

2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting
2/9/2017

A meeting of the 2030 Comprehensive Plan Update Committee was held on February 9, 2017 at the Chili Town Hall, 3333 Chili Avenue, Rochester, New York 14624 at 6:00 p.m. The meeting was called to order by Supervisor David Dunning.

PRESENT: Supervisor David Dunning, Ron Brand, RLP Plans, Paul Wanzenried, Building Department Manager, Al Hellaby, Planning Board VC, James Ignatowski, AAC Chair, Stephen Tarbell, Traffic & Safety Committee Member, Dorothy Borgus, Resident.

This is a draft only and has not been filed according to Section 106 of the Public Officers Law. These are not certified verbatim minutes; they are a summary of the meeting. These minutes have not been approved by the 2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee, and therefore, are subject to change.

Supervisor Dunning: I would like to “Thank” everybody first for participating in this, as you know this is a little bit overdue, for the update of our 2030 Comprehensive Plan. What we want to do tonight is kind of kick off the meeting, make sure that everybody kind of knew each other and that we had an opportunity to talk about some of the goals and objectives we have moving forward on this particular update. Does everybody know each other? Do we need to do some introductions? Steve do you know these people? Why don’t we start, Dorothy you can start around your side.

Dorothy Borgus: Chili Resident.

Al Hellaby: Planning Board Member.

Ron Brand: Consultant to the town for the update.

Steve Tarbell: Been a resident for about a year now.

Dorothy Borgus: Oh.

Steve Tarbell: Moved here from Wyoming County.

David Dunning: And Traffic & Safety Committee.

Al Hellaby: Awsome.

James Ignatowski: Architectural Advisory Chair.

Paul Wanzenried: Public enemy number one, and late.

David Dunning: Public enemy number two.

Laughter from all. Inaudible from various people.

David Dunning: Um, He actually has an impressive resume someday maybe I will share it with you. So anyways, we wanted to start this off and talk about where we are headed in this particular update. Some things you will see on the agenda, as I mentioned the minutes would be recorded and then transcribed. Any maps that we need to do, edit, make changes to will be done in house and will be sent out to the committee members electronically as needed. The meeting schedule will have to leave open and hopefully before we leave here tonight we will set up some type of regular meeting schedule and what we need to do. Any drafts will be distributed amongst the committee members prior to any meetings so you all know what we did the last time and what we are looking at moving forward. So, I am going to turn it over to Ron, Ron will be our consultant as he has in the Comprehensive Plan and turn it over to you to kind of look at what we are doing here and why.

Ron Brand: Be glad to. First thing is the hand out, back in 2012 after you had adopted your Comprehensive Plan, the Association of Towns asked if we could present a program on maintaining the plan. When do you do it, how do you do it, and what not. So, with the assistance of Judy Bressler, Executive Director the NYS Planning Federation and Dr. David Kay from Cornell. I got a couple of people involved. Scott Bylesky from Clarence and he was the individual that I think that was the year that if I remember correctly that the airplane crashed down. And that other guy somebody we just found on the street going to work.

Laughter.

Ron Brand: But, um it was I do not want you to sit here and read it ok, but there are some things in here that I think you will find interesting. David Kay had done a statewide survey back in 2010 and 2011 on comprehensive plans and what communities were doing with them and what his findings were. So, it is a little out dated now and David tells me that he is in the process of trying to get Cornell to authorize him to update it. The second part of the equation was Scott Bylesky was a new supervisor as David was. It was by design that we were able to get two fresh Supervisor's on board. David Bylesky came on board to point out how they were able to in Clarence use the Comprehensive Plan as a political tool to get their team elected and what some of the findings were with that exercise. David having served on our Comprehensive Plan came and approached it with a little different format and that was spent all this time and energy by putting this plan together, then by gosh you are going to do something with it. And we did, and you will find that out as we go through this exercise. I on the other hand was looking more at the questions of "How often do you have to update your Comprehensive Plan". Well, the answer to that is that it is up to the municipality. The only thing that State law says is when you adopt a Comprehensive Plan you have to specify in that plan when you are going to review it, and we did and that is why we are here. We are reviewing the progress that we made since the plan was adopted back in 2011. Things to keep in mind is the fact that there are certain warning signals out there that take place when you find yourself getting painted into those corners you need to take a look at "are things becoming more controversial than need be"? Are issues that have been identified not being just as fast as they should be or perhaps as well as they should be. I think the

thought process here that came out of this whole exercise was one of trying to tell people to not bite off more than they can chew. Comprehensive Plan should serve two purposes, one is to create a future vision, where do you want to be in twenty - thirty years, but not realize that you can accomplish that overnight how do you get there? And what becomes the more important priorities for your community at this point and time to reach that goal. So, we have a Comprehensive Plan that goes to the year 2030. That's a twenty-year plan. It is a plan that takes a look at things have occurred in this community and we look at high priority action items that we said we were going to try to accomplish in the first five years. For the most part, we have accomplished a lot of those action items that are in your plan. Then we have some medium priority action items to look at as well as ongoing ones. Part of the exercise here is to continue to create a record if you will for the community as it deals with development proposals. One of the first things that I have seen many many many judges ask in an Article 78 proceeding is do you have a Comprehensive Plan and how old is it, when was it last updated. Some of the communities in Monroe County have Comprehensive Plans that go back fifteen, twenty years or longer. I dare say that some of them go back even longer than that. The validity of those Comprehensive Plans is questionable, and so that being said the thing I want to stress to you here tonight is that this is the baby and we are not going to throw out the baby in the bath water. We are not going to wash our hands and start from scratch and go through, but instead what we are going to do is take each of the chapters that is in here and address how things maybe changed since the last time those chapters have been written back in 2010. To help us go through that exercise, I put together a little summary if you will. What I saw my first brush with the Comprehensive Plan to get us focused if you will as to what some of the expectations are that we are going to get into. Now, as David said we have a Comprehensive Plan that there may be some maps that may be needed adjusting. Now, some of those maps include your existing use map that was using back in 2010 (train interferes with audio), since that time there has been changes in this community, and we need to document those changes. There has also been some other plans and documents that have been prepared, as a result of the specific action items in chapter 5 of your plan, which call for such things as your Agriculture & Farmland Protection Plan that you have, that plan accomplished a few things. Number one it identified the status of Agriculture & Farmlands in this community. When I say Agriculture & Farmlands, we are not only talking about actively being farmed by famers but lands that are being rented farms to farmers to keep the lands fillable and keep the agriculture production moving forward. That plan also identified some specific goals that where targeted and focused on agriculture pursuits to stimulate and promote the agricultural sector. Those we need to look at and identify how we want to incorporate those in our plan. We have some goal statements in there about agriculture, but we need to look at those goals and statements and compare them to the Farmland Protection Plan to see what changes we need to make to the document. There is also another key factor that that the Farmland Protection Plan accomplished, and that is it identified and recognized for example that Chili is a diverse community. It is not strictly an agriculture community, but there are a number of strategic areas in Chili where agriculture is pronoun land use and predominant part of the economy and part of the society. In identify that area which is in the Southeast corner of the town runs south of Black Creek. They have put in place an Ag & Markets and others a notice that this is the area that we have found to be strategically important to promote and to do that we are going to look at such things as right to farm law. We are going to look at some things addressing zoning classifications that we have mixed terms and phraseology are changeable between residential and rural and agricultural and what does all that mean? Take a look at what

legitimate might be a something that we have not looked at in this community and that is a legitimate purpose for zoning for agricultural purposes is not to set minimum lot sizes, but is to protect the resources of the agricultural soil. You have identified those now, which we did not have before and we are looking to move forward with some land use regulations as a result of that. Now, the finally there are some recommendations in that Farmland Protection Plan that address some of the areas that we have in our Comprehensive Plan identified for uses that the Farmland Protection Plan says might better be served as remaining agriculture, Bowen & Stottle and in that area. So, that is just one example of a plan that has, there is others that are out there, you have the Open Space Plan now that before it was just an index that had been completed, now we have a plan that we need to compare how that plans recommendations relate to what we are saying in here. We have a Chili Center Master Plan that has been completed and we need to deal with that. We have, I know there was some work done with the alternate modes of transportation bicycles that.

David Dunning: We had two, the Black Creek Trail Study and we had the Bicycle and Pedestrian Study.

Ron Brand: Yep.

David Dunning: Those where studies, I do not know.

Ron Brand: They were not necessarily plans that specific, but.

David Dunning: They did have some specific instructions, and maybe what we should do is go back and review that to see what if anything we should just make a reference to it or if it is something that gets included in the plan Ron.

Ron Brand: Right, exactly. Now, for example is there something with commercial land use element that we need to incorporate some of the verbiage from that plan. That is something we will have to take a look at.

David Dunning Right.

Ron Brand: I see Paul is jumping way ahead of me. Looking at what I asked him to do.

Paul Wanzenried: What?

David Dunning: That's Paul.

Dorothy Borgus: With the regard to the Chili Center Plan that is still under study right?

David Dunning: No, that has been accepted by the board.

Dorothy Borgus: Did it? But one of the plans, there were three, three versions.

David Dunning: There was only one plan.

Dorothy Borgus: No, but I mean there was three options for development.

David Dunning: There was, that was a part of, there was no specific plan.

Dorothy Borgus: Plan.

David Dunning: And, accepted it.

Dorothy Borgus: Accepted.

David Dunning: Individually Dorothy.

Dorothy Borgus: But the study.

David Dunning: But the study was accepted, yes.

Dorothy Borgus: Ok.

Ron Brand: So, one of the things that you also need to understand about this plan is that it has been recognized by NYS as being an exemplary plan, because of its volume of research that went into it, the mapping that went into it, the public participation that went into it, the implementation action, prioritization of those and the checks and balances. The NY Planning Federation made that award.

Dorothy Borgus: That is good to know.

David Dunning: It is hanging in the hallway that is why you did not see it; it is out by the front door.

Dorothy Borgus: That is good; it was a lot of work.

Ron Brand: So.

David Dunning: Yea, it was a lot of work.

Ron Brand: It was a lot of effort. I don't envision this update to be that extensive. Ok. So, let us just look at some of my first observations.

David Dunning: Can I ask something? Does everyone know, Al you have a copy of the Comprehensive Plan correct? You probably don't right do you Jim.

Jim Ignatowski: I believe I do.

David Dunning: You do, and I know you do. Ok, so we will get you a copy made and you got one. So, Steve I need to get you a copy.

Steve Tarbell: Ok.

David Dunning: Thank you, I am sorry.

Ron Brand: One of the things we did when we did the Comprehensive Plan if you was at the bottom of each page was referenced to the date it was adopted.

When we go thru this plan update and let's say we find some verbiage that we need to change on 2-7 that reference will be revised June, July 2017, so that you won't even have to take that page out. You can just leave it in there if you want, but it is a way of creating a tracking mechanism of what changes we have made to the plan.

Dorothy Borgus: You would substitute the update page.

Ron Brand: You could or you could just put it right on top of the other one. If you want to take, it out that is up to you. It is the most recent date that would be the prevailing date.

Dorothy Borgus: Yea.

Al Hellaby: Leave it in there that way you know what the changes are.

Dorothy Borgus: What I use to do for the law department at the hospital we would substitute the page.

Paul Wanzenried: Yea.

Ron Brand: That is fine.

Paul Wanzenried: I like the change.

Ron Brand: Executive summaries, first I took a look at and one of the first things I saw in there is we need to add an item in there that reads "re-exam the changes that occurred since the adoption of the plan in 2011". What you have there is three periods of time for the plan review. This is just a simple statement. Footnotes, we have already talked about that the history of planning Chapter 1, the Future Land Use map, that may need to be changed if the recommendations that are made are in town's Ag & Farmland Protection Plan are considered and if the committee decides that is something we need to do. Existing Conditions Chapter 2, Population & Housing we have to update that, because when we did it before you will recall we adopted this in 2011, the 2010 census was not out yet and had not begun to be released. Figure 2 the study shows subareas, do those subareas still make sense or do you think there is something else out there that maybe one of those subareas needs to be broken down into a subset. Ok, that will determine if that needs further change. Airport environments that needs to be reviewed and discussed with the County, I am positive that there are changes in and around that airport, environment area given the improvements made to the airport. Figure 2-5 Ag Districts & Prime Unique Soil for Farming. I am suggesting that we are probably going to need to amend that to reflect at least the changes that occurred with the recertification by Monroe County when they renewed the Agricultural

District here just a year or two ago. Moving on a determination whether a new map, I am suggesting that we do have a new map we just call it 2-5.1 that would be the strategic Farmland Protection Map. From the Ag Protection Plan having, that document incorporating this into this document you really should have those maps and information available so that you have one place that you can gather that resource for the information. Community Facilities, I strongly suggest that we make copy of the sections and send them to the respective school districts, ask them to please review and edit and provide us with the updated information. Your picking up a theme here because what I am suggesting we do is try to use the resources that we have available here in the community as oppose to you having to hire someone at x number of dollars per hour to run around and make all these inquiries and what not. David, he is very tight with your money, I have to compliment him on that. Figure 2-6 Community Facilities & Recreation map, but I want your Recreation Committee to review that and report back to us maybe in our meeting in April, what changes do they need to make, not only to text but to your figure there that you have 2-6. We would also ask that the school district when we go to check with the information on the text to give them a copy of boundaries just to make sure that they are aware of the boundaries and sometimes you would be surprised you find that a new Superintendent has come along and has no clue necessarily of where those boundaries may lie in Chili. We ask that the Police, Fire & EMS services you have a lot of resources people that you can contact them to review those texts and changes that are there. Historian, to look at the historic sights narrative on page 2-33. The maps that we have in the town infrastructure and utilities, to me David Lindsay is the most appropriate person to do this, I mean if it would take him a couple of hours to read through that and make whatever changes to as well as with the transportation of water distribution and drainage. You know there is a lot thing's here that I think David has and can facilitate this process more efficiently than someone run around and deal with it. Development, now this is where we get with Mr. Wanzenried over here because he is the gooroo he knows what has been going on a year-by-year basis in this community and we can begin to update that.

David Dunning: See you have been here a little over a year.

Paul Wanzenried: Yea.

Laughter

Paul Wanzenried: It is a good thing I read ahead.

Ron Brand: There is an annual reports that the Code Officers have to make to the Town Board. There are Planning Board meetings and records that you sit in on if you stay awake you know what is going on. What we are looking to do here is to provide that kind of information update. So you begin to see a picture of changes that have occurred. All right, moving on probably here in this section we need to list the fact as David said that we have done a number of studies and reports, plans and what not since the plan was officially adopted. This is where it should go in the document existing conditions and this is what we have done. We will talk a little more about those, as we get into this more in depth. The Development Constraints Analysis we have dealt with this now for forty plus years, I am saying the physical conditions are Development Constraints Map we will take a look at that but I am not thinking that there is a whole lot of changes, because I am not aware necessarily of major map amendments that have occurred since

this plan was adopted either by FEMA, DEC, Monroe County Environmental Conservation, whatever other groups that may exist out there that might have played a factor.

David Dunning: Would our Farmland Protection Plan become in some cases a development constraint? As we identify or as we work to, I know we are still working on; does that then become a development constraint?

Ron Brand: I am not saying the plan does, but perhaps the strategic protection area does because there you are looking to if your successful with others looking at what we are doing. I would address the development based on soil protection and preservation as compared to minimum lot size or acreages things of this nature. It could become, it is a very good questions, I have not thought about it.

Paul Wanzenried: It is physical, not code not or.

Ron Brand: The physical constraints is the protection of those soils.

Paul Wanzenried: Yea, it's the physical constraint is the soil itself whether it is a class 1 or 4 or 2, the prime soils that is the physical constraint but I don't see it as being any more than say difference in zoning 2 it is taken under consideration. We try to preserve it but in the end, I do not think you can stop it.

Ron Brand: No, you cannot stop it, unless you acquire the rights to it.

David Dunning: Understood, I just wanted to be clear on it or to at least get that out there, because I think that there are some things in that Farmland Protection Plan that could likely especially in that protected area become a physical development constraint and that is currently not identified in the Comprehensive Plan, because we did not have a Farmland Protection Plan at the time.

Dorothy Borgus: That is a good point.

Ron Brand: Okay, um moving right along. Chapter 4 The Goals, Objectives & Recommended Action. Let us take a look at those, let us see what changes we need to make there. Are there programs that perhaps we could benefit from with the current language that we have? Maybe being well received by funding agencies, maybe there is some specific challenges that we need to deal with recreational programs and facilities that might qualify you for these consolidated funding applications or with GTC or with other transportation feasibility studies. I am starting to lose my voice here I apologize. I know you been waiting for this day, Chapter 5 Future Land Use Plan and Map I don't really think that with only maybe one or two exceptions that the Future Land Use Plan Map may change. It may or may not I don't know I am just saying that we have to look at that Stottle/Bowen Road area and decide what we are going to do, because if we are going to change the zoning there as that plan recommends then we have got to amend the Future Plan Land Use Map to reflect that.

David Dunning: I think also we may want to look at as you may look at this particular part of it you may want to look at property up on Davis Road and there are some other areas I think in the town that were not necessarily, that were identified in the in the Farmland Protection Plan as Agriculture type operations running but are not necessarily or may not necessarily be identified properly in the Future Land Use as to what we want. So, I think we want to pay attention to some of the other areas; we should really spend some time with this particular one. I think we missed some things the last time and some things might need to be added at this time. Beyond what we know is the obvious but was recommended by the Farmland Protection Plan.

Ron Brand: Right. The next Chapter 6 is the Implementation Actions and you will see as you go through Chapter 6 that we have matrix if you will, actions that are broken out. A list of things from at the time we felt we had to get right into to and take care of to things we felt we could get to but maybe not as quickly as we needed to and then things that we just have to keep on the burners to keep us focused with where we are going. If we are going to reach this vision and goal, a lot of verbiage that went in into that, a lot of editing a lot of grammar. We had eight English Professors around the table. The Appendices, Appendix A this is the SEQR document whatever we do in here to change Future Land Use we have to address because we are changing what the environmental record is for the plan we have in place. So, if we say we are going to change an area from this to that we have to analyze that, look at that, and identify that to keep the environmental record current and relevant to the plan. Appendix B, my copy I did not have it so, I am sorry.

David Dunning: Deduct from Ron's pay.

Dorothy Borgus: I got it here do you want a copy?

Ron Brand: No, what is it, here. What is the title of it there? Maybe I got it in the wrong place. I will go back and look at that.

Dorothy Borgus: Is that the evaluation of the importance of impact?

Ron Brand: Yea, right it is all part 3. The public participation record that is important and the final analysis when we get ready to come up with the document that says this is the update, how are these minutes recorded, how where they posted on the website and who attended what kind of input was received and what thoughts were contributed by the audience. Now, let me just stop here and say this and that is this committee and you have heard this many times. This committee is going to be responsible for conducting a public information meeting on the document. That is a requirement by State Law. Based upon that document then changes to the draft and then it is submitted to the Town Board for formal consideration. The Town Board may decide before it accepts it to submit it as required under the General Municipal Law to the County Planning agents for their review and comments. When the County Planning agency gets ahold of it, there is also the County Agriculture Farmland Protection Board that will get ahold of it and take a look at what we have done to implement the Farmland Plan and what we are doing to Agriculture here in the town. Once those reports come back, the Town Board understands what is being said by the experts then they schedule a public hearing and they have to have at least one public hearing within a specific period of time from when they make that decision.

I think that is sixty days, but I could be wrong. In any event at least one public hearing has to be held on this. Now, the other thing that is involved here is that when it is adopted, then certified copies of the adopted plan have to be provided in certain key locations and that is one of the appendices that you have Appendix D, which specifies where those locations are. The Town Clerk has to have one in her records, as well as other agencies and then the one thing that we are going to deal with here is something new is Appendix E. Right now Appendix E did not have anything in it, because we did not have an Appendix E before this last version. So, what we are going to be doing is an Appendix E is basically summarizing the changes that we have made with the plan and update between what is here and here. Now, it is not going to be thirty pages of boilerplates we are going to kind of summarize it basically in the format that I did here today. With this report, that is to say Chapter 1 we made these changes, Chapter 2 we made these changes so that anyone reading it can go right to that maintenance report and see very quickly how the document came to be. I have quickly run through this I want to emphasize the fact that we will be operating electronically as we did before, hopefully. Save as many trees as we can and when we next meet, I will before the meeting give you some draft information to look at. Now I am not looking for another meeting until April. And the reason I am not looking for another meeting until April is.

David Dunning: Here we go.

Ron Brand: Because I am looking for a little r&r is coming up. But, I have to I have to give you time to refresh yourself and become familiar with the contents of the content of this document. I think that you can accomplish those things, you can look at what I have suggested here and staff can begin putting together some of the requests that I am making of you over the next few weeks to come back at a point and time when you can tell us "I will have your report for you by April 2nd, or 5th, or 10th or whatever the date may be. Um, kind of work that into the schedule, and if you will give this information to the respective committees and personnel that, we have asked them to take a look at just these chapters, I think we will be off and running with this.

David Dunning: Backing up to your Chapter 6 Sustaining & Implementing I will send to all of you, I have submitted an annual report as required by the Comprehensive Plan, submitted an annual report to the Town Board on the implementation actions and those actions that were implemented when they were implemented as well as any actions that may still be outstanding. Whether they be future or current things that just where not able to get done for whatever reason. I will make sure you get a copy of that report so that you can use that as you look at where we are with some of this.

Ron Brand: I forgot about that that would be something we would want to put into the maintenance.

David Dunning: And it is progressive every year from day one, it just kind of carries on through. I believe you have probably seen it, it carries on through so that from day one until this past December was the last update that I provided.

Dorothy Borgus: Sounds good.

David Dunning: So we basically have some homework.

Ron Brand: I always like to give homework.

Laughter

David Dunning: Am I understanding correctly that we should do some review, taking a look at your items here.

Ron Brand: Right. I cannot emphasize enough the fact that I stressed to David that we have the resources here in this community to do the bulk of this work and that is what I try to accomplish the last time we updated this plan is to put that in motion, so that next time when I am not here you can address this. I do not necessarily see you having to spend gobs of money to keep your plan current at least until the year 2030.

David Dunning: What do you anticipate as possibly the time frame for this to progress, how do you have any idea?

Ron Brand: I would say that thru the public process there is a certain time constraints built into it. You just can't give the public something and say ok we are going to meet next week. They have to absorb this and there is certain procedural rules 30 days for the County review and it may take longer if something is not kosher.

David Dunning: Let me rephrase my question. Setting aside the formalities that will.

Ron Brand: The target date.

David Dunning: The target date that to have a draft ready for.

Ron Brand: I would say that.

David Dunning: Ready for public consumption.

Ron Brand: I would say to have a draft ready for public should be done by the end of July.

David Dunning: Okay, it sounds seeing that it took us three years to get first one done, I am guessing that is not too terribly bad.

Dorothy Borgus: This was a leap though, this plan.

David Dunning: Yes, yes it was. It was a good.

Dorothy Borgus: It was a leap.

David Dunning: Yes it was.

Laughter

Ron Brand: Yea, then you know and you go through the cycle. I would say probably October you would be adopting it.

Dorothy Borgus: It is probably good the timing.

David Dunning: Yea and I do not want to rush it so do not get me wrong I am not looking to rush it.

Dorothy Borgus: No.

David Dunning: What we want done and we want it as **through** as we can possibly get and I think you know with the people that we have here we have a great group and Dorothy was here for the last plan. Obviously, Al has been involved in this and this guy over here. A well, the jury is out yet, but we will work on that one. So, I think that we really we got a good group to get this through right done right.

Steve Tarbell: Do you have a right to farm law already?

David Dunning: We are drafting as we sit here this moment. We are this close. We have been working we obtained a grant late or early last year I should say. Yea it was early last year for to update our zoning codes to give consideration to the Agriculture to the Town of Chili and that was based on as a result of our Farmland Protection Plan. My understanding is we are only one of the communities that actually applied for it and got the grant.

Dorothy Borgus: Really.

David Dunning: So, we had we got the grant and we been working with the code update team Ron, myself, Paul, David Lindsay, Eric our Assistant Council for the town. We have been working, so we took a break in our code our general zoning code updates to do work on this farm and agriculture, that includes the right to farm law that we are drafting as well as other changes within the zoning code itself that we believe recommendations that the Farmland Protection Plan.

Ron Brand: As a result, there is a lot of things happening at the State level. Let's say that municipalities are watching and creating interest the Ag & Markets cause it has really flexed its muscle in some communities where somebody may be feel that they are not being treated as they should be by municipalities and therefore they run around the municipality and they go straight to the state and the next thing you know the Supervisor just got this long letter based on information on something someone has told them that Ag & Markets does not bother coming and checking before they sent it out the door. It keeps the attorneys happy and busy and all this good stuff. Then you have other conflicting fighting between the Department of State and Ag & Markets over certain requirements of permitting different times of structures. Then you have Ag & Markets which if you have ever looked at the list of various list of chapters of Ag & Markets Law that you have in this State you kind of like damn where do I find all of this hidden glory before they spring it on me.

One of the things that right now you got my email right? In the works down in Yates County the Department of State official told Yates County that there is a provision in the uniform code now that trumps Ag & Markets protection and required property maintenance of farm buildings. And I can just see him going out to an antique sixteen barn and saying you got to paint that to keep it maintained.

David Dunning: Huh.

Ron Brand: You're going to go to that march?

Paul Wanzenried: Truly, you need to see me before or in these meetings before I give Mrs. Borgus anything.

Laughter.

Paul Wanzenried: Because now, she is going to be in my office saying "did you get that barn on Reed Road"? Okay.

Laughter.

Ron Brand: No, I am just saying that this is something that is in the works of being resolved.

Laughter.

Ron Brand: And if you want to sit in his office be my guest.

Laughter.

David Dunning: You are beautiful.

Paul Wanzenried: Oh, boy, yea I try to stay North of Beaver Road as much as I can.

Laughter.

Paul Wanzenried: Once you get South its.

David Dunning: That is quite complicated once you get South of the creek.

Ron Brand: Do you understand what is expected? Along comes one of these curve balls and takes you by surprise.

Paul Wanzenried: Sure.

Ron Brand: And now you got to figure out how it is going to be addressed. I don't know that is the way it is. So, what else do you want to accomplish?

David Dunning: Questions, thoughts, concerns? Any thoughts on how best to present this on our website? I would like to keep the minutes at least up on the website for now. As soon as we get those now it is going to obviously take a little bit, Dawn is not Sandy it is going to take her more time to transcribe these than most so it will take a week or better before the minutes will be available. Any thoughts on how you would like to see this put on the website at all?

James Ignatowski: Why don't you just put it into the standard area where you would find most other committee minutes? Instead of looking for them within the website and have to go fishing for it.

David Dunning: Do you think it would be advantageous to just put it in the quick links over on the left hand side of the webpage then.

James Ignatowski: Definitely yes, because that would be the first place I would look at them for.

David Dunning: Okay, all right.

James Ignatowski: If that is the particular interest.

David Dunning: And what should we put on there. What do you think should be posted on there just the minutes and meeting schedules?

All: Yes.

David Dunning: Meeting minutes, agendas & minutes.

Ron Brand: This kick off meeting really was more for me to kind of set the stage if you will of how we anticipate of unfolding this update process. There was not anything specific in the handouts that about the comments that we got to do this, we got to do that. More less it was providing direction on how we are going to do try and do this in house this time thru and do it more economically than perhaps you would otherwise end up doing with an outside firm that has to come in and learn all about the community.

Dorothy Borgus: Somewhere we ought to be able to stress on the website to the public that attendance is open and welcome.

James Ignatowski: Would you include a brief summary of what are purpose is?

David Dunning: I would say I would probably put these, if you look at all the goals and objectives that are outlined on your agenda. I don't know if you agree or disagree if you think that there is anything missing perhaps then maybe that should be then that should be our purpose.

Paul Wanzenried: Right.

David Dunning: Because is there anything on there that you don't think belongs there, is there anything on there that needs to change or anything that we need to add or missing.

James Ignatowski: You said something like this is annual thing that has to get done.

David Dunning: No this is done about every five year, right Ron. Well we can set that, we can establish that but five years is.

Inaudible too many speaking at once.

David Dunning: Five years is probably the legally accepted update pattern to which you get an Article 78 or something like that brought against you, you have at least looked at it within that period which has generally been accepted as often enough.

James Ignatowski: Maybe it would advantageous enough to stress what it is all about not something that has been pushed by any party or whatever.

Ron Brand: You know perhaps putting in your annual reports that you make to the Town Board would make.

David Dunning: We could make it into there to.

Ron Brand: Yea.

David Dunning: But that is already out there, it is on there. It is in our reports and stuff it is already there. Anything else? Anything I am missing. Do you need anything from me at this point? You need a copy of the Comprehensive Plan, I will get you a copy, you got a copy, I know you do. You're all set.

Dorothy Borgus: Do we have website, or email addresses for everybody that is here?

David Dunning: I do have them, what I will do is that I will make sure that when I send them. That stuff I am going to send you when I send you my copy of the reports you will have everyone's email in that so that will all be included in that.

Ron Brand: Of course, you can send people things by email and never reply saying, "gee thanks Ron", talk to me about this before you say anything to Dorothy Borgus.

Laughter.

Ron Brand: Oh, baby sting.

David Dunning: Seeing that our consultant is not going to be available for a while, do you see any value in going thru this and getting back together or do you want to try and just any thoughts there?

Dorothy Borgus: With that deadline tentative deadline, I think we should move forward.

David Dunning: So we work on some of this.

Dorothy Borgus: Yea.

David Dunning: We all work on some of this and get back together while he is out sunning himself somewhere.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes.

David Dunning: Or sipping margaritas by the pool.

Dorothy Borgus: Because we are looking at a two month.

David Dunning: Right, so you want to try and get back together sometime in March.

Dorothy Borgus: Sure.

David Dunning: Anybody have any thoughts on ideas on dates and times?

Ron Brand: What do you expect to have reviewed by March?

David Dunning: Whatever you can get done within Ron's.

Al Hellaby: Start going thru some of these sections.

David Dunning: Right, start going thru some of these sections. I would ask the committee to get as far as you can.

Dorothy Borgus: With this list.

David Dunning: Yes, with this list I would say no expectations in us getting completely thru it, but get as far as you can. Those who get further than others will be able to share what they learned and thought and we can have your finds with us and go thru it. Sound fair and reasonable?

Paul Wanzenried: Fair enough.

David Dunning: All right. Dates, anybody? I know you've got a.

Al Hellaby: Earlier, earlier in the week actually works better for me, but again I don't know cause Thursday's extremely tough I am supposed to be in Jersey right now but I said I had to go.

David Dunning: You don't want to be in Jersey right now.

Al Hellaby: Trust me I don't want to be in Jersey right now.

In audible, various talking at once.

David Dunning: You are welcome by the way Al.

Laughter.

Dorothy Borgus: Monday nights are good for me.

David Dunning: I don't mind glaze donuts work fine so don't worry you can send them whenever you feel like. I am kidding. I can't.

James Ignatowski: They do make diet donuts now you know.

Laughter.

Steve Tarbell: Speak for yourself I can.

Laughter.

David Dunning: All right, um anybody have any thoughts?

Paul Wanzenried: Tuesday's are hit and miss with me, Wednesday's are no good. Monday's are fine.

Al Hellaby: Monday's are fine.

Dorothy Borgus: Monday's are fine with me.

David Dunning: Conservation Board is in here on the 6th and 13th is Historic Preservation, I mean we can do it in my conference room if you are ok with that. We do not have to do it in here; I can open that up to the public I don't care. That is fine, as long as we don't get a ton of public we will have the room. If we do, we are in trouble.

Dorothy Borgus: Your still (inaudible).

Ron Brand: There are a lot of great maps up there on the wall.

David Dunning Yea.

Al Hellaby: the 13th?

David Dunning: I would say either the 6th or the 13th then. What does everybody think? Monday the 13th?

Dorothy Borgus: Monday the 13th.

Steve Tarbell: March 13th.

David Dunning: Time ok?

Dorothy Borgus: Sure.

Al Hellaby: Anytime is fine.

Dorothy Borgus: Anytime is fine.

David Dunning: I figured earlier kind of that it is nice to get home a little earlier sometimes.

Dorothy Borgus: Six?

David Dunning: Especially for older people, they tend to want to get to bed early. Um, ok so that will be in we will do that in my conference room we will leave the door open so if anybody from the public does want to attend they will be able to do so.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

Ron Brand: If you find you, can't fit everybody in there then come in here.

David Dunning: Well, Conservation Board is in here, they might not like that.

Paul Wanzenried: Not on the 13th.

David Dunning: No, that is Historic Preservation.

Ron Brand: So Dorothy.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes.

David Dunning: Are we, are we all set?

Ron Brand: Yes.

David Dunning: Are we done? Everybody ok with tonight's business?

Dorothy Borgus: Good.

David Dunning: Okay.

Meeting adjourned at 6:56 pm.

