentation. He can do it either at Town Board or workshop.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Benderson.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I keep calling him Mr. Benderson (sic). It is Don Robinson. I don't know where --

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: He wishes.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: That is probably true.

(Laughter.)

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Anyway, we can do a workshop or do it a Town Board meeting. I think workshop you have better discussion time. If you want to schedule a date -- set it up with his schedule so he can come in.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Do you want to find out dates when he can be available and then we'll put it on our schedules?

MR. CARUSO: And if I could also suggest it may be prudent as we're moving forward, time to ask, you know, Town Counsel to start investigating what the process would be to release the land so that we know what it would take. If -- if we're going to start dealing with Mr. Robinson and Benderson Development, um, we -- we need to know sometime frames and -- so that if we were ever to enter into an agreement, that's all part of it. Because if there is a process we would want him to stick with it as we're setting up referendums and spending all our times together, figuring out a strategy here.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: What are the requirements and limitations?

MR. CARUSO: Rich (Stowe) knows what to do.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Thank you very much, John (Caruso).

MR. CARUSO: Thank you.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: John (Caruso), good job. Thank you very much.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Before we go to the public forum, what I would like to do is -- I want to bring -- refer to the fact that we talked about a time frame of having our agricultural review -- or the resolution on this agenda, and it wasn't feasible in the time frame, and Mr. Stowe would -- I would defer to you if you would like to speak on that for the public comment.

RICHARD STOWE: The Town Board at their workshop session asked several questions of me regarding the proper format and the proper utilization of either Town Law or Municipal Home Rule Law for forming the advisory committee that they had determined they wanted for architectural review purposes to report to the Planning Board.

Um, it is fairly clear that the best way to do that is in the form of a local law as opposed to a simple resolution that would appoint a committee and have them simply advise the Planning Board or the Zoning Board.

As I began to construct that local law -- the size of the committee, the terms of the individuals that would serve on it, um, residency requirements, things like that are all properly addressed in the local law. As I began to draft that, the -- the timing of their utilization by either the Planning Board or the Zoning Board and the criteria and standards that they would be asked to review the applications that they were to be given became more obvious to me that that ought to be at least discussed in the terms

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

of the local law, as well.

I want to have at least the opportunity to meet with Jim Martin and his committee again and get the benefit of their guidance on what those terms and conditions ought to be so that we can put together a local law that will be an adequate reflection of what this Board wants.

I had their report. Their report sort of saw that as their Phase 2 effort, getting a little more delineation on those items. I don't know that they're prepared to tackle that or whether they think they have enough to help me flesh out the local law or not. But without that sort of data, the -- the local law was the most meaningful document that I could bring to the Board.

So the request was really my request to not have a draft here tonight that would end up not telling the whole story, but rather making sure that whatever is brought back before the Board accurately reflects everything that this committee wanted to be representing their ideas and their work and when they wanted to be called upon to advise the Planning Board and the Zoning Board and more importantly, to make sure that this Board passed the comprehensive document that was really what you wanted. I could have brought you an empty outline, but it wasn't going to mean anything.

So the request is for me to be able to go back and meet with at least Jim (Martin). Jim (Martin) and his committee or some of them that are willing to attend, if that is okay with Jim (Martin) --

JAMES MARTIN: Fine.

RICHARD STOWE: -- to be able to sit down and carve that out. If, in fact, it requires more than that, then I guess Jim (Martin) and I will have to come back and tell you that. I don't think there is any reason that that can't be accomplished in the next couple of weeks.

JAMES MARTIN: I would agree.

RICHARD STOWE: Before the next meeting. It is up to this Board. I'm happy to go make that effort and meet with them and do that if that is sufficient. If you want more, we can do more.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I just wanted to -- I just wanted to give you an answer to the public why we didn't have it on the agenda when we said we would.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Since I have been involved on the Town Board and I'm sure, Michael (Slattery), you have seen this, too. You have been here a while. Most of our practice has been to form these boards and committees and do it through a local law. So I think this being consistent with that. I'm on board with that.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: It sounds to me that the Ad Hoc Committee really has an additional component that they need to get it completed prior to this law --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: They just need to finalize it.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: To get -- those trigger points really need to be established.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: If there are some advisors or people who started to show interest in this architectural review that we're interested in bringing on board to satisfy you in this process, I have no problem with trying to help you with that, too. If there -- we had mentioned in the workshop, maybe someone from the Historic Preservation Board that has some background. There are some excellent people that can assist you with types of architecture in addition to the architecture of a historical nature.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: One thing you need to clarify, too, because I had people ask the question, out of fear, the fear factor that this was going to try to dictate how people will have to design their house or remodel their house. And you have to very specifically put that verbiage into the law so people very clearly understand this is not Big Brother.

JAMES MARTIN: Right. That is why we stayed away from Architectural Review Board.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Okay.

RICHARD STOWE: These are the things that were challenging to define from the information that was given.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Might I ask a question?

JAMES MARTIN: I will call you tomorrow and get some dates that you're available. I will talk to my committee and we'll get together.

RICHARD STOWE: Fine.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: So for clarity and deliberateness, one might expect that the resolution that we would see would be a local law that included the process and the guidelines and the specific content.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

A local law might also talk about the process, talk about where you might find the guidelines or the fact that they're being developed.

What level of detail are you anticipating will come out of the work over the next couple of weeks, because if we're talking about a comprehensive document that has the processes and the guidelines and the trigger points and all of the issues associated with it, that seems to me to be a lot of work for two weeks. So what should my expectation be when I see a resolution come before us?

JAMES MARTIN: I will give you my definition what you might see. I think you will see a defined process, but we did not feel we had the capability with the Ad Hoc Committee to do the design of the specifications and those types of, you know, the detail work that the Architectural Review Committee would utilize in their review of the project. We didn't feel we had, you know, enough expertise to get into that level of detail.

RICHARD STOWE: Nor did I.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: So they may be referenced in the resolution, and also forthcoming upon task of the resolution.

JAMES MARTIN: At a later date.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Fair interpretation.

JAMES MARTIN: It's a fair interpretation.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Okay with you?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: June 1<sup>st</sup>?

RICHARD STOWE: That's challenging.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: That is what I thought it would be.

RICHARD STOWE: I don't know that there isn't a way to get it.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Do you want to make it June 1st?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I don't think you can rush it.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Why don't we shoot for that. If we can't accomplish --

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I don't think you understand the distinction what I'm talking about.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I hear you. I heard what you said.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I think Rich (Stowe) wants the guidelines spelled out in the local law, and I don't think the Ad Hoc Committee has the substance to be able to do the guidelines.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: No. I thought we were going to reference that they are going to sit down and spend some months --

RICHARD STOWE: If there is a way to do that, that's what we're going to try to do. I cannot sit here tonight and tell you that there is a way to do that.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I sensed from conversation I had with Rich (Stowe) earlier that clarity in what the guidelines are --

RICHARD STOWE: They ought to be incorporated into the local law, if that is at all possible. If not, they need to be easily referenced, okay?

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Okay.

At this point, a Public Forum was conducted to allow public speakers to address the Town Board. Six speakers addressed the Town Board on various subjects, and the Public Forum concluded at 8:43 p.m.

REPORTS:

**TOWN LIAISON REPORTS:**

Conservation Report by Virginia Ignatowski

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: First they wanted to say they appreciated the timeliness of the sign repairs and expressed their satisfaction with the appearance of them. They were ecstatic they came in before --

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Do we have before and after pictures?  
(Laughter.)

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: They had Kip Finley from Avery Engineering come in to discuss the landscaping on the property on the Archer/Beaver Road. They just wanted some assurances as to new trees planted would be the caliber requested. And they also reviewed their

## CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

preliminary site plan and they made suggestions for that.

They also had someone come in from the Omni Point Communications for the new tower that is being proposed on Fenton Road. I guess it is Chili Avenue, but it is really off Fenton. They had some concerns about it being placed in a residential area, recognizing it is a heavily wooded area.

They also went and reviewed the Planning Board agenda and they had several landscaping -- several of the plans were missing landscaping plans or checklist, so they really didn't comment on them because they were lacking those plans.

### Drainage Report by Virginia Ignatowski

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Drainage, Joe Carr gave his report that they were doing a lot of repairs and maintenance work this past month on drainage courses. They had several guests come in with problems and those were discussed with some suggestions and possible means of addressing the problems. That's it.

### Historic Preservation Report by Mary Sperr

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Um, yes. They met last April 9th and due to some vacations and then one of the members who was expected to attend was ill, they didn't have a quorum, but they still had their regular meeting.

A couple of the topics they did address was whether or not they plan to participate in Chil-E Fest, and since this meeting Bonnie Moore has met with Michael Curley and they're discussing opportunities for their participation in the Chil-E Fest, and they're going to start a project of documentation of the cobblestone houses in Chili. There will be several members working on that.

Um, and just the continuation of their Heritage Award. So if anyone, as they're about and around Town have historic areas that they feel would be eligible for an award by the Historic Preservation Board, feel free to weigh in. You can contact us by e-mail or give us a call if you have any recommendations from the public.

And while I have the floor, I wanted to address an article that appeared in today's Gates-Chili Post that I saw when I got home from work tonight. It is regarding the design charrette that is apparently being discussed around Town. There are a group of residents that have scheduled for the Rochester Regional Design Center to come in and hold an information meeting on May 23rd.

And with that information, Chris Swingly from the newspaper gave me a call to ask me about it. And what I said to her doesn't appear to be accurately represented in this newspaper article. Um, this has not been discussed by any of the rest of the Board, and this article makes it look like the entire Board has jumped on board and we're about to do a charrette for the Town. That isn't the case. And I just wanted to make sure I clarified that.

When I spoke to her, I was speaking in hypothetical terms. We all know that our Master Plan -- we have had a lot discussion here in Town that our Master Plan is going to expire in 2010, and the last time we did our Master Plan it took about two years to complete that process.

So I mentioned to her that we would probably be looking at starting that process in 2008 and looking to the future, and if we were to do a charrette -- there is a lot of information that needs to be gathered before that, and if it were done, it would have to fall under the umbrella of the Master Plan concept. I just want to make sure that I make it perfectly clear we did not have any discussions about this, but they did decide to bring this group in for an informational meeting, and I don't have any problem with listening to what anybody has to say or ideas that people bring forward, but to say at this stage that the Town is planning to do this, and that the Board is behind the whole effort really kind of belies the whole point of this. But I wanted to make sure that I could clarify that since a lot of the residents that do come to the meetings and -- will probably view and hear this also read the Gates-Chili Post.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I think that is the second article that I have seen that has been a total misrepresentation of what is going on.

The other one was the meeting that didn't happen that Michael (Slattery) referenced earlier. How you can write a story about a meeting that didn't take place and write it three days ahead of time is -- my concern is that yesterday my office was visited and I was invited to this meeting and hearing about it for the first time yesterday, and yet I pick up the paper and read it this morning in the paper. So I was very alarmed to read that elected officials had agreed to this and were supporting this when the elected officials -- when I as an elected official knew nothing about it.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: It would be good if we could hold our journalists up to the same level of integrity they expect of the elected officials.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Yes, it would be.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Denny (Schulmerich), I learned a long time ago, never argue with the people that buy the ink by the gallon.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: By the drum.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Their job is to sell papers. Irrespective of what the facts are.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: It makes it impossible to believe anything you read.

Library Report by Michael Slattery

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Multipurpose room is almost complete. Joe (Carr), they're appreciative of your services, help and assistance with that. Also, Joe (Carr), the HVAC work you're doing in the library, they appreciate that, as well. There is temperature differences in some sections of the library. By Joe (Carr) and professional assistance he had, they were able to help that situation.

Friends of the Library Book Sale will be May 19th from 9 to 4. The preview will be the 18th of May, from 2:30 to 4:30.

Also, they're interviewing for one vacancy that they have at this point due -- when we had the last meeting. It may be done by this time. So if anybody is still interested, they would take your application and if a vacancy arises, they may interview them. That is all I have.

Recreation Report by Supervisor Logel

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We talked about the summer program. We talked about the Chil-E Fest, and I imagine the Chil-E Fest will be talked about at every meeting from now until it takes place.

Summer programs are in the new brochure that is out -- or is it out yet?

MICHAEL CURLEY: Being printed and hopefully delivered sometime next week to the residents.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Then we had a new member. The new member was -- contributed actually right off the bat to some very important ideas, and some we latched onto quickly. I think it was a good recreation meeting.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Can I ask a question regarding recreation? Since we have Mr. Curley here, it was not only intimated but directly stated tonight that the Recreation Parks Master Plan, that the meetings were closed. I -- I'm surprised to hear that because I believe we worked hard to make sure that the public was informed and that they were given opportunities to speak. I would be interested in your perspective in terms of how those meetings were run and whether you felt as Chair that the public was given every opportunity to speak.

MICHAEL CURLEY: Definitely I would like to take the opportunity. First, I think it is important to reiterate that Mr. Caruso had nothing to do with the way the meetings are run. He is the professional consultant that we hired to assist with the creation and the writing of the document. So anyway that the meetings were handled would come back to me as the Chair.

I felt that they were open to the public. We advertised the meetings when we knew of them far enough in advance. There were some meetings that got rescheduled and we didn't have the opportunity to address and notify the public, but we did have three public hearings where people would come and give their input. We had the e-mail set up where people could e-mail the recommendations or thoughts to the committee.

We also had a 14-day public review period of the draft document that people could review and then again give us your suggestions.

Then we had our third public hearing at that point for people to review the final draft, and then we took the next step and instead of having the Town Board adopt it at that meeting, we waited until the next Town Board meeting to have you officially adopt it, so I feel that the public had ample time and opportunity to give their input.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: When you had the committee meetings, were the residents of the Town, if they attended, able to speak openly?

MICHAEL CURLEY: Yes, they were.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Thank you.

Traffic & Safety Report by Mary Sperr

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Okay. We had a couple guests attend our meeting last month of Traffic and Safety. It's kind of a rarity. We have had guests, two out of the last three meetings which is kind of nice. One of the residents -- his name is in the minutes, but I will leave it out of my public report -- expressed a concern and discussed this with the committee regarding speeding in his neighborhood. And the committee is going to -- will find out tomorrow night. The committee is going to work on a letter that we sent from that committee to various neighborhoods in Town alerting them to that school is going to be getting out shortly, the warmer weather, kids are out playing and please slow down in your own neighborhoods. The residents in their own neighborhoods are speeding in this particular instance. So we'll look forward to that if you get one of those letters from the Traffic Safety Committee.

And all of the old business normally kept on our agenda was discussed.

A couple points that I would like to make, there has been ongoing comments and Mr. Rettig was one of our guests discussing a guard rail to be put on Union Street. I know that it has been sent to the DOT -- let me look for my -- here it is. The committee was asked to look at the grade to the right of Chili Avenue as you're coming into the Town of Chili just west of Perna's Mobil and across from the townhouses for possible installation of guardrail. The committee received a response from the Town Engineer and the slope is designed within the specs of the Town DOT and County. And a letter was sent to that effect.

Some of the new business, um, the Supervisor has asked the Committee to look at all of the railroad crossings in the Town of Chili and list the ones that need repair. That was discussed at length, and we'll get further discussion this week.

They also reviewed the plans for 3 Circle Drive Subdivision and had no issues.

Congratulations was also issued to Mr. George Barnett in receiving his Merit of Service Award from Monroe County presented by Monroe County Executive Maggie Brooks.

Included in the minutes is -- was my homework assignment I was given by the Committee to contact the DOT to find out about the Union Street and Chili Avenue intersection. So I contacted and spoke to Dan Hollowell (phonetic) from Region 4 DOT regarding that intersection, and he told me it looks like we might be able to get this done in the 2008, 2009 time frame that was reported by the Governor's office to the Supervisor. It will be an in-house design. It is called an MBC effort or Maintenance By Contract, because it looks to be very simple, and the staff and the DOT can hammer the project out simply.

Any hesitation about absolutely saying this will be done just -- they're holding off to get absolute confirmation of the funding, but it is looking good. They also have -- they want to make sure they don't have any right-of-way issues which could at some point delay a project up to a year. And we are going to have right-of-way issues?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Yes, we do.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: That wasn't known at the time I did this.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: What she told me, if there are right-of-way issues and the Town gets involved and we -- we can sort of --

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: We can move it along.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We can cut the time frame down substantially.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: But they're probably not going to investigate a lot of drainage issues because that would require much more engineering than they want to do in-house, and I also asked Dan, since I travel that intersection a lot and live down there if they could look into that bump in the intersection as you approach that, you get this ba-ba-boom from all of the years of paving. He said they didn't want to get into it too much, but they will take a look. This is just preliminary conversation by the DOT, but I'm encouraged because without this type of help from the DOT, we're looking at 2010 construction. Or -- at the earliest. That is if everything went well. So that is it.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: On the railroad track, um, I talked with CSX about the track out here -- this is for the public's information. I received phone calls because if you come across this rail out here, you -- you see the rail actually move up and down. If there is water in there, you see water splash. I was very alarmed about that two months ago. It does seem to be getting worse. But the Railroad assured me they are aware of it and they will be in here, but they keep saying they won't be in here until October, until fall, unless they moved up the time frame, Joe (Carr). It's fall. You can call my

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

office if you're really concerned, but the best thing you can do is understand that we keep calling and we're trying to get it -- and the -- the other crossing is the one that -- on.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Union Street.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Yeah. That is another one that has got to be fixed. They say -- CSX says they will fix all of them, everything needs to be fixed.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Does the immediate proximity of the mammoth propane tanks not concern them?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Today I will tell you the trains were much slower. So I don't know if maybe, maybe they have slowed down.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: We haven't had one tonight. Usually we have one.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: If it goes the wrong way, they will have to do a lot more than repairing the section of track.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Now people are complaining because the trains are going slower because of the track, that the traffic is backing up.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I heard a (inaudible).

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Do we have an opportunity to appeal from a safety perspective their time frame of October?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I can give you their phone number.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: It has gone all of the way to the State level and they haven't responded.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: It has been like this for years.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: They do it here -- a little bit here and there, but this time they will completely replace the wood -- all of the wood, is what they have slated, because it is a real major undertaking.

JOSEPH CARR: One component of it. Each one is a little bit different. Some of them have wood timber ties.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Zoning Board.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Before you move on, can I just make one more announcement? The Gates-Chili Chamber of Commerce is going to hold their awards dinner at Brook-Lea Country Club on May 22nd, and I have got a few extra flyers if anyone in the audience is interested, and John (Caruso), one of these is for you. I mentioned it to him the other day. The key note speaker is George Ewing.

There was article in the Gates-Chili Post, and she did do a good job about this except there is a comment that says we're here to support mom and pops. We're going to institute a Business of the Month Award. We are going to look at all businesses in Town, not just the mom and pops. It is just especially nice that can we can support them.

The Chili Business of the Month winner this month will be Higbie Farm Supplies, and the Gates winner for the Business of the Month for the month of May is Calabresella. Two long-time businesses in both Chili and Gates. If anyone wants to know more about that, feel free to give me a call.

And there were some other phone numbers to contact, Don Faso and Mel Love in the newspaper today. I just want to make sure everyone knows we'll hold the annual award banquet.

Planning and Zoning Boards Report by Dennis Schulmerich

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Hot topic at Zoning this past month, April 24th meeting, was the Board's interpretation of the Building Inspector's read on the property on Ballantyne Road, 104 Ballantyne Road. That is the property where --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Silvarole.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: -- where the tankers are being stored and maintained. The decision of the Board approved five to one that the use is consistent with the decision of the Board dated back in March of 1960. So that will put to rest the question around the land use on that property.

For the balance of the meeting there were three sign variances. One was denied. It was an A sign. And two were approved.

One garage variance was approved.

Two shed variances were approved and one lot line variance was approved.

Planning, April 10th meeting, um, we had two subdivision approvals, two preliminary site plan.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

One was approved and one was denied. That's it.

**MATTERS OF THE SUPERVISOR:**

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I want to -- I have on here the National Day of Prayer, which is tomorrow night at Calvary Assembly of God, and in my article, I put the reason, if you didn't read it. We have -- we have always had it here. Well, I shouldn't say that. Last year we had it at the Senior Center. Prior to that it was always in the front yard and inclement weather, thunderstorms and lightning played havoc with music equipment and safety for everybody.

And this year, the Pastors suggested that we rotate it, and so I put it in the paper that this time it is over here at Calvary, close to Town Hall as we can have it, and I think you have the sign, the banner up, Joe (Carr).

And next year it will be at St. Pius, and the following year at our Father's House.

I'm not sure they have gotten any further with the list of where they are going to have it.

And I also have a notice of a road closure. If you're not aware of Reed Road between Ballantyne, Morgan will be closed from yesterday -- so if you travel it, you know it, from 8 a.m. yesterday for approximately seven days.

The Art Show starts Thursday or Friday?

DAWN FORTE: Saturday.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Saturday. But Friday night is their award -- so it starts Friday, because they -- they will be having their award presentations Friday night here at 8 o'clock. So anybody that -- it's open to the public. The Art Show will be set up in this room. They do a fantastic job every year. We have some very talented people in -- in Chili and our surrounding towns that participate in that Art Show.

I also wanted to mention something that was brought up, questioning the resolution that allows Jordan (Brown) to go to the spring 2007 Regional Local Government Workshop. That's the title.

And yes, to answer Mr. Rettig's question, the -- the topics are Zoning Board of Appeals overview, intermunicipal planning, how to work together. Guidelines. Preservation planning, tool kit, flood plain management. There is a whole selection. There is Planning Board overview. So there is all kind of information offered and you can select what -- what ones you wanted to attend.

So -- and yes, it was available to everybody. It was put in everybody's boxes, and yes, we would have paid the fee for any that wanted to attend.

Wood burning fire, furnace people. Um, because -- we built in the amortization, but that doesn't mean that, you know, should this thing become a real nuisance, we can't look back at it and see if we can make them put a smoke stack on it, but we need to look at that thing.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Question I would have is if, in fact, governmental agency has documented the fact that the wood burner is in violation of New York State Conservation Law, what remedies do the neighbors have in terms of filing the appropriate complaints and seeking appropriate action based on violations of that law? I don't think that is a Town Board action. It is probably a resident seeking support of a government agency.

RICHARD STOWE: DEC.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: DEC.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: So our advice would be that they file a complaint with the DEC?

RICHARD STOWE: I'm not sure that they haven't. But yes. The other remedy is amortizing, but there is always a possibility of civil suit, neighbor to neighbor, if it is truly a nuisance. But the -- but I think the appropriate authority is DEC at this point.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: Are any of them still here?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: No. They all left.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: About five minutes ago.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: One of the things, I received a call from one of them pointing out this Victor article, which is the back of what they handed out where in Victor they banned all of them, like we did. So we need to clear up -- I have to call -- the conception that people can come in and put one in. There is only this one that can be left. And they apparently not only allowed that one, but they said they had to put on -- increase the smoke stack 3 feet higher than the nearby roof peaks, which is very interesting and they limited the use from November to March. So that in the summertime from like April.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: It would be interesting to pursue.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Yes. So it is something we'll have to see how -- whether it gets any worse or not.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: More discussion.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I believe that at the time we passed that law, the Town was only aware of two.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We were only aware of one.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: One came forward that night to speak, so that was the second one. Those are the only two that are grandfathered in with the seven years.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I don't know if the second one was grandfathered in. We didn't know it existed. The other one we knew existed.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: They didn't apply for a permit.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: They didn't apply for anything. They're not grandfathered into anything.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Then I don't know that then. I will leave that to the legal minds.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, Supervisor, I have two things under the Matters of the Supervisor. One would be actually dialogue with the speakers. I know tonight people started and then were told to stop and then later there was dialogue with the speakers. I think we need to look at that and think about that from -- when you have the individuals that are speaking, they're stating misinformation, the wrong facts and so forth. I think that we need to think about stopping them, addressing that, because --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Then they will say we took up their time.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Then I think we need to be flexible, because when they're going to stand up for that five minutes to speak, and if they keep stating misinformation, not the correct facts --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I know.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: -- then we need to address that. Because now they left. The media that was present, they heard that. They -- that -- the media left, as well. So if they're going to do a story, they may not have all of the correct information, as we stated earlier.

So I think we need to look at that and address that. I think the dialogue would be, you know -- at some point, we need to correct the misinformation that is out there.

And next would be the -- the next thing I would have is a comment was made in regards to the grants and that it is our money. I truly agree. And I wish both our State representatives -- I know one does more than the other -- but I wish that money would come back into our community.

We as taxpayers put that money out there. One of them doesn't believe in member items, the pork barrel spending. That pork barrel is our money, and whether you believe in it or not, it is going somewhere. It is just not coming back home.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: You're right.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That is why I think we need to bring that money back home.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: The counter opinion is if you don't use it to lower your taxes -- and you know how often that happens.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: If that individual is not using it for the towns that they represent, another Legislator is using it for their towns.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Is it going someplace else.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: We should be getting our fair share, if not more.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: The final comment I had, name plates for the new Board members, committee members such as Zoning Board.

DAWN FORTE: I'm working on those.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Okay. Because I just happened to be at Zoning, and I saw the paper that was set there in front.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: But their vote counts just as much as somebody with a plate.

In the interest of addressing a question from Mrs. Borgus earlier, we do know we have an open seat on Zoning.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We have a couple --

## CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: We have a couple of applications. We just simply need to get together to do the work.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Do the interview.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: One can debate whether it is timely or taking too long. And in the spirit of how long I have seen some things take, I think we're being pretty efficient on this one.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I believe that topic was addressed by yourself at the last -- one of the last two Town Board meetings that we wanted to make sure that the Board had a chance to get --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Some resumes.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Well -- not just that. That the Board -- the current sitting members had a chance to kind of get together as a Board. There has been a lot of change on that Board. I thought we already addressed that.

The 3/21/07 and 4/4/07 Town Board Meeting Minutes were approved as modified.

The 1/23/07 and 4/17/07 Town Board Workshop Minutes were approved as submitted.

### **REPORTS SUBMITTED:**

Annual Financial Report – Y.E. 12/31/06

Community Center Revenue Report – March 2007

Recreation Center Revenue Report – March 2007

Senior Center Revenue Report – March 2007

Conservation Board Minutes – 3/5/07, 4/2/07

Drainage Committee Minutes – 3/6/07

Historic Preservation Board Minutes – 3/20/07

Library Board of Trustees Minutes – 3/26/07

Monthly Finance Report – January 2007, February 2007

Planning Board Minutes – 3/13/07

Town Clerk Report – March 2007

Traffic & Safety Committee Minutes - 3/6/07

Zoning Board of Appeals Minutes – 2/27/07

### **CORRESPONDENCE:**

1. Mr. Brongo has received formal notification from Deborah Kirby of Merzabach Law Office, P.C. representing the JC Wirth\_Catering Services located at 200 Wegman's Market Street, Chili, NY that they have made application for Liquor License with the State Liquor Authority.
2. Mr. Brongo has received formal notification from AMF Bowling Centers, Inc., for AMF Olympic Lanes, 1350 Scottsville Road, Rochester, New York 14624 that they have made application for renewal of their Liquor Licenses with the State Liquor Authority.

---

### TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: There was a question on this.

RICHARD STOWE: I think I can help.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Thank you.

RICHARD STOWE: AT&T has allegedly installed some of their fiberoptic and other cable communication equipment along not just the CSX main line but Rochester -- the old Rochester Southern and even some of the old West Shore right-of-way tracks across Pennsylvania and New York. Counsel -- class action counsel started a lawsuit and the referee and master in their infinite wisdom required everyone who owned property along the railroad that was in question, whether AT&T laid the line, to be notified of their ability to become a member of the class, and settle and share in the settlement amount as a member of the class.

This allows the Supervisor to execute membership and agreement to the amount of the class action lawsuit. In looking at this, there are several hundred feet of road frontage involved in the Town of

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

Chili where the Town owns property.

Some of the residents may have also received those sorts of notices. But on a per-foot basis, to be able to hire independent counsel instead of joining the class would be cost prohibitive.

So the long and the short of this is that the Town is agreeing to enter the class and accept the per foot settlement offer, which I hope nobody asks me to tell you how much it is, because I don't remember. But it is clearly cost prohibitive to do it otherwise.

**RESOLUTION #165 RE: CLASS SETTLEMENT "TELECOMMUNICATION CABLE"/ RAILROAD CORRIDOR**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery      SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Chili is one of a "class" of property owners who may be eligible to receive benefits in a legal settlement of a class action lawsuit against AT&T and,

**WHEREAS**, the claim must be filed in a timely manner,

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that Supervisor Logel is authorized to sign the claim form for the class settlement "Telecommunication Cable"/ Railroad Corridor.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #166 RE: APPROVING EXTENSION CHILI CONSOLIDATED DRAINAGE DISTRICT TO SERVE TO SERVE 3 CIRCLE DRIVE SUBDIVISION LOCATED AT 3 CIRCLE DRIVE TAX MAP NO. 160.010-001-007, ROCHESTER, N.Y. 14623, IN THE TOWN OF CHILI, COUNTY OF MONROE AND STATE OF NEW YORK**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery      SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich**

**WHEREAS**, a petition for the extension of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District to serve 3 Circle Drive property, Tax Map No. 160.010-001-007, located at 3 Circle Drive, Rochester, NY 14623 a territory located within the Town of Chili, Monroe County, New York, the said petition being dated the March 14, 2007, was duly presented to the Town Board together with the necessary map and plan attached thereto; and

**WHEREAS**, an order was duly adopted by the Town Board on March 21, 2007 reciting the filing of said petition, the boundaries of the proposed district, the proposed services to be provided, the fact that no drainage improvements are proposed to be constructed therein by the Chili Consolidated Drainage District at this time, the estimated costs of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District, as extended, to the typical property, or if different, to the typical one or two family home, within the Chili Consolidated Drainage District, as extended, and specifying May 2, 2007 at 7:00 P.M. as the time and the Town Hall in the said Town of Chili as the place where the said Town Board would meet to consider the petition and to hear all persons interested in the subject thereof, concerning the same; and

**WHEREAS**, such order was duly posted and published as required by law; and

**WHEREAS**, a hearing on the matter was duly held by the Board on the 2nd day of May, 2007, commencing at 7:00 P.M. at the Town Hall in the said Town and discussion upon the matter having been had and all persons desiring to be heard having been duly heard; and

**WHEREAS**, this Board has duly reviewed and considered the short Environmental Assessment Form submitted in this matter, as well as all other information obtained at the public hearing referred to above; and the Board has duly considered the impacts which may reasonably expected to result from the proposed action by using the process and criteria set forth in Article 8 of the State Environmental

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

Quality Review Act and applicable regulations thereunder ("SEQRA");

**NOW, THEREFORE**, upon the evidence obtained by the Town Board at said public hearing and upon all other information obtained and reviewed by the Board, it is

**RESOLVED AND DETERMINED** that the proposed extension of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District is not likely to result in the creation of potentially significant adverse environmental impacts and therefore, this Town Board does hereby make a Determination of NonSignificance, or a "Negative Declaration" (as the same is defined for purposes of SEQRA); and be it further

**RESOLVED AND DETERMINED**, that (a) the petition aforesaid is signed and acknowledged or proved as required by law, and it duly complies with the requirements of Section 191 of Town Law as to the sufficiency of signers and is otherwise sufficient; (b) all the property and property owners within the proposed district extension are benefitted thereby; © all the property and property owners benefitted are included within the limits of the of the proposed district extension; (d) it is in the public interest to extend the district only if the expenses of the district (except as otherwise provided herein) shall be assessed against the entire district, as extended; and (e) it is in the public interest to grant in whole the relief requested; and be it further,

**RESOLVED AND DETERMINED**, that the extension of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District as proposed in said petition be approved; that cost of the formation of the district extension shall be paid by the petitioners; and that such district shall be bounded and described as set forth in Schedule A, available in the Town Clerk's Office; and be it further,

**RESOLVED AND DETERMINED**, that all expenses of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District, including this extension and all other extensions heretofore and hereafter created, shall be a charge against the entire area of the district, as extended, except as otherwise provided above; and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that the Town Clerk of this Town shall within ten (10) days after the adoption of this resolution file certified copies thereof in duplicate in the office of the State Department of Audit and Control at Albany, New York; and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that the Town Clerk, within ten (10) days of the adoption of this resolution, shall cause to be published and posted, as required by law, a notice setting forth an abstract of this resolution, the date that it was adopted and a statement that it is subject to a permissive referendum.

**Upon a call of the Roll of the Members of the Town Board of the Town of Chili:**

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #167 Re: Local Government Workshop**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich      SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize Jordon Brown, Zoning Board of Appeals Member to attend a Local Government Workshop to be held on May 11, 2007 at the Burgundy Basin Inn cost not to exceed \$40.00 plus mileage.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #168 RE:\_ 5K Run for St. Christopher's Church**

**OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr      SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich**

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the Town Board hereby authorizes St. Christopher's Church to hold their Annual 5K race on June 8, 2007, in conjunction with their annual festival, and conforming to the route submitted, with a condition that a certificate of insurance naming the Town as an additional insured be provided prior to the race. St. Christopher's has already notified the Monroe County Sheriff's Office at Zone C and the Chili Fire Department and Ambulance of their intent.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #169 RE: Use of the Senior Center Fundraiser Account**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery**

**WHEREAS**, the Senior Center Fundraiser Account was established in the year 2000 to hold proceeds from fundraising activities to benefit the Chili Senior Center. The total sums due will not exceed \$1000 to be paid from account #TA-88-6772.2

**WHEREAS**, Mary Anne Sears, Director of Programs for the Aging, and the Voices and Visions Committee, a volunteer group of senior citizens, wish to purchase additional arm chairs for use in the Senior Center. Arm chairs are needed for additional support, safety and ease of transfer for the seniors, especially those that may have a disability.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I believe we did this for the Recreation Department if I'm not --

MICHAEL CURLEY: That was a different licensing. That was ASCAP for music.

RICHARD STOWE: Music. Same theory, different application.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: More money.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Now I guess my question would be, would you -- would the Recreation Department be able to fall under this agreement if they decided to do something?

RICHARD STOWE: Yes. It is a Town license.

MICHAEL CURLEY: Actually, it -- this one is specifically geared towards senior citizens centers. There is a separate one that would cover the Town for youth programs and for programs that were going to be shown for community wide. Not outdoor.

If we want to do an outdoor movie, we have to have yet another license. This only covers the Senior Center.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: It is actually just -- they can do that.

MICHAEL CURLEY: Because they reduce the rate. If we want to have them for our summer camps and Community Center programs, they would have yet another higher rate.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: How would this work for the Library? Library would have to do something on their own?

RICHARD STOWE: If they choose.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, the Library has, with that new room that they just had set up -- so I will coordinate that. I will communicate this to the Library and they may be giving you a call to see what they need to do.

MICHAEL CURLEY: They may be exempt. I'm not 100 percent sure. I believe educational facilities like schools and possibly libraries may be exempt. But have them give me a call and I will look up the information.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I will do that.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Wouldn't it have been more cost effective as a Town to do the package? If we do these incremental --

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Is there a package?

RICHARD STOWE: He said there is.

MICHAEL CURLEY: There is, but we don't generally show movies with our summer -- we try to keep them more engaged. If we're going to do a movie, it would be more of the outdoor type and that would be yet other licensing not by this organization.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: This is essentially required because of the digital projector put in the Senior Center, and if they want to show movies to the seniors -- because of the law, they need to have the license.

MICHAEL CURLEY: Exactly.

**RESOLUTION #170 RE: Licensing for Motion Picture Rights**

**OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery**

**WHEREAS**, the Senior Center regularly shows movies as part of their programming; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town must comply with the requirements of Federal Copyright Law, specifically as they pertain to the public performance of copyright works;

**WHEREAS**, Mary Anne Sears, Director of Programs for the Aging, recommends the Town of Chili enter into an agreement with the Motion Picture Licensing Corporation, for the purpose of licensing DVD and video productions for public performance; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that Supervisor Logel is hereby authorized to enter into an agreement with the MPLC for a period of twelve months. The total sums due will not exceed \$255.00 to be paid from account A6772.4.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Um, the audience had -- Mrs. Borgus had mentioned that the location -- we do have pictures. I just wanted to show you we have had -- received pictures regarding that site. I would assume --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Would you like to address this?

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: I'm assuming it is not a phantom house.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: It's not a phantom house. I think she was mixing -- I'm not sure, but the one down by Our Father's House.

MS. BORGUS: No.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We all have that.

JOSEPH CARR: Okay.

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: It is really there.

JOSEPH CARR: Yes.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Still is there. It didn't disappear overnight.

JOSEPH CARR: No. This is -- this has been the status for about 20 months, since the incident happened. It's in the hands of the holding company or whatever. Nothing is happening. You can see by one of the photos that shows the structure itself, it is boarded up. It has been for 20 months. With some tarping over the roof. First of all, it is a real eyesore.

Secondly as you can see, now the foundation is starting to crumble. The basement is full of water. It is a hazardous situation. I think we're moving forward under the Town's code to deal with that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I just have one more comment. It's the structure that is opposite the Family Video on Union Street. I look at the condition of that, and actually I looked at that pictometry, the rear of that house. Can we have -- the greenhouse that isn't a greenhouse, doesn't grow

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

the plants -- well, they may.

(Laughter.)

COUNCILMAN SCHULMERICH: The house that is under remodeling.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: The windows are out.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Can we have our Building Department just look at that?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We had them. I have done -- I had them, because I have heard some complaints before, and I told them to go look at it. And they have done it. And I agree with you. And I think half of the Town agrees with you. It is privately owned, and Mr. Nagle (phonetic) is right there in the area.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So is this one.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: No. This guy --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: It is privately owned.

RICHARD STOWE: Not in the area.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Not in the area.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Has the Town ever had to do this in the past, Joe (Carr)?

JOSEPH CARR: Yes.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: We have, right. This same process was used successfully.

RICHARD STOWE: Yes. You might recall that the most recent one that came up was the house on Chili Riga Town Line Road.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: The back side of Black Creek Park a couple years.

RICHARD STOWE: Yes. It was a problem.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Similar situation.

RICHARD STOWE: That is the last one I remember.

**RESOLUTION #171 RE: SETTING PUBLIC HEARING FOR DEMOLITION OR REPAIR OF STRUCTURES OWNED BY MICHAEL DEVELDER**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Sperr**

**WHEREAS**, the Building and Plumbing Inspector of the Town of Chili has filed his report in writing with the Town Board of the Town of Chili containing his findings and recommendations regarding certain structures situate on premises at 1370 PAUL ROAD, TOWN OF CHILI, NY designated by Tax Map No. 145.03-1-31, in which report said Building and Plumbing Inspector states his opinion that said structures are unsafe and dangerous to the public and recommends their immediate demolition and removal and,

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board of the Town of Chili after reviewing said report and after hearing said Building and Plumbing Inspector in regard thereto and after having duly deliberated upon the same, having determined that said report warrants the securing of said structures or their removal.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that: (1) notice be given to Michael Develder reputed owners of these structures situate on premises on 1370 PAUL ROAD, TOWN OF CHILI, NY designated by Tax Map No. 145.03-1-31, in the form required by Local Law #4 of 1997 of the Town of Chili, (2) said notice to be served personally upon said Michael Develder or by first class mail to their last known residences as shown by the Official Assessment Roll of the Town of Chili, if such persons cannot be reasonably found for such personal service and by affixing a copy thereof upon said structures, (3) said notice shall notify the property owner(s) that the Town Board has scheduled a Public Hearing to consider whether or not an ORDER should be made with regard to the structures on the property ORDERING their REPAIR if the same is feasible, or ORDERING their DEMOLITION and REMOVAL. At the Public Hearing the report of the Building and Plumbing Inspector shall be considered. Said report recommends that the structures on the property be DEMOLISHED AND REMOVED AND THAT THE PREMISES BE CLEARED OF ALL BRUSH AND GRASS PRESENTLY IN VIOLATION OF Local Law #4 of 1997 OF THE TOWN OF CHILI ("the work"), and all work to be commenced within twenty (20) days of the service of said ORDER and to be completed within forty-five (45) days thereafter, (4) If said work is not commenced within 20 days,

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

said Order may provide that the Town of Chili may perform the work at the expense of the property owners, and (I) be assessed & levied against the land on which said structures are located along with legal expenses and (ii) to be collected by special proceeding along with legal expenses (5) A public hearing has been scheduled on June 6, 2007, at 7:00 p.m., at 3333 Chili Avenue, Rochester, NY 14624, to consider said ORDER, and (6) the Building and Plumbing Inspector of the Town of Chili be and he hereby is directed to prepare said notice and arrange for the service thereof in accordance with the requirements of said Local Law.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: In regards to.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: In regards to the Chil-E Fest, I see there are a number of resolutions. Do we know what type of kids' activities there will be for the Chil-E Fest this year?

MICHAEL CURLEY: We have a meeting on -- coming Monday with my Ad Hoc Chil-E Fest Committee, with members of the Recreation Committee, and we also have the Rec Committee meeting on Wednesday to kind of start fine-tuning some of the details.

We have the inflatable bouncers, some kids entertainment.

I talked to a number of the youth sports groups to have some come set up booths.

I plan on having my summer staff face painting, running games, things like that.

Also trying to get help from as many booster clubs from the local high schools to maybe come in and to take part and run a game and activities. There will be more games and some bouncys and entertainment during that portion of the Saturday afternoon.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I'm looking at it from the standpoint of the Chil-E Fest as a family event, and when we started going to the arts and crafts, it's aimed towards one age group. Bouncy rides, I looked at are aimed to the younger age group. I'm looking for that middle age group that has had the tendency of being pushed to the side, and we can have booths and so forth, but I would like to see activities for these individuals.

We have a nice budget that we put aside for recreation for, you know, for the Chil-E Fest, as well. Um, I don't want this to become a -- either you're a young age or an older age and we're neglecting that middle area. Because I believe that is a critical time in their lives and we should be providing something for them.

You know, we have a great Senior Center for our seniors and so forth. We have a Community Center that is, as we all know, is outdated, is too small to hold activities for those older ones.

And I look at the number of activities that we hold throughout the year. We're neglecting these folks. And we really need -- I will -- I have said it before. We need to have programs, activities for them. I know there was some problems with the rides, you know, the -- the different characters that come in. We're going to have that. I understand that.

But I also think that we need to provide them with something. And I strongly am urging that we do -- fireworks are great. The bands are great.

**RESOLUTION #172 RE: Chil-E-Festival Fireworks**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**WHEREAS**, three proposals were received for providing a fireworks display for the 2007 Chil-E Festival each in the amount of \$6000.00 from the following vendors:

Young Explosives Corporation  
Pyrotechnico  
Zambelli Fireworks Internationale

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that per the recommendation of Michael Curley, Parks

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

and Recreation Director, that Supervisor Logel is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with Young Explosive Corporation for the provision of professional fireworks display at the 2007 Chil-E Festival in the amount of \$6000.00 to be paid from Account A7550.4 (Celebrations).

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Next question I would have, we're going to get to the Resolution 173, which is the second one. How many stages and, you know, where does this compare in the cost to last year?

MICHAEL CURLEY: Um, last year, it -- it is difficult to answer because we had the lump sum contract with Rainbow Talent which encompassed the sound stage and all of the entertainment.

Backing up to previous years this is the same contract we that had with Ken Bean (phonetic), Pro Audio for a number of years. It was comparable to previous years when we ran stage and sound.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: How many stages?

MICHAEL CURLEY: That comes as one. I didn't build into the price right now the secondary stage because it will rely on if we get the Battle of the Band teens -- teen bands to come in, but then also what we're going to need for that setup. That will be yet another resolution once we know if we need it and what we're going to do.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Next point I would make is in regards to the parade, um, a comment has been over the years of the parade is long, it's spread out, you know, the little kids walking in the sports groups. Just a thought, maybe you are already work on it, pulling wagons, large wagons so we can put the sports groups on or they can provide them or something. Because what happens, as you know, they get spread out, and a parade that should have took maybe 45 minutes is now an hour and a half because of how everything gets spread out.

MICHAEL CURLEY: One thing that may help them this year, we're looking to utilize the same route as last year which is down Chili Avenue, from St. Pius. In order to do that, we would have to change the parade time, which is one of the things mentioned at the previous Rec. meeting. Instead of having it -- a 6 or 7 o'clock evening parade, having an 11 o'clock parade in the morning. That way we could utilize the route in front of St. Pius because they -- they have a 5 o'clock service which would interfere with our 6 or 7 o'clock time.

That is a shorter route than in the past. As you recall from last year, last year's parade was very quick. But yet the number in attendance was actually a lot more than we do because of the -- all of the fire departments. So it would be shorter that way. So that could help out.

And we have expressed to the groups in the past to have floats or to maybe provide rides, but what I have been told is that the kids enjoy walking, throwing the candy, and you know, interacting with the people. But it is a point well taken that maybe we have somewhere down the road if they get tired, they hop on a wagon in maybe the second half, not the first half.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: The only other comment I would have is motorized vehicles, um, there has been in the past motorized vehicles, people doing tricks on them and so forth. I just caution the safety, you know, the -- of doing that. You know, there are little kids there, and they see a piece of candy laying out there, and they just run out there to get it. So...

Thank you, Michael (Curley).

**RESOLUTION #173 RE: SOUND, STAGING, AND LIGHTING For Chil-E Fest**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**WHEREAS**, the Town intends to provide live entertainment during the 2007 Chil-“E” Festival to the benefit of resident of the Town, and,

**WHEREAS**, Michael Curley, Parks and Recreation Director, has explored various means in which to provide lighting, staging and sound systems, and,

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

**WHEREAS**, two proposals to provide lighting, staging and sound were received from the following providers:

Pro Audio Consulting	4500.00
S.O.L Productions	4600.00

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** to accept the proposal of Pro Audio Consulting, which has provided superior quality sound system services in the past, and authorize Supervisor Logel to sign an agreement in the amount of \$4500.00 to be paid from Account A75504 (Celebrations).

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #174 RE: Marching Band for Chil-E Fest**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich    SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Supervisor Logel, is hereby authorized to sign an agreement with The Gates Keystone Club Police Pipes and Drums to perform at the Chil-“E” Fest parade on July 21, 2007 at a cost of \$650.00, to be paid from account A7550.4 (Celebrations)

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #175 RE: Marching Band for Chil-E Fest**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich    SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Supervisor Logel, is hereby authorized to sign an agreement with Towpath Volunteers Fife and Drum Corp, Inc. to perform at the Chil-“E” Fest parade on July 21, 2007 at a cost of \$450.00, to be paid from account A7550.4 (Celebrations)

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #176 RE: Marching Band for Chil-E Fest**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich    SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Supervisor Logel, is hereby authorized to sign an agreement with Bayside Fireman’s Band to perform at the Chil-“E” Fest parade on July 21, 2007 at a cost of \$600.00, to be paid from account A7550.4 (Celebrations)

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #177 RE: Marching Band for Chil-E Fest**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich    SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Supervisor Logel, is hereby authorized to sign an agreement with Prime Time Brass to perform at the Chil-“E” Fest parade on July 21, 2007 at a cost of \$700.00, to be paid from account A7550.4 (Celebrations).

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Bands that we are going to have, that is going to be the next question I have, is -- you know, one of the bands that we're hiring, you know, what type of band is it? It is going to be Resolution 178. Boyz/Rickey Ellis. Um, what age appropriate band is that? You know, we brought in one band -- Ruby Shooz for a number of years they came in. They were great. They were an older band. You know, they participated. They got people up dancing and so forth.

But then we brought in, you know, Gary the Happy Pirate, and things along that line, but it was aimed towards the younger folks. We got to find that medium that -- and I -- you know, I -- I truly believe it, and I see the activities that, you know, we're providing. We need to have that. And I would -- you know, I -- I appreciate your time and efforts and -- and it is bringing this message back to the rec. advisory with the Supervisor as liaison. We need to look at those. We need to look at that. I can't say it long enough and loud enough.

MICHAEL CURLEY: One activity we're trying to come up with this year is Battle of the Bands for high school age kids. That can be anywhere from middle school up through seniors in high school, and giving them an evening where they will have a stage to themselves so that they will have, you know -- that is their area. They have their own covered area behind the Senior Center, so -- so while we're there, the families, whoever, the adults will be at the primary stage, which is Chili Paul Plaza.

This year on Saturday night, um, we're looking to bring in a band called Mid Jam which they're actually a -- teenagers who are 12 to 15 years old and they're a rock and roll band. Play at the Lilac Festival. It wasn't the Jazz Festival, but they played a big festival and they gear to the age group 10 up to 18, 19. You're absolutely right. Is a very difficult age to really captivate.

When we did have the rides in the past, it did hit them. But yet we missed on some of the younger audiences. So, you know, it is difficult to hit everyone. We try to do the best we can and do broad strokes, but obviously some people will be missed out. You're absolutely right with this set-up, that is the age group, that portion is getting missed the most, but we can definitely consider some new ideas and try to incorporate them, maybe get them involved in running things.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Without a doubt. You brought up a band set-up behind the Senior Center on -- on Town property. Where are you looking?

MICHAEL CURLEY: Basically right in front of where the parks garage is, setting up a small -- just a make shift stage, you know, risers, something small.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: In the parking lot. I guess my one concern, the one thing that came to mind was the beer tent is right around the corner.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: If there is a beer tent.

MICHAEL CURLEY: If there is a beer tent this year. With the events moving across to Chili/Paul Plaza, I don't say this -- I have no -- no knowledge, but the Mug -- not the Mug. What it is called now. The Village Pub in years past hadn't been involved with it. Because the proximity to the stage, they may want to do something this year. The people who have run the beer tent in the past don't really have a lot of interest in it, one, because it has not been revenue generating for them in the past because of the proximity it was from the stage. Now we're moving the stage even farther from them. I don't think there will be an interest from any group running the beer tent.

The Fire Department said they don't want nothing to do with it. It is a very good point. But I don't think we'll have a beer tent to worry about this year.

**RESOLUTION #178 RE: Musical Entertainment for Chil-E Fest**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich      SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Supervisor Logel is hereby authorized to sign a contract with Me and the Boyz/Rickey Ellis to provide musical entertainment at the 2007 Chili Festival for \$850.00, to be paid from account A7550.4. (Celebrations).

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: There were a couple of questions on this particular resolution, and I asked Mr. Curley to bring the names of the -- they were different. So it is -- you know, the --

MICHAEL CURLEY: We received proposals from EDR, Environmental Design and Resource; Accord Landscaping Architect; and Passero Associates.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: That was on our draft. You had that -- you had those three listed on the draft.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: And they got -- okay.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, are there other comments? I -- actually I have a couple. How will -- how will this be done with Passero?

MICHAEL CURLEY: In terms of?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Um, it says, "Whereas, the Town will be contracting with a professional consultant to assist."

Who is going to be assisting them?

MICHAEL CURLEY: Well, myself and -- if there is a need to form a committee or have workshops, obviously there is that. My intention is to meet with Mr. Caruso and whoever their representatives are. You know, if we need a public hearing to get input, but utilize the Parks and Rec. Master Plan. There was a lot of feedback regarding Baker in the discussions of the seven, eight months that we had that process, but I firmly believe there should be some opportunity for the public to give some input, as well as the Town Board. And then once a conceptual draft plan is designed, bring that back to the -- you to review and vote on.

But I would like to mention that the State has recently announced their most recent grant cycle for the Land Water Conservation Fund, and they just announced it the end of April. There is a meeting next week I'm attending, but the deadline is June 29th. We're covered this year, because Baker has been adopted as part of the Master Plan. That was our problem we had last year.

So we have Baker mentioned at our Master Plan, so we're covered, but I feel that if we had a conceptual plan adopted by the Town, it would make a much stronger application and increase our chances for funding, especially with the federal government cutting their funding back, they have not even announced what the amount is going to be. There might be no money coming from the feds. It may be more on the side of the State. So we have to obviously try to put together the strongest application we can, but that doesn't mean to rush it. If we come together with a conceptual plan that is not up to the liking of the Town, then we don't vote on it, knowing that we are covered from the Master Plan point of view, that we can still go forward with an application, but it might just not be as strong.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Is that part of Passero's charge, to assist you with the grant?

MICHAEL CURLEY: No. Theirs is purely to assist with, I guess, the drawing and the conceptual renderings we have of what that park may become.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That leads to my next question. Will there be a written document with plans and the cost for the recommendations that are -- that you're proposing?

MICHAEL CURLEY: I don't have the RFP in front of me. But there was a call for some drawings, some conceptual plans. And knowing John (Caruso) and how we have a -- we see these presentations tonight, I think he went above and beyond. Same thing with the Master Plan. I can't speak that he will definitely do this, but I'm comfortable saying there is a good opportunity that he will provide us with some dollar figures to those, which are already in the Master Plan, as well. We have dollars associated with Baker now. Now it's just fine-tuning what we want to put in there and then fine-tuning the price.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I know going through the Master Plan, out of all of the parks we looked at, that was the hot topic park, and with elimination of that, this, and doing this. So I'm cautious moving forward with this, because I know how much controversy there was before. So I just want to say if, you know, there is going to be meetings, that we recommend that the public is aware of them, that we're aware of them, so we can participate and be involved to help things move along smoother, quicker.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

So thank you, Michael (Curley).

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Make sure if there are ever any changes, that notices get put on the bulletin board out in our vestibule area.

The other thing that didn't get mention tonight, is one of the things with Passero, Passero had experience with the other two things, so they're building on knowledge that they already have, which another firm coming in would have to start from scratch.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Right.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: So there's a big advantage to that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Which I think is a very good point to make, why people sitting at home may see this and say, "Well, you -- what is your relationship with Passero?"

They just happened to be involved with it. They had that history. They have the knowledge so.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Right.

**RESOLUTION #179 RE: Baker Property Consultant**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich**

**SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery**

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Chili will be contracting with a professional consultant to assist with the development of a conceptual plan for Baker Park

**AND WHEREAS**, a Request for Proposal was issued and proposals were received from the following firms:

**AND WHEREAS**, Passero Associates consulted with the Parks and Recreation Master Plan update and is familiar with Baker Park

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Supervisor Logel is hereby authorized to sign a contract with Passero Associates to provide consultant services for Baker Park with the amount of contract not to exceed \$5,000.00.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: The figure we have, who approved that amount? Who reviewed that and approved it? Joe (Carr)?

JOSEPH CARR: The original number is developed by the developer's site engineer, and then that whole package is reviewed by the Town Engineer. And then we did receive a recommendation from our Town Engineer to accept that dollar amount.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Thank you.

In case there was a problem with the work didn't get completed or stopped, I want to make sure we had sufficient funds there to go and do the work.

**RESOLUTION #180 RE: Establish Letter of Credit for  
Pride Mark Town Homes of Chili & Rochester Presbyterian Homes**

**OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich**

**BE IT RESOLVED** that per recommendation of the Town Engineer, a letter of credit be established for Pride Mark Town Homes of Chili & Rochester Presbyterian Homes in the amount of \$92,981.04. Items within the letter of credit include, but are not limited to, rough grading and construction retention ponds, erosion control measures and Phase II inspections, storm sewer construction and restoration on Town property, and preparation of record plans to be submitted to the Town.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

Additional provisions included within the letter of credit are a 10% construction contingency, a 5% contingency for Town Engineering inspection services and a 1% contingency for Town Administration.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Are Town employees doing any of this work?

JOSEPH CARR: No.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: It talks about emergency preparedness planning efforts. As far as the emergency preparedness, did someone review the Town facilities and this came up? How did this come to be about?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: This came about because of the Homeland Security talks and the fact that we need to find two sites and alternate sites, and we had a grant opportunity and Mr. Alessi's office, when this grant came through, suggested that meeting Homeland Security needs and things that were missing would be excellent for the grant and easy for him to obtain.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Are there other outstanding issues that -- Number 1, did -- I guess the Homeland Security one, when you look at it from the standpoint of -- I'm not sure how two showers, you know, why -- why you say two showers. I guess I'm trying to figure out, are there other issues that we should be looking at, as well?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Well, the facilities that have to be made available have to have a kitchen, which this building has. Okay. They have to have large rooms like this particular room for assembly for -- if you're going to do it for a POD, for giving the injections to -- you funnel people through. You also have to have a back-up smaller room area for people that would be coming which are ill. We have a large area that is unused that you -- you saw the other night.

We have to also have individual rooms where people can rest because you're working 12-hour shifts, two 12-hour shifts. Their plan is to be able to inoculate in a 48-hour period.

Thirdly, you need have the long haul ways for the staging areas to be able to bring the people in at one end and then stage them, have them ready by the time they enter this room, they have another door to go out. They look at facilities that had indoors, parking, growth systems. It is a tremendous network that they looked at, and it was one of the things why -- Paul Road right now is listed as one of our sites, but they have inoperable showers.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: What is on Paul Road, the school?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: The school. Their showers were 15 years ago turned into storage rooms and are not functioning. And our other site is Roberts Wesleyan's fitness center, which is perfect.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Which is a great site.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: And the Paul Road site, they're a little concerned about because it's -- the Sheriffs when they came and looked at it, they said, "Well, the only way we can handle this would be to do a one-way." One way down Marshall, one way up Paul and one way back up Archer to move traffic, where here you have another location that has got road access and -- and easy swing to do it. So it's -- it's basically meeting the demands for -- for these to be available, and they want them not just under the guise of pandemic flu. They want them for any national emergency or disaster, and they really want every community in this country prepared for this, and they are holding our feet to the fire to do it.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Is that part of the NIMS training?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: NIMS and PODs are different, but they're similar. NIMS is part of the emergency preparedness stuff.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Exactly. In looking at this you stated that the Sheriff's Department came in.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: They came in for the PODs, yes. The points of distribution. That would be -- you see the Monroe County Health Department -- it is really interesting, because you talk about these acronyms, but the points of distribution for pandemic flu involved the Sheriffs early on, because they -- that is their first issue that they're concerned about, and then they want these same facilities, once they're manned and ready to go, up and running for emergency -- for disaster sites should

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

anything happen, because one of the things -- the reason they're asking us to do all of the NIMS and stuff is because in New Orleans, the failure was from the top down.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: There was failure.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: The failure in New Orleans was from the top down. The getting the messages -- who was in charge, keeping someone in charge. Because what happened was people who had small children and families didn't stay on the job. They left the job. And so this has been something that the Government has said this is an issue everywhere, all these governments need to look at it.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: You would think Chestnut Ridge Elementary School would be an ideal location.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: I don't know.

RICHARD STOWE: Their showers are shut down, too. They're classrooms. Not storage rooms.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Any ways. Thank you, Supervisor.

**RESOLUTION #181 RE: Award of Proposal – Town Hall Shower Stalls**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski**

**WHEREAS**, under the Community Assistance Grant secured by Senator James Alesi, the Town intends to install one shower stall in each of the men's and women's public restrooms in the Town Hall. The purpose is to upgrade our facility under our emergency preparedness planning efforts, and

**WHEREAS**, two written quotes for labor and materials were received for this work as follows:

T. Bell Construction –	\$14,862.00
Gatti Plumbing –	\$17,200.00

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** to award the quote to furnish and install two shower stalls to T. Bell Construction at a cost of \$14,862.00, to be paid from account #A1620.401 (Town Hall Building).

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: We're going with the higher bids, there must be reasons.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, I already communicated with Joe (Carr) on this, so I'm comfortable.

**RESOLUTION #182 RE: Award of Proposal – Town Hall Door Lock System**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich**

**WHEREAS**, under the Community Assistance Grant secured by Senator James Alesi, the Town intends to install door lock equipment (door swipe mag locks) on five doors at the Town Hall. The purpose is to provide more user friendly access, improved building security, and improved security for the Town computer system equipment, and

**WHEREAS**, three written quotes for labor and materials were obtained as follows:

Integrated Cabling and Communication Systems	
5-Door system, including all installation and cabling –	\$13,600.00

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

Siebold Security  
Quote did not include cabling or installation – \$11,495.00

AAA Sound Service  
Quote included equipment that we believe is outdated – \$ 9,475.00

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** to award the quote to provide door management equipment for 5 doors at the Town Hall to Integrated Cabling and Communication Systems, 1555 Brooks Avenue, at a cost of \$13,600.00, to be paid from account #A1620.401 (Town Hall Building).

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Mr. Herb Gouch (phonetic) from EA Maps is in the audience tonight. He has been the company that has been proposed to award this. I would just like to state that due to the fact of EA Maps formally being a part of the company that I work for, I don't stand to gain something personally by EA Maps being hired for this job, but just so that is there no look of impropriety, I will be abstaining from voting.

**RESOLUTION #183 RE: Acceptance of Proposed GIS Based Master Plan Mapping**

**OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery**

**WHEREAS**, the issued a request for proposals for the preparation of GIS based maps to be consistent with the present Town of Chili Master Plan, and

**WHEREAS**, six proposals were received as follows:

Consultant <u>Name</u>	Base <u>Mapping</u>	Hourly <u>Rate</u>	11" x 17" <u>Map Set</u>	22" x 34" <u>Map Set</u>
EA Maps, LLC	\$4,880.00	\$75.00	\$25.00	\$150.00
Bergmann Assoc.	\$6,600.00	\$50.00	\$30.00	\$ 50.00
O'Brien & Gere	\$7,500.00	\$75.00	\$15.00	\$175.00
MRB Group	\$7,500.00	\$67.00	\$18.00	\$200.00
Lu Engineers	\$10,797.00	\$57.00	\$70.80	\$154.08

**WHEREAS**, EA Maps, LLC, located at 2165 Brighton Henrietta Town Line Road, Rochester, NY, has 15 years experience in GIS mapping and has completed maps for a number of local communities;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** to accept the low proposal from EA Mapping, LLC at a base cost of \$4,880.00, to be paid from account #A1440.4 (Town Engineering-Contractual);

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** to transfer \$10,000.00 from account #A1440.1 (Town Engineering-Personnel Services) to account #A1440.4 (Town Engineering-Contractual).

APPROVED BY A VOTE OF 4 YES WITH 1 ABSTENTION (Councilwoman Sperr abstained.)

---

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: How many hours is this for?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: The grant was received for -- I think there was -- Dianne (O'Meara), correct me if I am wrong, but wasn't it around \$6,000 in the grant for getting this done?

DIANNE O'MEARA: For wages.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That would be my next question, dollar amount. Who will do this work?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Right now we're looking at having part-time staff. We have two of the new part-time people that we put on that are exceptionally capable, and that is what we're looking at bringing in. Um, we don't need -- as I understand it, more than one. Unless they're available, and we also have -- you know, in-house -- our girls that are on the desk now. Okay. So...

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Who is this grant from?

DIANNE O'MEARA: New York State.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: New York State.

DIANNE O'MEARA: The records and archive.

RICHARD BRONGO: From Albany there. Education grant.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: It wasn't from one of our State Representatives?

RICHARD BRONGO: No. No. This is one that was applied for.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: When will we get the money? Before or after this is completed? Do you have to submit your vouchers?

RICHARD BRONGO: We get a portion right off the bat. We get 50 percent off the bat, and then when a certain portion is completed, we can apply for the -- for 40 percent more, and then when we complete it and do the final report, we get the last 10 percent.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: When are you looking for this to be completed by?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: It has got to be completed by July 1st.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: What year?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: This year. That is why we have to hire a lot -- bring in a lot of people fast to get it done.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That's all I have. Thank you.

**RESOLUTION #184 RE: Records Management Grant**

**OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery**

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Chili has agreed to accept a 2006-7 Record's Management Grant for cataloging and storing historical records; and

**WHEREAS**, the grant budget includes wages at \$10.00 per hour for this purpose

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** to authorize an hourly wage of \$10.00 per hour for data entry of historical document inventory.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #185 RE: Agreement with Rochester-Monroe County Youth Bureau**

**OFFERED BY: Councilman Schulmerich SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery**

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Supervisor Logel is hereby authorized to sign an agreement with the Rochester-Monroe County Youth Bureau to participate in Comprehensive Youth Services Planning for the year 2007 in which the Town will receive reimbursement of \$15,905.00.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

**RESOLUTION #186 RE: April 18, 2007 Abstract**

**OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery**

**WHEREAS**, January 3, 2007 Resolution #1 authorized vouchers to be paid April 18, 2007 by all Council signing a waiver form; and

**WHEREAS**, Council did authorize by a majority vote vouchers 1623-1830 totaling \$120,711.44 to be paid from the Distribution Account as presented by Richard Brongo, Town Clerk

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** to note for the record vouchers 1623-1830 were paid from the following funds:

General Fund_____	\$_ 98,339.31
Highway Fund_____	\$_ 9,065.64
Unemployment Insurance_____	\$_ 4,464.00
H43 2006-7 Annual Reassessment_____	\$_ 6,750.00
Consolidated Drainage_____	\$_ 115.96
Chili Fire Protection District_____	\$_ 168.45
Special Light Districts_____	\$_ 1,808.08
_____ Total for Abstract_____	\$120,711.44

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

---

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATING TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: There was one question on page 11. I haven't had a chance to look at it. College Greene. Mr. Brongo?

RICHARD BRONGO: No.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: Dianne (O'Meara). I thought maybe because it was --

DIANNE O'MEARA: It was determined several years ago -- or a few years ago anyway, and I wouldn't want to quote the years without being able to pull out the actual agreement, but the distribution of the shelter rent tax for College Greene had been -- was not calculated properly. The County was owed some more money. There was an agreement made between the Town and the County to pay it back over, you know, the course of a few years. Again, I would have to look at the actual agreement to determine the length of time.

RICHARD STOWE: This is the final payment that was in --

DIANNE O'MEARA: Right.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, Supervisor, I have another question. In regards to page 3, Williamson Law Book Company minutes books for Town Board, Planning Board, Zoning Board.

RICHARD BRONGO: Yes, that is my expense. The books that we bind -- that we use to bind the minutes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Oh, okay.

RICHARD BRONGO: The blank minute books that --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I guess they are just out of Town Board -- I was wondering if I get something that I didn't know.

On page 4, for AAA Sound Service, repair microphone system. Is this why we -- some of the people were saying they weren't hearing us?

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: We had something over there in that system that had to be repaired.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: It was cutting out last time, I think.

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: It has been fixed.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I guess my concern is, they have been in a few times to repairs

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

things and the system isn't that old. So --

SUPERVISOR LOGEL: One of the reasons why we stopped doing -- you know how we used to have football sign-up here, I came in one time to find kids running all over that playing with microphones, so now we do them down at the Senior Center. The only people that come in here that would in any way monkey or be able to mess with the system, we -- were I would say is the Motor Vehicle Bureau, and they don't come up here and use this (indicating). So it really isn't anybody in here.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I told you we have to find activities for these kids.

Then one final comment I would have is in regards to the page 13, the door decals, those are for the Town vehicles, I believe?

JOSEPH CARR: Yes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And are we -- is there a uniform decal we're going to now?

JOSEPH CARR: Well, it is the decal system that we have been using for a long time. It is the wording that says "Town of Chili," and "Highway Department" and "Public Works."

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Was there a little --

JOSEPH CARR: On the white vehicles there is a small 5-inch diameter decal, and we do use that on some pieces of construction equipment. I really am not fond of that. In the future, we'll develop a better logo and have some color to it, but that -- that was a decal that we had in -- on hand, and we put it on those vehicles.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Thank you, Joe (Carr). I'm all set.

**RESOLUTION #187 RE: May 2, 2007 Abstract**

**OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr**

**SECONDED BY: Councilman Schulmerich**

**BE IT RESOLVED** to pay vouchers 1950-2119 totaling \$206,391.19 to be paid from the Distribution Account as presented to the Town Board by Richard Brongo, Town Clerk:

General Fund	\$101,398.15
Highway Fund	\$ 70,189.40
Consolidated Drainage	\$ 21,629.94
Special Sidewalk	\$ 2,932.38
Special Light District	<u>\$ 10,241.32</u>
TOTAL	\$206,391.19

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

PUBLIC HEARING

A Public Hearing was held by the Chili Town Board on May 2, 2007 at the Chili Town Hall, 3333 Chili Avenue, Rochester, New York 14624 at 8:15 p.m. to consider extension of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District to serve the property at 3 Circle Drive, tax map no. 160.010-001-007, as described in Schedule A (Property Description) on file in the Town Clerk's Office.

Attendance as previously noted in the 5/2/07 Chili Town Board meeting minutes.

No one was present to represent the property at 3 Circle Drive.

COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE: None.

The Public Hearing was closed at 8:15 p.m.

The next meeting of the Chili Town Board is scheduled for Wednesday, May 16, 2007 at 7:00 p.m. at the Chili Town Hall meeting room.

CHILI TOWN BOARD MEETING - May 2, 2007

The meeting ended at 9:53 p.m.