

CHILI TOWN BOARD
September 2, 2009

A meeting of the Chili Town Board was held on September 2, 2009 at the Chili Town Hall, 3333 Chili Avenue, Rochester, New York 14624 at 7:00 p.m. The meeting was called to order by Supervisor David Dunning.

PRESENT: Councilwoman DiFlorio; Councilwoman Ignatowski, Councilman Slattery, Councilwoman Sperr and Supervisor David Dunning.

ALSO PRESENT: Richard Brongo, Town Clerk; Jordon Brown, Deputy Town Supervisor; Dawn Forte, Supervisor's Secretary; Sandra Hewlett, Stenographer; Chris Karelus, Building Department Manager; David Lindsay, Commissioner of Public Works/Highway Superintendent; Dianne O'Meara, Director of Finance; Richard Stowe, Counsel for the Town; Eric Vail, Insurance Counselor.

The invocation was given by Richard Brongo.

The Pledge of Allegiance was cited. The fire safety exits were identified for those present.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Before we get into anything this evening, I would like to ask everyone to keep Daryl and Karen Cox in their prayers. I understand Mr. Cox is in for some surgery right now, and he -- he has done a lot with the Town. He has been very active with our Architectural Advisory, and has been a good friend to the Town for a long time and I ask that you keep him in your prayers.

Thank you.

At this point, a Public Forum was conducted to allow public speakers to address the Town Board. The following speakers addressed the Town Board on various subjects: Dorothy Borgus, Jerry Brixner, Ron Pikuet. The Public Forum concluded at 7:19 p.m.

MATTERS OF THE SUPERVISOR:

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Couple comments as far as cross-training. That is going on in many of the departments. I think it is done on a priority or job basis, based on what is probably the most critical when someone else is out. I think for the most part our bases are covered. There may be some lapse in some -- what may be not so major services during the time an employee is on vacation, but for the most part, I believe all of the departments are covered, and there is cross-training going on in the departments where that would -- where it would be necessary to have someone else. Most departments, for the most part, have someone else to do the tasks while they're here. They may be overwhelmed with their job and the job of someone else who is not here and not be able to complete all of the tasks.

The only way to rectify that would be to hire more people, and right at this point in time, the Town is not in the position to be hiring more staff, but there is cross-training going on. It does happen, and I think Mr. Karelus can attest to the fact that we stay right on top of that to make sure that that is happening, but it is happening.

I really don't have any comments on the use of the minutes. I think the minutes are very valuable to have. I know myself, going back in history looking at things, whether or not they do anything more than allow us to have information on things that were talked about, the minutes are still very valuable. I think the case in which was referred to here tonight is certainly somewhat of a unique case, and it is something that we can try and correct for future as far as the way some of the comments are then handled.

But I think the minutes from these -- from all of the meetings are very valuable, especially the minutes when we hear the public -- the public hearings, extremely valuable. When we hear from the developers and we hear from the comments from the Planning and Zoning Board, and you know, I just also like to add there are seven members of the Planning Board, all of which who had some comments on this particular application. So -- and not one of the seven actually indicated that this should be made a condition, and there -- that involved the seven members. It wasn't just one member at that meeting.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Actually, Supervisor, I would echo that. As a Board member, they have a responsibility, if they feel that there is something that should be on there as one of the restrictions, then I think it is their responsibility to bring that up, put the motion forward and then see if there is a second and so forth and get it added to it. So I think with listening to the Chairman that spoke to our Town Board, Chairman of the Planning Board, a meeting or two ago, he was very surprised and quite frankly blind-sided by the actions that did take place by that one organization -- that one business. And so I know that talking with a couple of them, they -- they feel bad about it, and I think people have learned from it, but they also -- all of the Board members have a responsibility to bring something forward. So that is why they're

on that Board.

COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: Public comments are made for the Board to then reflect upon and whatever comments they feel are valid then get put in as conditions. So apparently, none of those seven people acted upon the comments that were made by the audience.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Supervisor, I do like the minutes because I read all of them, and they're -- I can think of one instance where something was mentioned the Town Board was going have to take action and if I hadn't read that and brought it forward it might have been missed. So I definitely like the minutes. I do read all of the Planning Board -- actually, all of the committees and everything, as well. So I think there is a lot of value to that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And Sandy (Hewlett) does a good job.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Yes, she does a good job.

I would like to ask Mr. Lindsay to address, if you would, please, Mr. Pikuets concern. I know we had some discussions about this. And I think -- I think Mr. Lindsay can shed some light. Perhaps Mr. Stowe may need to chime in here.

DAVID LINDSAY: I will answer the question about the fill material first. I remember the conversation with Mr. Pikuets about the fill. He asked for some material. Um, one of the things that needs to take place is he needs to come in and fill out a release. Primarily in this case most of the material we removed from the pond, I would regard as unsuitable material which he wanted to use it for. It was very mucky, silty. I don't think it would have served him well to keep that on his property.

So essentially the material that we're pulling out of the pond I don't think was suitable for what Mr. Pikuets would like to use it for. Certainly there will be opportunities we're doing additional things throughout the Town where we generate material, but I would ask as he comes in, he tells us what he is looking for, the quantity he is looking for and to fill out a release form and we can get him that material when it comes available.

Secondly, the issue of the sump pump drain, I did visit the site. I took a look at it. I can't speak to what was there before I was here. The -- the pipe in question discharges about a foot onto Mr. Pikuets property into a defined drainage channel right at the end of the end section essentially. I don't see that it is causing any damage to Mr. Pikuets property. Certainly, it is probably on his property by about a foot. I don't have any legal recourse to go in there and put the pipe back myself. I contacted the homeowner and asked him if he was willing to do that. He thought about it for a little bit and said, "No."

I think there is a little history between the two gentlemen and that is essentially where I left it at that.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Do we -- do we have any -- can we go back there and do any work at all back there? Is that private -- strictly private property? Is there a Town easement back there, drainage easement or any right-of-way for the Town?

DAVID LINDSAY: I have an easement -- I am not sure if I have easement on the ditch on Mr. Pikuets property. I will have to look into that. I do have an easement on the other property.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Do we have any legal right to go on this property and do this?

RICHARD STOWE: I don't know anything other than what I have heard here. So I'm going to wait and see what it is we have got by way of easement documentation and --

DAVID LINDSAY: I can look at that.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Under the subdivision approval, um, did the subdivision plans show where the sump pump line was designed to come out of the house?

DAVID LINDSAY: I don't believe it did. No. And I checked the original C of O. There was no mention or condition that it be tied into the catch basin. So whatever -- so whatever had been agreed to in the past was probably just some sort of a verbal communication. I have no record that -- that the homeowner there agreed to tie this into the catch basin.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Is this something that now the Building Department is reviewing as far as the sump pump line, where it discharges into? Are we looking at that so this doesn't cause a problem in the future?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Excuse me, Councilman.

Mr. Pikuets, I would ask you please sit down. You had your time in the Public Forum. This is now the time -- the Town Board is addressing this issue and we'll have this conversation. Mr. Lindsay -- you can certainly listen to what is happening here, and Mr. Lindsay will have further conversation with you about this.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So Chris (Karelus)?

CHRIS KARELUS: If I could, Councilman Slattery, when the Building Department closes a permit, these issues are looked at, and so what happened after that permit closed out to this period of time, again, the C of O close-out doesn't specifically say where it was routed, nor is it truly defined where it was set in the field. People cut the pipes. They relocate them. They modify these systems, but they're really not a permitted process after they do these things. So these issues become more of a civil matter than a Town issue. I think that is what Mr. Lindsay is attesting to. We had occasion to look at the background on this property. There is really no clear-cut answer where it was intended to be and where the Building Department at that point in time actually permitted it.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Do we have requirements in regards to sump pump lines with backflow preventer valves, a certain standard detail they have to comply with this?

CHRIS KARELUS: A standard we try to hold to the time now to get them closed, but I don't think there is a specific one that required a back check.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I know some municipalities have that, that they have to put

backflow preventer valves on them. So if something backs up, there isn't that liability issue.

CHRIS KARELUS: It is definitely a safety guide.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Mr. Lindsay, can I ask by the end of the week you contact Mr. Pikuet and we have identified at least to the best of our ability before the end of this week whether or not this is a matter that the Town can address or whether this is a civil matter that he needs to address with his neighbor?

DAVID LINDSAY: Sure.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Can we do that?

DAVID LINDSAY: Sure.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Thank you.

Any other comments? I just like to address one other thing that -- a couple of things that actually were brought up during the Public Forum. The dot on the maps. When the Town went through this last GIS update, when we did this earlier late last year, earlier this year, the intent of in working with the maps was not to change the maps but to make the maps correct to where they were when they were first originated. They originated back when this proposal was out there.

This particular dot I'm very familiar with, what is talked about here, does identify the Thruway exit -- the proposed Thruway exit as written on the map. It is still on that map. That will be removed during this Comprehensive Plan review. The Comprehensive Plan Committee has identified that, and that will come off the map, but we didn't want to change the maps the last time. That wasn't the intention of that project. Not to change, but to make the maps correct to what they should have been at the time that they were originally developed. So that is why you're seeing that there today. There are probably other things on those maps that aren't complete and don't completely depict the way the Town is today. And -- because we didn't -- there was no intention to change those maps. That will go through this next process.

As far as the comments about the -- the Austins, the Austins did bring a suit to the Town. They lost that suit. And the Town has no problem with the Austins riding their motorcycles based upon the decisions that the Court allowed them to ride their motorcycles on their property. The issue there was a motorcycle track in which the track was being an illegal track. The Court found it that way, and that is the end of that.

The Austins brought the suit to the Town of Chili, so whatever costs they incurred, I'm sorry they had to do that, but they brought the suit to the Town. The Town did not bring suit to them. And as I understand it, it is Judge Pietropaoli who hears the last of it, but the Supreme Court has already ruled on this. They made the ruling. Now the Town has to make a ruling on some other matters in that case.

Anyways, moving on from there, I don't have any new matters at this point to discuss.

Pending Matters:

1. Vacancies on Special Police & Crossing Guard.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Pending vacancies, we still have vacancies on for special police and crossing guard, but I would like to update the Town Board at this time. Mr. Lindsay has done interviews with the applicants we had for the crossing guards, as you know. Mr. Lindsay is now going to be handling the crossing guards in his capacity as the Superintendent of Highways, and the -- so he has interviewed them. We are doing -- we have started with the background check process and we are taking these applicants through the background check. Once we receive these background checks, for the applicants we'll then bring forth the resolutions to make any appointments as we see fit at that point. So that is moving forward and that should hopefully be resolved hopefully by the next Town Board meeting.

So I will move on at this point to matters of the council.

MATTERS OF THE TOWN COUNCIL:

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I did. I was just curious. We had received correspondence back in April and in May about 69 Names Road. It's a house that is in pretty poor shape. I was just looking for a status on that. I had a conversation with Mr. Karelus the last Town Board meeting and he indicated that the next step was actually with us. So I guess I just really want some clarification on that what that next step is supposed to be.

CHRIS KARELUS: Currently the Town Code charges the Code Enforcement to get to the point in time where we -- suitable to put a violation in a person's hand. Background investigation on this property has led basically to a dead end with the debt to the property as the only asset. We're basically dealing with a bankrupt estate in this case. So basically asking the Town Board what direction you need us to take following up and insuring this is not a detriment to the health, safety and welfare to the Town residents. I know Mr. Lindsay has become involved, and you know, we're -- we are in the process of managing this property to make sure it doesn't have negative impacts regarding property maintenance type issues, grass, routine maintenance, and we're just going to ask that the Town Board, you know, work with us to try to advise us the next steps they want us to take.

But again, we have been in direct contact with the Estate's Counsel, and have even tried and we have been successful in finding the next of kin to the Estate who has basically refused the -- the inheritance on advisement of their Counsel. I guess that is all I can advise you now. That is all we have received, and we've taken it to the nth degree.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Are you planning on submitting something to us to review? The documents you gave us in the past, is there an update or is this a formal request to the Town Board tonight?

CHRIS KARELUS: I guess what we had asked prior is we had given you a history report. We have given you all of the documentation to date what we have done as far as our code investigations and our notifications, so I guess we try to keep you abreast of all of the steps we have taken over the last two years on this piece. This isn't brand new. As I was hired, this was a issue I was working with Mr. Joe Carr at the time. So sometime in the three-year time period I have been here, this has been an ongoing issue.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: That is very reflective in the documentation given to us, um --

COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: Do you have recommendations on what you think should happen going forward?

CHRIS KARELUS: Councilwoman Di Florio, I would actually ask all of the recommendations and advisement come under Counsel's direction at this point. We're really having -- have exhausted what resources our office can offer to the Town Board on this site.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Supervisor, I guess --

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Well, Mr. Karelus and I have had conversations on this -- on this particular property.

And as I understand it, and please, Mr. Stowe, stop me if I am incorrect here, but the next step in this would be to go for demolition. The Town would demolish the property and then try and go back and try to recover our costs.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: Don't have to condemn the property first.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: There is a process that obviously has to go through before we go through demolition, but I believe -- please, Chris (Karelus), correct me if I am wrong, it is Chris (Karelus)'s thought that that is a process I would like to see this go at this point in time, that we move forward with the process to get it to the -- a point of demolishing the property.

RICHARD STOWE: I'm not clear whether there was a mortgage lender involved on this property as well or not. My recollection was that there was.

CHRIS KARELUS: There was. And actually, there -- there was a foreclosure and then the bank actually backed out of the foreclosure, so this has a lot of history to it, and we have tried to keep in play with what has been transpiring. We're very fortunate the early history on this site, they had a management group, safeguard management group that was part of the bank team to manage these type of issues while they were working through foreclosing. But when the debt to the property outweighs the asset, the bank and everyone has basically washed their hands. We actually have no point of contact to even discuss matters further.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Could we have the Building Department meet with Supervisor, Counsel and bring it back to the Board if there is issues we need to do.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: We could do that.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: To start the demolition process. I would appreciate that. I know the neighbors around there are very tired of seeing that. I do appreciate the efforts in taking care of the grass, but the house itself was left unsafe, and I think this eyesore would be good to get rid of.

CHRIS KARELUS: I would compliment the Supervisor on that, too.

Dave Lindsay and I discussed with him ways of -- it was his direction to get the ball rolling to get the Town to take direction on this.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: One more question. Is there any liability to the Town --

RICHARD STOWE: Yes.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: -- if we --

RICHARD STOWE: Yes. That is why the process is there that we need to follow.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: Would there be -- since essentially everybody has washed their hands of this property, I'm just looking forward if we demolished it, now you have a parcel of vacant land. What then would happen? I mean --

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: I think we have to go through this process to understand all of that, to find out what the -- what the procedures would be for the Town to recover its -- its costs.

RICHARD STOWE: Comma, if any, period.

The 7/8/09 and 8/12/09 Town Board minutes were approved.

REPORTS SUBMITTED:

Community Center Revenue Report – July '09
Recreation Center Revenue Report – July '09
Senior Center Revenue Report – July '09
Monthly Financial Report – July '09
Dog Control Report – July 2009
Conservation Board Minutes – 7/6/09
Library Board Minutes – 7/28/09
Recreation Advisory Committee Minutes – 7/8/09
Traffic & Safety Minutes – 6/4/09

CORRESPONDENCE:

1. Mr. Brongo has received formal notification from the Chili Legion Club, for the property located at 450 Chili-Scottsville Road, Scottsville, NY 14546 in the Town of Chili, of their intent to apply for a renewal of their liquor license with the State Liquor Authority.
2. Mr. Brongo has received formal notification from the Pando's Family Restaurant, for the property located at 2771 Scottsville Road, Scottsville, NY 14546 in the Town of Chili, of their intent to apply for a renewal of their liquor license with the State Liquor Authority.
3. Mr. Brongo has received formal notification from the Craigie Brae Golf Course for the property located at 4391 Union Street, Scottsville, NY 14546 in the Town of Chili of their intent to apply for a renewal of their liquor license with the State Liquor Authority.
4. Mr. Brongo has received formal notification from the A & J Bistro located at 3240 Chili Avenue, Rochester, NY 14624 in the Town of Chili of their intent to apply for a renewal of their liquor license with the State Liquor Authority.

Old Business:

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: As I mentioned earlier, this Resolution 224, we'll leave that tabled now.

BE IT RESOLVED, to remove from the table Resolution #224 tabled on June 3, 2009

OFFERED BY: _____ **SECONDED BY:** _____

RESOLUTION #224 RE: School Crossing Guards

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski

BE IT RESOLVED that DeWayne Scott shall be appointed to the permanent School Crossing Guard position and shall be paid at the rate of \$47.17 per day worked for the calendar year 2009, expenses to be paid by voucher as incurred.

HELD

BE IT RESOLVED, to remove from the table Resolution #268 tabled on August 5, 2009

OFFERED BY: Supervisor Dunning SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Sperr

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: I would just like to say, based on the comments that were made the -- from the public when this was initially tabled, I think several of us have taken the opportunity to go back through and look at the comments and understand what happened there. Personally, myself, I'm comfortable moving forward with this, and I guess at this time I would like to ask if there are any other comments from the Town Board at this point.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I did take advantage of looking and investigating into this myself and did not see anything with this company that directly was -- that was directed towards David Rogers or this area. These are large companies. Some of the -- I will try to search for the right word. The issues that were raised, um, by a resident which caused us to table this so we could investigate were actually completely different areas of the company, surrounded certain individuals in that area out west and were not directly affecting this. I guess it is pretty common. But this company came highly recommended, and we have asked our Director of Finance to also investigate, and she has also recommended them.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: What is difficult is there is not that many companies that provide this service.

COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: Limited our options.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Very limited in the options. While I'm not enthusiastic about having any sort of negative fines and that associated with someone we're doing business with, it seems that I think that you would be hard pressed finding any company that has survived without having some sort of fines associated with it.

And going online, I actually found a lot of positive press that went with this company, as well. And, um, you know, we also have the Henrietta, Point Pleasant, North Greece, Brighton -- we have a number of local municipalities that are already utilizing this company, as well, and our Counsel has assured us that we have the contract that is going to protect us, as well. So I -- like I said, I'm not thrilled that there is going to be that particular negative press in there, but at this point, I just don't see what other option we would have.

COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: I have researched Dave Rogers specifically and didn't see -- at least personally didn't see any fines or anything counter indicating toward him.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Right.

COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: Personally.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: I guess we did our homework.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Any other comments on this? This has been moved and seconded, so I will ask for a roll call at this time.

RESOLUTION #268 RE: RBC Dain Rauscher Portfolio Focus Client Agreement

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

WHEREAS, David Rogers of RBC Dain Rauscher presented investment management options for the Chili Fire Department Inc Service Award Program at the July 8, 2009 Town Board meeting; and

WHEREAS, investment management services provided by the LOSAP Group of RBC Dain Rauscher are advantageous to assist the Town Board in their trustee responsibilities; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Supervisor Dunning is authorized to sign the RBC Dain Rauscher Portfolio Focus Client Agreement.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #285 RE: ORDER ESTABLISHING THE EXTENSION CHILI CONSOLIDATED DRAINAGE DISTRICT TO SERVE THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 335 ARCHER ROAD, ROCHESTER, NY 14623, TAX MAP NUMBER 159.02-1-2, IN THE TOWN OF CHILI, COUNTY OF MONROE AND STATE OF NEW YORK

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

WHEREAS, at a regular meeting of this Town Board held on June 3, 2009, Resolution # 222 was adopted approving an extension of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District to serve the property located at 335 Archer Road, Rochester, NY 14623, Tax Map Number 159.02-1-2; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board's determination that it is in the public interest to assess all expenses of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District, including this extension and all extensions heretofore or hereafter established, as a charge against the entire area of the district, as extended, was subject to a permissive referendum pursuant to Sec. 206a of the Town Law; and

WHEREAS, a notice of resolution subject to a permissive referendum containing an abstract of the Town Board's determination was published and posted as required by law; and

WHEREAS, no petition requesting a referendum has been filed;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, that the Chili Consolidated Drainage District is hereby extended, as of this date, to include an area wholly located within the Town of Chili, County of Monroe and State of New York, pursuant to the terms contained in the Town Board Resolution # 222, dated June 3, 2009; and said area to be included in said extension is more particularly described in Schedule A which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, and it is further

ORDERED, that the Town Clerk is hereby directed to certify a copy of this Order and forthwith cause said copy to be recorded in the Office of the Monroe County Clerk in which County the Town of Chili is situate and to forward a certified copy of the same (in duplicate) to the New York State Department of Audit and Control.

Upon a call of the Roll of the Members of the Town Board of the Town of Chili:

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #286 RE: ORDER ESTABLISHING THE EXTENSION CHILI CONSOLIDATED DRAINAGE DISTRICT TO SERVE THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1132 SCOTTSVILLE ROAD, ROCHESTER, NY 14624, TAX MAP NUMBER 135.03-1-4, IN THE TOWN OF CHILI, COUNTY OF MONROE AND STATE OF NEW YORK

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Sperr

WHEREAS, at a regular meeting of this Town Board held on June 3, 2009, Resolution # 221

was adopted approving an extension of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District to serve the property located at 1132 Scottsville Road, Rochester, NY 14624, Tax Map Number 135.03-1-4; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board's determination that it is in the public interest to assess all expenses of the Chili Consolidated Drainage District, including this extension and all extensions heretofore or hereafter established, as a charge against the entire area of the district, as extended, was subject to a permissive referendum pursuant to Sec. 206a of the Town Law; and

WHEREAS, a notice of resolution subject to a permissive referendum containing an abstract of the Town Board's determination was published and posted as required by law; and

WHEREAS, no petition requesting a referendum has been filed;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, that the Chili Consolidated Drainage District is hereby extended, as of this date, to include an area wholly located within the Town of Chili, County of Monroe and State of New York, pursuant to the terms contained in the Town Board Resolution # 221, dated June 3, 2009; and said area to be included in said extension is more particularly described in Schedule A which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, and it is further

ORDERED, that the Town Clerk is hereby directed to certify a copy of this Order and forthwith cause said copy to be recorded in the Office of the Monroe County Clerk in which County the Town of Chili is situate and to forward a certified copy of the same (in duplicate) to the New York State Department of Audit and Control.

Upon a call of the Roll of the Members of the Town Board of the Town of Chili:

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #287 RE: Fall 2009 Monroe County Land Use Decision Making Training Program

OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski

WHEREAS, the County of Monroe offers continuing education training programs for municipal officials involved in the building and development field; and

BE IT RESOLVED, that Chris Karelus and Ed Shero be allowed to attend the Monroe County Fall 2009 Land Use Decision Making Training Program on October 22, 2009 at Monroe Community College, at a cost not to exceed \$25.00 each from A/C #A3620.4.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Is this certification required?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Chris (Karelus)?

CHRIS KARELUS: CFM certification is.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Microphone, please.

CHRIS KARELUS: Sorry. CFM certification is a Flood Manager's certification that from what FEMA is indicating is going to be a requirement, almost like a PE to an engineering plan, over the term of improvements as they adopt these new Local Law improvement programs. Also these conferences and the certification allows us the ability to get our community involved in the community rating system. It is something that there a financial benefit to the people that are affected in the flood prone areas, too.

So again, it is another future improvement I would like to build upon after adoption of the Local Law in past year. Is the certification required, no.

Part of the conference, the tail end of it is the certification for individuals, and I will personally be covering that cost for application of that examination.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Do you foresee this becoming a requirement?

CHRIS KARELUS: You know, truthfully, I think we can speak -- and David (Lindsay) can speak to this, um, probably about five, six years ago they introduced new accreditation training programs in the storm water erosion sediment control. That was in its infancy stage. And now it is almost a given requirement for those types of practices.

The CFM is in its infancy stages. A lot of them -- I will call them educated communities that are building into the community rated systems, the benefit of town folks in the flood prone impact areas, you're finding more and more you have these individuals because they do supply the expert in that field, if you will.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Does any other Town employee have this, David

(Dunning)^ David (Lindsay), do you know?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: No, not that I'm aware of.

RESOLUTION #288 RE: 2009 Association of State Floodplain Managers Conference

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Sperr

WHEREAS, the Town is involved in the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) required administration responsibilities regarding all Town wide floodplain development permits, certificates of compliance, and flood damage prevention requirements; and

BE IT RESOLVED, that Chris Karelus, Town Floodplain Administrator, be allowed to attend the 2009 Association of State Floodplain Managers Conference from September 22-24, 2009 in Latham, NY and obtain his CFM (certified flood managers) certification. The cost for this conference is not to exceed \$650 from A/C #A3620.4.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #289 RE: 2009 NYS Uniform Fire Prevention & Building Code Enforcement In-Service Training Program

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

WHEREAS, the inspectors in the Town Fire Marshal's office require annual training credits to maintain their NYS Fire Inspector certifications; and

BE IT RESOLVED that Scott Miller, Town Fire Marshal, and David Saur, Deputy Fire Marshal, be allowed to attend the 2009 NYS Uniform Fire Prevention & Building Code Enforcement In-Service Existing Building Code Training Program on September 24, 2009, Henrietta, NY at a zero cost to the Town.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Can we get a little description on what topics will be discussed and covered for this?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: I believe this is their Organizational Meeting. Is that correct, the Organizational Meeting where they -- they're able to get together and discuss the organization of how FLBOA and how this organization is run for the upcoming seasons?

CHRIS KARELUS: Correct.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So I guess my next question that I had was how will this benefit us?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: This allows the Town to be a part of the organization and deciding and determining how the organization is run and who runs it.

CHRIS KARELUS: They have monthly business meetings for the association, and I mean we're -- we're part and parcel to all of the -- this year we went to the GSST. That supplies or offers certification for individuals that have specialized training. But FLBOA, us being members of the FLBOA Association, all our code officials across the region, across the County are all involved in this organization. It gives us the chance to go and network as well as get involved in the business aspects of that organization.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Are there votes that are taken where it is beneficial to have three people from our department -- Building Department attending?

CHRIS KARELUS: Um, this is not going to be a -- a voting meeting. Again, this is -- this is monthly business meeting for the association.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Couple hours, all-day meeting?

CHRIS KARELUS: I think you got to look at the location, too. It is in the Town of Penfield, so a lot of that time is travel time.

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: He is asking is it an all-day meeting.

CHRIS KARELUS: No. They're lunch meetings. They're lunch meetings. All of them, across the Board, monthly are lunch meetings.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I was just looking at the number of different seminars or training that we're actually approving tonight as well as other ones in the past, and -- so just trying to get a feel for what is taking place.

CHRIS KARELUS: There is no in-service training credits for this.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Thank you.

RESOLUTION #290 RE: Finger Lakes Building Officials Association Meeting

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski

WHEREAS, the Finger Lakes Building Officials Association (FLBOA) meeting; and

BE IT RESOLVED that Chris Karelus, Ed Shero and Pat Sheridan be allowed to attend the meeting offered by FLBOA on September 17, 2009, Penfield, NY, at a zero cost to the Town.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #291 RE: Purchase of Mower

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

WHEREAS, included in the approved 2009 budget is the purchase of one (1) mower;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, to authorize the purchase from NYS OGS, Contract PC64361 one (1), John Deere Z830A from the John Deere Corporation at a cost of \$5,105.44, to be paid from Highway Equipment Reserves.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILWOMAN SPERR: When I saw this, I thought couldn't they come up with a better name than Hackerfest. I'm sorry. It makes me laugh every time I see this.
SUPERVISOR DUNNING: It is the computer geek thing. I just want to make a comment. I will be supplying a report to the Town Board. There was recently a security audit done on the Town -- the Town services, our internet service, our file sharing and all of the other things we do in the Town. If you haven't got a copy of that yet, I will get you a copy of the report that was given to the Town.
COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Is that what I had suggested to you from the Association of Towns when I seen that article, or is that something else?
SUPERVISOR DUNNING: It may have been, but to be honest, I don't recall. I think this is an ongoing thing that they're -- for municipalities, that there is an audit going on to check your vulnerability and see where you are, in fact, vulnerable.
COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: That is what I suggested. So thank you very much for following through.
SUPERVISOR DUNNING: So you're welcome.
But -- but the -- but we faired -- just so you know, the summary of it is we did fair very well. There was nothing -- there was no major issues or even really any minor issues. So any ways, do you have any other questions?
I will get you that report.
COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: Thank you.

RESOLUTION #292 RE: Authorization to attend Hackerfest 2009 Conference

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

WHEREAS, a free information security conference is being held on October 8, 2009 in Rochester, New York;

WHEREAS, training and information provided at such conference will benefit IT operations in the Town of Chili;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Chris Levey, Director of Management Information Services and Karen Paxon is hereby authorized to attend the conference "Hackerfest 2009" in Rochester, New York plus mileage.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: What are the project limits for this?
SUPERVISOR DUNNING: I'm sorry?
COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: For the sidewalk, improvement project.
SUPERVISOR DUNNING: I'm sorry.
COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: Where is it starting and where is it ending?
COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Project limits. Beginning, end. Then I will figure out where the middle is from there.
SUPERVISOR DUNNING: I'm not used to that language.

So what does the project entail. It entails a sidewalk going essentially from the Memorial Park area to approximately it would be -- the goal is to get over to where the Cedars of Chili. We're trying -- we'll get as far as we can with the money we have here, but this is to provide sidewalks down that stretch on that side of the road. These would be concrete sidewalks.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Which is --

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Right. We're just trying to get a safe passage way from the -- from the, Cedars if you will, down to Memorial Park.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Do we plan on going further in the future, and do we plan on contributing in anyway to this -- with the Town resources?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: We have Town resources that will be invested into this as far as labor. We'll have guys doing some -- some of the work, what we can do with that, and obviously the grant money will -- we'll use all of that for -- whatever we need to do from a Town standpoint, we'll do. As far as going forward, we'll go as far as we can at this point in time. If we have to phase this out, then we'll look for other grant opportunities to get this extended further if at all possible.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Okay. And in -- in regard to railroad tracks?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: I would -- I would ask David (Lindsay) if you could address that.

COUNCILWOMAN IGNATOWSKI: I think the little pedestrian crossing, isn't that on the other side?

DAVID LINDSAY: There is an existing pedestrian crossing on the west side. I had some initial contact with CSX. I'm just waiting to hear back from them, and then we'll work through those issues as far as obtaining the pedestrian crossing on the east side and doing the actual work. It is quite a process working with CSX. So it will take some time.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Well put.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Any other questions or comments?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Do we plan on putting a sidewalk -- or excuse me, a crosswalk to go to the western side of the road for the other homes?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: There -- well, there is currently a crosswalk there.

COUNCILWOMAN DiFLORIO: You mean like at the end?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: No, actually right at -- right where we're ending it, right where Cedars is. Signalized, or anything in that fashion.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: I can't answer that right at this time. I would say probably not, but I -- but David (Lindsay)?

MR. LINDSAY: I don't think we're anticipating any sort of signal, but there is an existing crosswalk. You're talking Cedars over to Blueberry Hill?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Correct.

DAVID LINDSAY: I think there is an existing crosswalk there.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Regarding the warning signs, people in the crosswalk.

DAVID LINDSAY: I'm sure those will all be part of it.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: Any other questions or comments?

RESOLUTION #293 RE: Authorize Supervisor to Sign Contract for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) – Sidewalk Improvement Project

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

WHEREAS, the Town has received notification from Monroe County that the Old-Scottsville-Chili Road Sidewalk Improvement Project will be funded by the 2009 Community Development Block Grant Program in the amount of \$69,000; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, to accept the CDBG grant and to authorize the Supervisor to sign the contract and certification subject to the review and approval of Counsel for the Town.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #294 RE: SEQR - Designating the Town Board Lead Agency Status for the determination of significance upon the acquisition of property at 100 Beaver Road and construction of a new highway garage and development of the site for the storage of associated highway maintenance materials

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

WHEREAS, the Town of Chili Town Board (hereinafter referred to as Town Board) has reviewed the Part 1 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form, prepared by the Town Engineer and Commissioner of Public Works for the above referenced property acquisition and project (hereinafter referred to as Action); and

WHEREAS, the Town Board determines that said Action is classified as a Type 1 Action under

the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board determined that said Action is subject to review and approval by other involved agencies under the SEQR Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has given public notice to the Involved Agencies of its' intent to be designated the Lead Agency for this Action; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has received a memo from the Town Clerk, dated August 31, 2009 indicating no written objection has been received from any Involved Agency to the Town Board making this designation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board does hereby designate itself as the lead agency for the Action.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #295 RE: SEQR - Determination of Significance for the acquisition of property at 100 Beaver Road Property and construction of a new highway garage and development of the site for the storage of associated highway maintenance materials

OFFERED BY: Councilman Slattery SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski

WHEREAS, the Town of Chili Town Board (hereinafter referred to as Town Board) has determined the proposed property acquisition and Highway Garage Project (hereinafter referred to as Action) to be a Type I Action under Part 617 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has reviewed and does hereby accept Part 2 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form, dated July 1, 2009 prepared by the Town Engineer and the Commissioner of Public Works, David P. Lindsay, P.E.; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board finds that the Full Environmental Assessment Form, Parts 1 and 2, provides a reasoned elaboration of the impacts likely to result from the Town Board's action to approve the proposed Action; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board, as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations, has given consideration to the comments received from Involved and Interested Agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has given consideration to the criteria for determining significance as set forth in Section 617.7 (c) (1) of the SEQR Regulations and the information contained in Parts 1 and 2 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board has reasonably concluded the following impacts are expected to result from the proposed Action, when compared against the criteria in Section 617.7 of the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Regulations:

(I) there will not be a substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; nor a substantial increase in solid waste production, the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;

The Town Board finds that there has been no identified adverse impacts associated with any of the above elements of the environment that would likely be affected from the Action.

(ii) there will not be large quantities of vegetation or fauna removed from the site or destroyed as the result of the proposed action; there will not be substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species as the result of the proposed action; there will not be a significant impact upon habitat areas on any site; or, are there any other significant adverse impacts to natural resources on any future development of the subject site;

The Town Board finds that there has been no identified adverse impacts associated with any of the above elements of the environment that would likely be affected from the Action.

(iii) there are no known Critical Environmental Area(s) that will be allowed to be disturbed on the site which will be impaired as the result of the proposed action;

There are no established Critical Environmental Area(s) within the limits of the project area affected by the Action.

(iv) the overall density of the site will be consistent with the adopted Town Comprehensive

Plan land use recommendations;

The adopted Town of Chili Comprehensive Plan recommends the development of this site for Limited Industrial use. The use of the site is consistent with previously approved Zoning Criteria for the Limited Industrial District.

(V) there will be no disturbance of any known important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources on the site, or will the proposed action impair the existing community or neighborhood character;

The Town Board finds that there has been no identified adverse impacts associated with any of the above elements of the environment that would likely be affected from the Action.

(Vi) there will not be a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy resulting from the proposed action;

The Town Board finds that there has been no identified adverse impacts associated with any of the above elements of the environment that would likely be affected from the Action.

(Vii) there will not be any hazard created to human health;

The Town Board finds that there has been no identified adverse impacts associated with any of the above elements of the environment that would likely be affected from the Action.

(Viii) there will not be a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, or land including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;

The Town Board finds that there has been no identified adverse impacts associated with any of the above elements of the environment that would likely be affected from the Action.

(X) there will not be changes in two or more of the elements of the environment that when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact; and

The Town Board finds that there has been no identified adverse impacts associated with any two or more of the above elements of the environment that when considered together would likely be affected from the Action.

(Xi) there are not two or more related actions which would have a significant impact on the environment.

The Town Board finds that there are not two or more related identified adverse impacts associated with any of the above elements of the environment that would likely be affected from the Action.

(Xii) as indicated on the Natural Heritage Program website the Action is located on the edge of a rare animal overlay that indicates habitat for the Bog Turtle within the limits of the action;

The terrain within the Action area is not consistent with Bog Turtle habitat and the Town Board finds that there will not be a significant impact upon habitat areas; there are no known threatened or endangered species of animal or plant within the limits of the Action; there has been no identified adverse impacts associated with any of the above elements of the environment that would likely be affected from the Action.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that based on the information and analysis above and the supporting documentation referenced above, the proposed action WILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impacts; and

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Town Board does hereby make a Determination of Non-Significance on said Action and directs the Town Supervisor to sign and date the Full Environmental Assessment Form and the Negative Declaration Form.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #296 RE: 2009 Special Police Training

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery

BE IT RESOLVED, that Robert Munger be allowed to attend the training classes offered by Monroe County for the 2009 Special Police Training on October 20, 22, 27, & 29th, 2009, and November 12, 17, & 19th, 2009 at the Public Safety Building at a zero cost to the Town.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: What is this for?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: This is -- Dianne (O'Meara)?

DIANNE O'MEARA: Actually, this resolution is, um, one that was on the waiver form for the August 19th abstract. What it is doing is during the life of the project for Union Station Park, that project collected interest revenues that we can use -- I want to use up for any other amenities that, um, may go in there. This particular -- let's see. When was it. July? There was a recent vote to seal the, um -- the tennis courts, and so in order --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Basketball courts.

DIANNE O'MEARA: Basketball courts.

So in order to -- so in order to do that, we needed this resolution to basically revise the budget.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So this was interest that we have earned?

DIANNE O'MEARA: Yes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So there is additional money. A couple meetings ago I asked is there any other funding and so forth, and it was stated that was depleted.

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: All of our grant money we had yes, is depleted. Quite frankly, at this time I didn't know about this interest income.

DIANNE O'MEARA: Well, at that particular meeting when we -- when the resolution was approved to do the sealing of the basketball court, um, there was discussion as to how much was left in that particular project, which after the sealing of the basketball court, there would be about \$15,000 that could be used toward some other improvement.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: So the sealing was less than \$5,000, I believe. So what are our intentions with this money? What do we plan on doing with it?

DIANNE O'MEARA: It has yet to be determined. There has been some discussion --

DAVID LINDSAY: I had conversation with Mr. Curley about some of the other amenities we might like to include in the park there. We haven't come to any final resolution of what they might be. We talked a little bit about extending a gas line there and having propane tanks, some landscaping but no final decision has been made.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: When we get to the abstract, one thing that I saw on there with Parks and Recreation was Union Station had a leak and -- propane leak on two different items on the abstract. Um, so if we're thinking along those -- that -- that thought process, I'm glad to see that, because I would like to get rid of that tank, to be quite honest with you.

Has that -- I guess I will talk more about that when we get there, but regarding the cost to extend the gas line, do we have any quotes, estimates, guesstimates?

DAVID LINDSAY: I would say probably in last summer, 2008, we did contact RG&E and got a quote, just ball parking I think around \$7,000 if they did the work. If we did some of the work, it was around four. At the time we said, "Okay, we'll wait and see."

We got some other things we want to finish up with the basketball court and what not. We wanted to today see what he had left.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Unfortunately now having that parking lot paved, we're looking at a push service underneath there.

DAVID LINDSAY: The existing electric lines and -- actually come around the --

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Parking lot.

DAVID LINDSAY: North side of the parking lot so we would be paralleling those in a trench.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Outside in the lawn area?

DAVID LINDSAY: That's correct.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: That would add to material cost and labor cost, but it would keep us out of the asphalt?

DAVID LINDSAY: Correct.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Can you do anything about the seagulls that are out there? I mean the great job they did on the sealing and painting of that basketball court, um, the seagulls --

There were comments made off the record.

RESOLUTION #297 RE: Budget Revision

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski

BE IT RESOLVED to increase H32-2401 (Union Station Park -- Interest Revenue) by \$18,190.00 and to increase H32-7110.2 (Union Station Park- Parks Equipment) by \$18,190.00.

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio SECONDED BY: Councilwoman Sperr

WHEREAS, January 7, 2009 Resolution #1 authorized vouchers to be paid August 19, 2009 by all Council signing a waiver form; and

WHEREAS, Council did authorize by a majority vote vouchers 3878-3989 totaling \$63,027.56 to be paid from the Distribution Account as presented by Richard Brongo, Town Clerk

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, to note for the record vouchers 3878-3989 were paid from the following funds:

General Fund	\$37,114.37
Highway Fund	\$ 5,478.72
H10 Highway Equipment Reserve	\$ 5,105.44
H32 Union Station Park	\$ 4,750.00
Special Light Districts	\$10,579.03
Total for Abstract	\$63,027.56

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #299 RE: August 19, 2009 Abstract

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

WHEREAS, January 7, 2009 Resolution #1 authorized vouchers to be paid August 19, 2009 by all Council signing a waiver form; and

WHEREAS, Council did authorize by a majority vote vouchers 3990-3993 totaling \$3,934.33 to be paid from the General Fund as presented by Richard Brongo, Town Clerk.

4 YES WITH 1 ABSTENTION (Councilwoman Sperr abstained.)

TOWN BOARD DISCUSSION RELATED TO THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: The -- the skip in the voucher numbers that was brought up?

SUPERVISOR DUNNING: That wasn't the change running -- the new vouchers, was it?

DIANNE O'MEARA: There was a library abstract in between.

COUNCILWOMAN DI FLORIO: Okay. Thank you.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Like I had mentioned earlier, on page 1, was the two leaks that we had to refund rental. I assume that has been fixed.

DAVID LINDSAY: The leaks have been -- the leaks have been fixed, yes.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And -- okay. I'm just concerned in regards to that, and so --

DAVID LINDSAY: About the propane tanks?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Yes.

DAVID LINDSAY: I would like to see those removed and have a gas line installed there. It's one of the things that I was looking at when I first came to the Town. Just -- you know, ah, little unsightly out front there, nice to clean it up and certainly improve the safety.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: I remember that was a topic that was brought up when it was being built and so forth. I'm glad we're going there. Unfortunately, I wish we did it the first time. But -- because we heard about the costs associated with having to do it at that time.

On page 12 of the abstracts, 4175, for the internet work management, can -- can I get --

DAVID LINDSAY: You want me to answer that?

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: Please.

DAVID LINDSAY: This is an internet, web-based work management software program that we're utilizing to manage some of our fleet, signs, pavement, roadway network, as well as our work orders that we get. It's a one-year subscription. We're trying to assess whether -- and compare it to the system we have which is a little antiquated. Talked with Mr. Levey about it. He suggested we go ahead and look at this system here.

COUNCILMAN SLATTERY: And that would help you with inventory or location?

DAVID LINDSAY: Inventory, asset tracking. Um, efficiency with some of the -- our work that we do.

RESOLUTION #300 RE: September 2, 2009 Abstract

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Sperr SECONDED BY: Councilwoman DiFlorio

BE IT RESOLVED to pay vouchers 4108-4262 totaling \$139,539.79 to be paid from the

Distribution Account as presented to the Town Board by Richard Brongo, Town Clerk:

General Fund	\$67,687.84
Highway Fund	\$42,252.75
Consolidated Drainage	\$29,599.20
TOTAL	\$139,539.79

UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

RESOLUTION #301 RE: September 2, 2009 Abstract

OFFERED BY: Councilwoman Ignatowski SECONDED BY: Councilman Slattery

BE IT RESOLVED to pay vouchers 4263-4266 totaling \$4,613.00 to be paid from the General Fund as presented to the Town Board by Richard Brongo, Town Clerk.

4 YES WITH 1 ABSTENTION (Councilwoman Sperr abstained.)

The next meeting of the Chili Town Board is scheduled for Wednesday, September 16, 2009 at 7:00 p.m. at the Chili Town Hall main meeting room.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:05 p.m.