

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

A meeting of the 2030 Comprehensive Plan Update Committee was held on May 30, 2017 at the Chili Town Hall, 3333 Chili Avenue, Rochester, New York 14624 at 6:00 p.m. The meeting was called to order by Supervisor David Dunning.

PRESENT: Supervisor David Dunning, Ron Brand, RLP Plans, Paul Wanzenried, Building Department Manager, James Ignatowski, Architectural Advisory Committee Chair, Al Hellaby, Planning Board VC, Dorothy Borgus, Resident.

ABSENT: Steve Tarbell, Traffic & Safety Committee Member.

Supervisor Dunning: Did everyone copy of the minutes, any changes or questions. Anyone have any objections? Okay, then those minutes will be approved.

At the conclusion of the last meeting, we did complete Chapter 4 and according to my notes, we agreed to go through Chapter 6 and then back to Chapter 5. Is that okay with everyone?

Paul Wanzenried: That is what the homework was.

Supervisor Dunning: Yes, that is what the homework was. Okay then we will go right into Chapter 6-1, questions, concerns, issues.

Al Hellaby: The only thing I see is that the first paragraph has the numbers of specific element goals, and the changes that we are proposing in Chapter 4 do those numbers now change.

Ron Brand: Yes.

Al Hellaby: They will now have to be corrected.

Ron Brand: Yes.

Dorothy Borgus: The other thing I noted was on the third paragraph that begins with “Many of the strategic actions recommended”, on the third line down “manor” is spelled wrong, “in this manor”.

James Ignatowski: If we are going to check grammar, the next sentence up “First grant” should it be plural.

Paul Wanzenried: Or a grant, it could be either way. Is that in place has that been done?

David Dunning: Well that is a broad statement. If I am reading this correctly, first yes, it should be “First, grants or other funding should be in place in order to determine the feasibility of constructing. Oh, I am sorry Black Creek, that is done.

Paul Wanzenried: Completed.

Ron Brand: So that can come out.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: And “manor”.

Dorothy Borgus: It should be “manner”. Then I had a question on the next paragraph down that begins “It is because of so many unknowns”, the last sentence there is that a true statement there about the town law? The Section 272-a.

Ron Brand: Yes, in other words what this is saying is that you can have a long-range plan, but you have to identify the time of which the adoption of this addition of the plan is valid for. The reason for that is that in the past, too many municipalities had grand visions of ideas of development that never happened and there was no order in which to accomplish that.

Dorothy Borgus: Hence our timeline in the back.

Paul Wanzenried: High priority, medium action items those things is that what you are referring too.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes. I did not have anything more on that page.

David Dunning: Paul did point out and I just wanted to while this is being done change, the language under the “Plan Maintenance” the last sentence there it starts with “The adoption of the”, the State of the Town address, that does not get stated at the State of the Town address, and this is a Chamber of Commerce event and the town does not sponsor the State of the Town address. I do submit a report annually at the conclusion of the year with yearend reports like Boards/Committees and everyone else does. So, that language should probably change.

Dorothy Borgus: Do you have anything you like to see it changed too?

David Dunning: Annual report submitted by year-end or at year-end.

Paul Wanzenried: Is it with the adoption of this plan?

Ron Brand: This is going for a five-year period, so at the end of each year of that five-year period the Supervisor makes a report to the Town Board.

David Dunning: That is not what this sentence says though. This sentence talks about the annual report.

Dorothy Borgus: So, we are going to change “State of the Town address” to “annual report”.

David Dunning: Yes, and I think that is what it says here on “C” under “High Priority Action” items on page 6-2 it says it differently. Anything else on 6-1?

Paul Wanzenried: On the next page, it says, “the Town Board, Planning Board will begin to prepare a report to be accepted by the Town Board at each year’s organizational”.

David Dunning: Where are you now Paul.

Paul Wanzenried: On the next page.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: 6-1 is the first page.

Paul Wanzenried: All right got you.

David Dunning: We are on 6-2, all right so we are done with 6-1. 6-2 go ahead.

Paul Wanzenried: The first paragraph, "In July of each year, the Town Board and Town Planning Board will begin to prepare a report to be accepted by the Town Board", is that something that is done?

David Dunning: The Planning Board does supply a report.

Paul Wanzenried: A year-end report.

David Dunning: Yes which is basically what this is. The Town Board does approve those typically thru the organizational meeting. We have all the year-end reports that are submitted and the Town Board accepts them at that point and is not thru resolution, it is done thru acceptance.

Ron Brand: That would be January instead of July.

Paul Wanzenried: In July of each year, they begin to prepare it.

David Dunning: Right.

Dorothy Borgus: I had a question on when this was done too.

David Dunning: You see all the year-end reports at the organizational agenda I believe and the Planning Board is included. While it is not specific to the Comprehensive Plan.

Dorothy Borgus: That was my next questions.

Paul Wanzenried: On the next paragraph, it becomes an amendment to the plan.

Dorothy Borgus: I do not think that is accurate.

David Dunning: No that would not be accurate. What constitutes an amendment to the plan; it would take an official action to do that.

Ron Brand: It would take an official action. It requires a public hearing to hear the comments, so that does not happen.

Dorothy Borgus: Maybe you just take the sentence out.

Paul Wanzenried: If you read, further it is intertwined into an annual status report and is giving to the public at a meeting. Then there is an annual report generated by my department it is steam rolling here.

David Dunning: Is it required Ron?

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: No, the only thing that is required is a length of time that the plan is valid for, a five- year period. If there is to be a formal (inaudible) if, the plan has something that comes along that was anticipated that it is such a great idea that it makes sense to amend the plan to justify the action of changing zoning or whatever it may be.

David Dunning: Is it fair to say that we could take a look at those first two paragraphs and make some modifications. If it is something, we should do, we want to do it but if it is something that we do not necessarily need to do can we make it consistent with what we do.

Ron Brand: Yes.

Dorothy Borgus: You are talking about the next paragraph that says, “Included in the annual report”.

David Dunning: That is correct.

Dorothy Borgus: Because I had, a question mark on the second sentence or the same thought. I had a question mark on the next one too.

Ron Brand: You do that anyway don’t you, annual report.

Paul Wanzenried: I give a year-end report much like any other department in the town. I give monthly reports for that matter on the number of permits issued and the permit type, permits issued over the course of a month. Month end reports to the board, but I have never given anything annual that is submitted that is attempted to intertwine into the Comprehensive Plan, that has never been done. I do not think the Conservation Board generates anything like that.

David Dunning: No, they do the same thing an annual report.

Ron Brand: They are required to according to the general municipal law.

David Dunning: Do what.

Ron Brand: Make an annual report by December 31<sup>st</sup> of every year.

Paul Wanzenried: They do, but again it is not tied back into the Comprehensive Plan.

Ron Brand: Right.

Paul Wanzenried: There is a distinction there.

David Dunning: Right and that is what I was hoping Ron was not saying that it had to be tied into this.

Ron Brand: No, you do not have to. You do have to give a report on what has changed in the Open Space for the community.

David Dunning: Okay.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Paul Wanzenried: When we start talking about “High Priority Actions”, I will say “A & B” have been completed should they not be “maintained” not “adopted” or “accept”. Should that verbiage be changed?

Ron Brand: I am sorry.

Paul Wanzenried: In “A” does “adopt & publish the Comprehensive Plan” should that not be “maintain & publish” or something similar.

Ron Brand: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: Same thing for “B” “accept the Open Space Inventory” that has already been completed so that would be “maintain” not “accept”.

Ron Brand: Right. Just so, you know this period is 2017 – 2023, if you do the math the next period would be 2024 – 2030, which is the timeline original in your plan.

Dorothy Borgus: So it is going to be.

Ron Brand: 2017 – 2023, is my math wrong, 2022.

Dorothy Borgus: So then, under those two items now how do you do that for a date? I am talking about the 2011, so obviously that has to be changed.

Ron Brand: That would be changed to 2017, because you are going to adopt and update the plan this year.

Dorothy Borgus: So then, the next one would be 2017 also.

Ron Brand: That is actually an on-going action because when this was written you did not have the Open Space Inventory.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes because I think that is why we said accept.

Ron Brand: Correct.

Dorothy Borgus: Because it was a work in progress.

Ron Brand: Some of these would fall under the implementation actions, which is later in this chapter.

Paul Wanzenried: So, should we say on going and not give a specific date.

Ron Brand: I think it needs to be on going.

Dorothy Borgus: Instead of a 2017, well maybe it does not belong in this section then. It is high priority but.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: I differ slightly on that Dorothy, I would I think the maintenance of it is still a high priority action. Because it takes, time and we will find out when we have the Open Space Inventory and the Open Space Plan, which is further down in these action items both of those should be maintained by the Conservation Board, and they should be done on an ongoing basis. They should not be necessarily put on a specific date that it has to be done it should be continual and reported on annually perhaps.

Dorothy Borgus: So is that what you would like to see under “d”?

David Dunning: I think we need a new category; we had a category going didn't we.

Ron Brand: Yes.

David Dunning: I would hate to leave it to the back, but maybe ongoing should be moved up to the front. Maybe we should create that “ongoing” that is my thought ahead of the “High Priority items” should be the first thing.

Al Hellaby: That makes sense to me you have things that are ongoing constantly in flux

Dorothy Borgus: If you are going to make that adopt or maintain you should probably take “publish” out. I think we had “publish” in there because this was our first effort. Then do you want to take “publish” out.

Paul Wanzenried: Agreed.

David Dunning: Should maintain the Comprehensive Plan period, ongoing action item. Anything else on 6-2?

Dorothy Borgus: Is there an actual format for “C”?

David Dunning: There is for me, yes I think that you will find that the reports are consistent. Is there a written manual or something that says you have to do this, this and this no. I think that they have just been all consistent in the way they get those reports.

Ron Brand: You can change it to say, “maintain the annual report” on sustaining the 2030 Comprehensive Plan. Take out “Prepare the format for” you already have the format you are maintaining it.

David Dunning: Provide annual report on sustaining the 2030 Comprehensive Plan.

Paul Wanzenried: Have they submitted such a thing?

David Dunning: Who?

Paul Wanzenried: The Conservation Board.

David Dunning: Their annual report would address not specifically the 2030 Comprehensive Plan; we could change that if that needs to happen.

Paul Wanzenried: No, you are just maintaining it, right and this is going in the ongoing category.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: Right.

Dorothy Borgus: And “prepare”.

David Dunning: I would say provide an annual report.

Paul Wanzenried: Or “provide and maintain”, nope provide.

Dorothy Borgus: Provide.

Paul Wanzenried: Has “D” been completed, it is my understanding that “D” is completed.

David Dunning: Yes it is.

Paul Wanzenried: So we do not need to “prepare and adopt”, so we use ongoing and maintain.

David Dunning: No, not necessarily Ron and I talked about this, we did not do this necessarily, but we have several plans and studies that have been completed and will go thru and we will see but this has happened if you read my annual report you will see that. We talked about what we need to do with those reports as far as whether we incorporate those now into the Comprehensive Plan and make them a part of this plan so that they are reviewed in the next five-year cycle. One of the things we went through in the planning efforts we spent a lot of time and money putting a lot of plans together. The last thing I want to see happen is to see these plans collect dust. I want the plans to be reviewed, I want people to know that they are there and see them and be able to update them if necessary like we are doing here today.

Ron Brand: Perhaps a plan that was done is no longer relative to changes that have occurred then that needs to be identified. If that means it takes some of your Planning Board members that have been on the Planning Board for twenty five – thirty years and they have a history book, a stack of them that a new comer to the town may not be aware of. The Comprehensive Plan ought to be the place where you can go to see what was done on any particular item whether it was drainage or transportation, land use or whatever.

Paul Wanzenried: That is fine.

David Dunning: On “D” I would just remove it all together and make that a part of or at the conclusion of this it is included as a part of the documentation of the Comprehensive Plan am I wrong Ron.

Ron Brand: No, you are right.

David Dunning: Okay, so then “D” would be deleted correct.

Ron Brand: But there should be some reference somewhere that you are maintaining a list of all the plans that have been prepared to date so that there is a resource there that you can go to if someone has a question.

Paul Wanzenried: Almost like a footnote or a bibliography or something like that maybe.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: I would say that or some kind of glossary that you can identify those plans by because how many times have I heard someone say “well I am not going to do anything here until this highway is going to be repaired and we talked about that back in 1984”.

Dorothy Borgus: At some point in the past a long time back they did have a list like that of all the things that were on file and completed with the year of the preparation for approval.

Ron Brand: The town has to keep a list of all the local laws that are adopted. It would not hurt the town to have a list of all plans out there.

David Dunning: My thought was that those physical documents would be contained within this document, whether it be done as an appendices or some other mechanism and in the table of content it would say “Sidewalk Masterplan” and it would be listed in whatever section and then that document would become a physical document would be part of this and we would no longer maintain an individual or independent document.

Ron Brand: That would work as long as each one of those documents don’t get to big, I am thinking the Farmland Protection Plan to be incorporated into this document, would you really want to make a copy of that as an addendum to the plan.

David Dunning: I do, I am only one person here it is only my opinion. I think keeping these documents in separate locations does not force you to look at it. Even you have said has anyone looked at a document, and people have said I do not know I have not seen it in years.

Al Hellaby: I guess what Ron is saying is to get them all in one binder you are going to have six or eight binders anyhow.

David Dunning: Can it actually be done, and then you have a document that is this thick and is that what we really want. I do not know the answer to that.

James Ignatowski: My opinion would be no. It is not everything it is just a part of what the town is doing and what the goals are, what this is about and to see what is referenced you have to go to that document separately and they should be by themselves because if you have to read all that and go back thru this and interpret what is going on I think it is going to be too much. You are just trying to review the document itself and go back to the plan if that is what you want to do but to add to the meat and potatoes of everything the town has produced you have to go to that separately.

Paul Wanzenried: As someone who has to reference and kick off of one manual to another on a daily basis I have to side as a one source type thing.

David Dunning: Even if it is a separate book as attachments or whatever and have all those plans in them so that when you do this review and whoever is sitting here in five years from now or six years from now to do this again, they are looking at everything and not just what we have here.

Ron Brand: Exactly.

Dorothy Borgus: Well maybe a separate book.



**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: And it could be, as long as it is tied to this book.

Dorothy Borgus: Tied to this somehow.

Paul Wanzenried: The studies of Chili or something like that.

Ron Brand: That is not to say that everybody in the community has to have a thick document like that.

David Dunning: No

Paul Wanzenried: It would be on-line for the general public there is only a select group of people in the town that carry their master plan around.

Ron Brand: That are obligated to it.

Dorothy Borgus: Is the thought to remove four?

Ron Brand: It is that I understood it to incorporate all into the appendices to the plan and all the other plans, studies and documents that have been completed to date for the town.

Al Hellaby: That makes sense.

David Dunning: It does to me.

James Ignatowski: I asked how thick each report was and I thought I would have a better idea of that answer but from what I have seen here we are pretty through on what we produce so (inaudible).

Paul Wanzenried: Between the Open Space Inventory, Bicycle Study, Farmland Protection Plan, and the Chili Center Master Plan it is that high, that is essentially, what you are taking.

James Ignatowski: So how high is that going to make it?

Paul Wanzenried: It should fit into a three or four inch binder that is my guess.

David Dunning: As we go thru the rest of this I think you will get a better feel for how many of these plans are done and how much we have actually have because I think most of the recommendations are done.

Paul Wanzenried: "F" is done so you can cross that off right, "B is done too.

David Dunning: Can we go back, "adopt and maintain" obviously we are going to maintain a town wide Drainage District, because it is town wide now. So that is done so that can go, there is no maintenance of that anymore. We will maintain the Drainage District I think that is a given by law now. So, "E" Should go, "F" should go.

Ron Brand: This exercise that we are going thru is an example of why the periodic review of these plans, because you have accomplished the task that was identified five to six years ago. Now, you need to set up new high priorities.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: Just to go back to the heading we are going to do here. Maybe we should combine the headlining that was there, take out the 1 to 5 years and just put “High Priority Actions Ongoing”. Not make another category out of it because so far we have not found anything.

Ron Brand: Yes, but you will.

Dorothy Borgus: You think we will, okay.

Ron Brand: Yes, and they will become your high priority actions. In other words, you have accomplished these things and they are ongoing. Okay now what is the next high priority that you want to accomplish?

David Dunning: We are going to get into that in the next page Dorothy. Anything else on 6-2 then? All right 6-3?

Paul Wanzenried: I think “G” is done.

David Dunning: “G” is done.

Paul Wanzenried: “H” is done.

David Dunning: “H” is done.

Paul Wanzenried: “I”.

David Dunning: “I” is not done. That has been a little bit more challenging.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, that deals with FEMA and recommendations there that is more on going is it not.

David Dunning: I would say that should stay as a high priority action item, we may need to modify the date, but that should remain.

Dorothy Borgus: I see the Town Conservation Board in a lot of these notes do they actually participate in all this stuff.

David Dunning: No.

Ron Brand: They have not and that was something that we need to look at we still identify them and they have a plate full as it is.

David Dunning: We are trying to bring the Conservation back to its original charter, which would take care of some of these things. It has been a little challenging; they were directed some time ago under a previous Supervisor to do more things around landscaping, buildings and getting the 1% landscaping fund and things that are not really a part of their original charter. I am working with them trying to change that. Right now they are charged with the Open Space Inventory and Open Space Plan maintenance, they know that and are supposed to be reviewing that at their meetings. It is a work in progress it is not an easy change to get people to change from what they have been doing for years and what they are asked to do by another group. Hopefully we will have that soon on track there.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: Putting that aside and I do not mean to get off track but, you might want to make that position on that committee more of a challenge so that it would attract more people.

David Dunning: You would hope that would be the case and I do not know that is the case I am hesitant to believe that actually would be the case.

Dorothy Borgus: Enough said. So, "I" was going to say it is a high priority item.

Paul Wanzenried: "J" would stay. I think "K" is done.

David Dunning: Hang on a second, "J" is done, "Amend the Town Zoning Laws" the laws were for the Special Use Permit. We have that and we did change that, we did adopt and amend the zoning laws for Special Use Permits.

Paul Wanzenried: Wouldn't we be reviewing that in the code review in the zoning code review though.

David Dunning: Only if you look at the first part of that yes. We are looking at that now, but I do not believe there is any changes in the Special Use Permit that we have talked about thus far. We wouldn't or wont we can leave that as a question mark if you would like but for the most part that as it was intended it was written is done.

James Ignatowski: Has the criteria been created?

David Dunning: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: I am all right with taking it out, just to me I associated that with code review and updating whether we need Special Uses in this district –vs- that district, whether or not you need to take out certain perimeters like the construction perimeter on Special Use Permits. Time requirements for Special Use Permits valid or not and that sort of thing. That is what I understood this to be when I read it.

Dorothy Borgus: I think on the original committee that was our intention what you just said.

David Dunning: We did change the local law for Special Use Permits to what was being looked for at that particular time. If we want to keep something like this, we can I would suggest that we change the language a little bit because the zoning laws are going to be on going.

Inaudible too many speaking at once.

David Dunning: Review and maintain.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes, and put it somewhere else.

Paul Wanzenried: Excellent word review.

David Dunning: Right, and then on going priority item.

Paul Wanzenried; Right.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: So is that okay?

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, I am good with it that is great.

Dorothy Borgus: So, it is going to stay in high priority.

David Dunning: I would say yes.

Paul Wanzenried: Did we get a grant for the Chili Center Master Plan.

David Dunning: No, we did not. We did a Chili Center Master Plan though.

Paul Wanzenried: So, "K" is done.

David Dunning: "K" is done.

Paul Wanzenried: I believe "L" is done.

David Dunning: "L" is done.

Paul Wanzenried: I have no idea what "M" is, I have a question mark next to that one.

David Dunning: Article 278 was that not part of the Cluster Development we had talked about at the time. I think this particular action item came to be when we were getting some applications like Greenwood Townhomes, for clustering. The setbacks and having to go to ZBA for a zero setback to be able to put buildings together. We had not done anything with that but I think we are hopefully going to be looking at thru our code changes but that should stay, as it was not done.

Dorothy Borgus: So, it should remain.

David Dunning: Correct.

Dorothy Borgus: Should it be amend.

Ron Brand: It is all right the way it is.

Paul Wanzenried: "N" we have got.

Ron Brand: No, we are just going to maintain it.

Dorothy Borgus: And that goes under.

Ron Brand: Ongoing. Now where are we at with the Parks & Rec Master Plan?

David Dunning: That was done.

Ron Brand: Was it done for a specific period.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: Yes.

Ron Brand: You probably should put that in there so that we have a mechanism to trigger when the next update to that plan should occur.

David Dunning: Well.

Paul Wanzenried: Are you looking to change amend to maintain.

Ron Brand: I would yes.

Paul Wanzenried: And put it into the ongoing category.

Ron Brand: What you are going to do with it is maintain it.

David Dunning: Why do you do that separate?

Ron Brand: You do that separately because you have a recreation commission.

David Dunning: No, we have a Parks & Recreation Advisory Committee.

Ron Brand: Okay, and advisory committee.

David Dunning: There is a difference.

Ron Brand: Terminology, okay I understand. But, they are the ones that monitor the programs that the town offers throughout the year that need facilities and what not. Who does that?

David Dunning: The Recreation Department.

Ron Brand: They report to.

David Dunning: Supervisor.

Dorothy Borgus: It is not the advisory committee.

David Dunning: No, it is not. The Recreation Advisory Committee largely works with the extra programs Chil E-Fest, Family Fun Day, Spray Day, Movie Nights; they do not deal with the day-to-day summer camps, lacrosse, baseball, and soccer none of that.

Ron Brand: So this has changed from when this was written.

David Dunning: No probably not.

Dorothy Borgus: So, Town Parks & Recreation Advisory Committee should come out on that item.

Ron Brand: I heard a Recreation Department.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: There are other departments mentioned in this though.

David Dunning: Okay, I will go back to my original question, why do we do this as a separate plan. Parks & Recreation cannot that be a part of the same plan or anything like the Farmland Protection Plan or like the train study can it just be like that so that this gets on the same cycle as this is.

Paul Wanzenried: So, we want to incorporate all into the Comprehensive Plan.

David Dunning: Correct, again this is only my thoughts.

Dorothy Borgus: But you will leave it under ongoing in the meantime.

Ron Brand: Absolutely.

Dorothy Borgus: And incorporate it.

David Dunning: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: "P".

David Dunning: We are working on. The overlay regulations we did not do anything with yet, the overlay regulations we are working on as part of the zoning code review.

Dorothy Borgus: So it should say adopt.

David Dunning: Yes.

Ron Brand: Now "Q" is I do not think was a specific action item, it came out of the master plan so that.

Paul Wanzenried: Not that I am aware of, I do not know of any incentive zoning that is tied to the Chili Center Master Plan at this point.

Ron Brand: So is this one to be nixed, because that study is done.

David Dunning: Correct.

Dorothy Borgus: So what do you want to do with "Q" take it out.

David Dunning: Take it out.

Ron Brand: You are still with "R".

Dorothy Borgus: It is okay.

Ron Brand: Yes. What about the "Transportation Master Plan".

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: Various parts of it are done. I know we have not done anything specific to roads, but sidewalks and pedestrian have been done.

Ron Brand: So are you going to maintain it.

David Dunning: We do not have a "Transportation Master Plan", we have a Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan and the Trail Study, so I would suggest we take a look at that one and maybe when we get into the implementation we look at that and perhaps revise what we need to revise.

Dorothy Borgus: So right for now, you want to leave it the way it is.

David Dunning: I would say for right now yes, I would look at it to see if it needs to be revised.

Paul Wanzenried: As far as "T" goes, "Prepare an Areas of Concern" would that not be incorporated in "S", I did not quite understand the value of "T" is. Would not "S" identify areas of concern?

Dorothy Borgus: I can tell you what the thinking was when we did this. It was because there was not enough attention being focused on areas of concern and we thought that would bring it to the top instead of just throwing it into the transportation. No one was paying attention to what was being thrown into the mix. Therefore, we thought that keeping it separate it would put focus on it.

David Dunning: Dorothy is right the problems that we run into is that when you put something of that nature there is an expectation that we can actually accomplish something. When you are talking about State and County roads, it does not necessarily gives us the ability to make something happen unless there is already something in the works from the State or the County to do something for a road.

Paul Wanzenried: Which my understanding of most primary ones I hear about is the State and County roads. I understand your logic behind it.

Dorothy Borgus: Well let me ask Al, do you think that if that were kept separate that the Planning Board would focus on more.

Al Hellaby: I think you are going to get the same concerns that you have had for the last three or four years now. Were it is nothing has really been focused on, they talk about many areas but to Paul's point you cannot get the State or the County to come forward with any of these issues is the problem. I mean yes it gets lost in all the muddiness and I just don't know why you just don't hold it. We know that there are problem areas out there.

David Dunning: I do not know how to get pass that any further because when you publish something of that nature. There is the expectation to get it done.

Ron Brand: You will not get an answer as to it either.

David Dunning: That is easy we do not have any money. Everyone is going to give you the same answer whether it is the Town, the County of Monroe or the State we do not have any money.

Dorothy Borgus: So do you want to take it out.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: I do not want to lose it, we can always do what we are doing here and explain it even though we have not done it, but I would hate to lose the thought that someday it would have some value.

James Ignatowski: When you are talking of “Areas of Concern of Road Systems Map” is that talking about intersections so on and so forth.

David Dunning: Yes.

James Ignatowski: I think that is on the maps that we are preparing.

Dorothy Borgus: But it is even harder to pick it out all the problems on the map but it is even harder to pick out the areas of concern from the map.

Inaudible too many speaking at once.

David Dunning: If we could define the responsibility, it may not be so terribly bad.

Ron Brand: I think it would be a unique movement on the part of local governments to document these concerns and ask the State and County to address them.

David Dunning: well let me tell you how that works, we had the Black Creek Feasibility Trail Study, with part of that, we did that, and it wondered off into a direction that we hoped it would not go into. A lot of the trail system ended up along roadways the County is doing an improvement, I do not know which one is that they are going to do, and we said ok great that is a part of our trail. We said we want to get this accomplished, well we do not really know if we have enough room to do that over there and they are not going to do it at the end of the day.

Paul Wanzenried: Are there two boards that are supposed to be doing this or you labeled “boards” underneath it.

Ron Brand: At the time, this was envisioned to occur at least two boards because of the annual report thing they were identified rather than have seven Planning Board members and five Town Board members we made it a combination of Planning, citizens and Town Board and representatives of town staff. We probably should change that.

David Dunning: Correct me if I am wrong Ron, but is there not some requirement that the Comprehensive Plan require that a Planning Board member participate in that.

Ron Brand: Yes and there is also the requirement that has to be a public hearing by the Town Board.

David Dunning: But a Planning Board member has to be a part of the comprehensive planning.

Dorothy Borgus: I think it is right the way it is.

David Dunning: I think so.

Ron Brand: Okay.



**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: So what are we going to use for this one here.

Ron Brand: Well you would just add the 2017 see so it would be 2023 to 2030.

Dorothy Borgus: Either that or the numbers that we have up at the top are not right. I am sure they were continually.

Ron Brand: Well we just said “high priority” 2017 – 2022. So now, medium priority would be 2023 – 2030.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay I see what you are doing.

Paul Wanzenried: Six and seventeen is twenty-three.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes, right so you are going.

Paul Wanzenried: Twenty three to twenty thirty because the plan ends in 2030.

Ron Brand: That way you have accomplished your goal in twenty years and it will go fast.

Paul Wanzenried: “B” stays.

David Dunning: Not in my opinion, we are not going to create another committee at this point and time. Whether or not we need to prepare a trails masterplan for some reason I would not hesitate to think that it would be nice to have a trails map of some kind, but not necessarily a trails masterplan.

Paul Wanzenried: Does the Conservation Board generate that.

Dorothy Borgus: It would seem so.

Paul Wanzenried: Something that would fall under their charter.

David Dunning: We could give them something to do.

Ron Brand: If you were going to create a trail, you would have to get the Traffic & Safety Committee involved.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes, I see that one there too.

Paul Wanzenried: I don’t debate these listed. Basically you are going to take out “create trails committee” and start with prepare.

David Dunning: Right.

Paul Wanzenried: I would like to say that “C” is done.

David Dunning: “C” is done.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: "D" you got to (inaudible too much feedback).

Paul Wanzenried: This goes back the Genesee Trust thing.

David Dunning: No.

Al Hellaby: Question is how you fund something like that.

David Dunning: There is ways to do it but.

Ron Brand: We are talking about the "Feasibility for Purchase of Development Rights/Conservation Easements.

Dorothy Borgus: I think at some point you are going to have to do that.

David Dunning: Our Farmland Protection Plan gives some preference to PDR and Conservation Easements, does not that suffice for this. We do need to do a separate feasibility study to understand whether or not. I will tell you right now we are not dumping any money into this right now and the town is not going to start purchasing development rights.

Ron Brand: The town cannot afford to pit one property owner against the other by ranking them. Now if someone wants to voluntarily offer his or her lands to a land trust to go after State grant funds where it does not involve the town then that is a different story.

Dorothy Borgus: Then I guess we should take that out.

David Dunning: I would not mind moving that to something to the affect from development of some type of mechanism to support. We have talked about in the Farmland Protection Plan with coming up with penalties for example so if Dorothy decides to sell her property to Mark IV Development and is already getting some of her land as farmland exemptions on her property and we have designation this as prime farmland protected area, we would say okay Dorothy you can certainly do that but this is what the cost is going to be to the town, you will pay a penalty to the town that money would then go into a fund that would then fund the development purchase rights for other properties.

Al Hellaby: That is basically in place now.

David Dunning: No, it is not now for us the town does not collect any money.

Dorothy Borgus: Well how does that happen then?

David Dunning: If you have to pay taxes then they go back.

Al Hellaby: Does the town get an incentive.

David Dunning: No, well yes because, we have never really run into this in my time but we have one that is in process now but I do not know where that stands right now.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: There is two I can think of, okay how about Archer Road when they started up there on the I will say the failed project down there.

Jim Ignatowski: Vista Villas.

David Dunning: They I do not believe was considered to be.

Dorothy Borgus: That did not get into the agriculture part.

David Dunning: No.

Dorothy Borgus: What about Rose Hill.

David Dunning: Rose Hill is in process and I do not know where that stands right now as far as the taxes go. What they have to pay and whom they have to specifically pay back to it does not come back.

Al Hellaby: They would owe back taxes.

David Dunning: There is a timeframe with that it is not just all specific to just because I am not good at explaining this particular one, Jennie is the one that is really good at this. There is a timeframe that is involved in which you got the exemption and you have to pay back, so you do not necessarily have to pay back everything from day one.

Too many speaking at once inaudible.

Al Hellaby: You have to renew every seven years or five Ron.

Paul Wanzenried: Every year.

Dorothy Borgus: You have to renew every year and show a full year commitment.

David Dunning: So there is a timeframe in which goes back and I do not know with that specific property what the timing is and what they have to pay back and even if they are encroaching upon that, they may not be encroaching upon that particular property that had the exemption. Right now they are building on the property that use to belong to the Lapore's and I do not know that that particular property I think all he had was his own personal garden back there. So, is that encroaching upon the area in which the exemption is I do not know that answer Dorothy so I am just saying if we look at that there would be that penalty but we do not get anything back that would go that would say here is your cash.

Dorothy Borgus: It must go to somebody although.

Too many speaking at once inaudible.

David Dunning: It is divided amongst the County, the Town and the School District, because they would all be entitled to their portion of whatever that is. Can we direct that money then back into this I do not know, which is why we would need to develop some type of a process or program to fund these things and I have a problem trying to look at that.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: Then maybe if that is as much as you are going to do with the Development Rights and Conservation Easements maybe it is included in the agricultural, maybe it is not necessary.

David Dunning: I think a feasibility study is unnecessary the funding mechanism we have not yet developed.

Dorothy Borgus: Do you want to make it “Develop funding mechanism for”.

David Dunning: That is what I would say; I have no problem with that.

Dorothy Borgus: Should we take out “conduct feasibility study for”.

David Dunning: Yes, and just change it to “develop funding mechanism for”.

Dorothy Borgus: Under “E”, I have a question; it says, “what is this”.

David Dunning: I am glad you said it because I do not recall.

Paul Wanzenried: A housing plan what.

Ron Brand: In some municipalities they have created housing plans to identify the needs in their communities for different types of housing whether it is rental, townhome, single family, as opposed to having developers come in and say I want to put x number of units on my property when there may be a glutton if you will of those types of units in the community already there vacant.

Paul Wanzenried: But if it is allowed per the district how do you stop them.

David Dunning: You would have to zone them out.

Dorothy Borgus: I think we should take “E” out.

Paul Wanzenried: I agree.

David Dunning: I am okay with that.

Paul Wanzenried: I did not get a chance to ask the Fire Marshal what is our fire protection class-rating, do we know.

David Dunning: Town wide I do not know.

Paul Wanzenried: Is it any good.

David Dunning: I could not tell you.

Ron Brand: It will vary from district to district. The rating for Clifton is different from the rating for Gates and Chili.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: Well our rating insurance is different per neighborhood; not livening across from a church is not a benefit for that. It is neighborhood by neighborhood so is it really, what is this telling us to do.

Paul Wanzenried: What is the benefit?

Dorothy Borgus: What is the meaning for?

Paul Wanzenried: Does it matter that the Clay Hill subdivision is a better fire rating than Ballantyne or Clifton, I mean if I look in the Comprehensive Plan why do, who is looking for that information.

Ron Brand: The ones looking for that information is developers. They want to know where they do build, what they build and how it is going to be affected by the fire ratings.

Al Hellaby: But take the other things as far as fire hydrant, water placement do these developers have to put in fire pumps they want to take into consideration all those things when you are expanding industrial/commercial areas and make sure that the infrastructure is adequate.

Ron Brand: You do not want to find out from the State agency after a catastrophe has occurred that there was a problem there that you knew about and nobody else in the community knew about it.

David Dunning: I have a suggestion, we leave this and instead of “Town Fire Departments” in ahead we put “Town Fire Marshal”.

Al Hellaby: There you go.

David Dunning: That is another job that he could be doing, you can work with him on that.

Dorothy Borgus: So after fire departments put Fire Marshal.

David Dunning: Do we need that, leave fire departments and add Fire Marshal.

Ron Brand: Put Fire Marshal between the Town Board and Town Fire Departments. This has been a long-standing issue in a number of communities whether it is a Town Board action or a Board of Commissioners to raise fire rates for higher taxes to sustain the departments and the commissions not letting the public know what the insurance ratings are. In some communities, they are less one thru three and in others it could be five thru nine it is all over the place depending on the level of fire flow that you have.

David Dunning: Don't we have criteria for the distance from a fire hydrant.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, the fire code mandates that.

David Dunning: Which would have an effect on the fire rating. So, when we go back into codes does this come into codes and say we need to do something with codes.

Paul Wanzenried: Well, you are not doing anything with the code that is the State.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: It can always be stricter.

Paul Wanzenried: You can, yes.

Ron Brand: You may look at your fire rating and just knowing it and what it is. Look at your fire rating for an area and question why it is the way it is, what makes it.

Paul Wanzenried: Well, you get several houses that I know of that are more than a thousand feet off the road so their insurance might be different than someone who is forty feet off the road.

Ron Brand: But the fact of the matter is those houses that are a thousand feet off the road affect those who are up against the road because they are paying that same rate in that district area, they classified that entire area one class of fire rating.

Paul Wanzenried: I am not debating its existence I am okay with it.

Ron Brand: I am not arguing it either.

David Dunning: What is a "Utilities Master Plan"?

Dorothy Borgus: I do not remember either.

Paul Wanzenried: It shows where all the storm sewers and underground wires are.

Ron Brand: The aging of those.

David Dunning: You can't publish that.

Paul Wanzenried: Why can't you publish that?

David Dunning: Today's security environment would not allow us to do that, as a matter of fact when those are pulled we do not give them out.

Dorothy Borgus: Terrorism.

David Dunning: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: So "G" is out.

Dorothy Borgus: "G" is out; you want "G" out.

David Dunning: You cannot publish it.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: There is a difference of point, Homeland Security said that the issue where the water transmission line were, they never said anything about sewer lines. It was the water storage tanks, the water transmission lines, fearing that we would be subject to terrorism. That has backed off and is no longer the case; the issue being that if you do not have some mechanism in place knowing where the water lines are as appose to PVC water lines that are starting to deteriorate and anticipate the needs for making those improvements.

David Dunning: We do not own any of them. We do not own the water lines we do not own the sewer lines, the electric lines or the gas lines. They are all maintained through GCL, Monroe County Water Authority, RG&E, we do not own any of those lines

James Ignatowski: Then you do not have the authority to work on them then.

Ron Brand: Do you have any utilities?

David Dunning: No.

Ron Brand: So why is this even in here?

David Dunning: That is what I am asking. We do not own utilities.

Dorothy Borgus: I think it should come out.

David Dunning: Dorothy says we are omitting, we are omitting.

Ron Brand: "H" is something that would be a great assistance to Planning Board or others as they are dealing with. Going to DEC and giving that information and mapping it is a job.

David Dunning: I would say "H & I" we would keep.

Dorothy Borgus: On "H" though I would like to see Town Building Department come out of there.

Ron Brand: Why?

Dorothy Borgus: Why? You have not included any departments in any of these.

David Dunning: The Building Department is issuing permits that could affect some of that so if someone issues a permit for a shed, or a pool that is adjacent to some stream there it is going to obstruct or re-route the direction in which the water is going to go. I would think that they would want to be involved.

Paul Wanzenried: I just want the map. "Watershed Management".

Dorothy Borgus: Well I will tell you we have a Watershed Collation and it has a watershed plan.

Ron Brand: But that is just for the Black Creek Watershed.

David Dunning: It does not include Little Black Creek or the river right.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: It is all included in the map; they are working on it as it is. It seems to me that is a duplication effort for the town to prepare. It is their job: it took a hundred thousand grant to do what we did.

David Dunning: You guys are expensive.

Dorothy Borgus: Very expensive and years of work.

Ron Brand: So do you just want to maintain it then.

David Dunning: We do not maintain it though.

Dorothy Borgus: The town does not have to do anything but just support it. There will be an agreement soon and everyone involved in it will be encourage to sign on.

David Dunning: Can we change this to an ongoing thing to “support the maintenance of Black Creek Watershed Management Plan”.

Dorothy Borgus: There you go, that would be good. Okay.

James Ignatowski: I have a question on “H”, classify a stream corridor; you said something about a shed within that corridor is that like part of a floodplain?

Ron Brand: Not necessarily, there are some streams that are not defined as blue line streams that do not necessarily carry their water during all periods of the year.

David Dunning: What about the stream that goes under Chili-Paul Plaza, Longbine’s house and back over into the pond back behind Pumpkin Hill there. That is a little stream there.

Ron Brand: There is a lot there, but you have to go to different agencies to get the information.

James Ignatowski: Are there rules and regulations within the town?

Ron Brand: Even the State has rules and regulations and what the problem is that someone coming into the town filling out a building permit application you do not have that resource. Where do you send it, the Army Corp you never know?

David Dunning: We had to in Davis Park we were re-building one of the bridges that goes over the little stream that is in Davis Park, we had to get authorization thru the DEC to do it. Anything else on 6-4? 6-5?

Dorothy Borgus: Getting back to the dates what kind of dates are we going to put here 2017.

Ron Brand: It is going to be 2017 – 2030.

Dorothy Borgus: So why is that not the same category as medium priority action items, I mean you have the same timeframe that you are bracketing.

Ron Brand: The question is.



**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: Why would we make medium priority action items a different break?

Ron Brand: Because medium priority actions are things that have not been accomplished yet that you are going to undertake. Whereas ongoing items are what you, want to maintain throughout the period.

Dorothy Borgus: I think you mean additional actions.

David Dunning: The additional actions where not time sensitive and we thought that the high priority actions where time sensitive and you wanted to put feet to the fire if you will.

Ron Brand: Do you want to change it from additional to on going?

David Dunning: No.

Dorothy Borgus: I think under “A” we could just put annual, instead of “periodic (every year)”. That is awkward.

Paul Wanzenried: What report is that?

David Dunning: We already kind of do that even though it is two separate reports. You get a Building Department Report that talks about the development and you that monthly even the permits and things that are happening as well on an annual basis you get the report on the Comprehensive Plan. I think that personally that is addressed previously. Am I wrong?

Ron Brand: We said that we were going to move these ahead of the ongoing actions ahead of the high priority, medium priority and they would become.

David Dunning: Because I already said, “A” was going to be an ongoing action item. So is “B”.

Ron Brand: Now “C”, this “Spot Safety Improvements” would that not fall under the.

Paul Wanzenried: Areas of concern, a little redundancy in additional actions.

Dorothy Borgus: So what are we going to do with it?

Paul Wanzenried: “B” is ongoing.

David Dunning: “C” is already covered.

Dorothy Borgus: Are we going to take it out, again we spent all that time on it. So we do not need “spot safety” here do we.

Ron Brand: No, it is redundant it comes off.

David Dunning: The next one is interesting.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Paul Wanzenried: Of what is my note? “Adopting & Maintaining Operating Procedures” of what.

Ron Brand: At the time, it was understood that the Planning and Zoning Board of Appeals or other boards did not have rules or procedures. Do they now have them?

Paul Wanzenried: Yes.

David Dunning: the chairs have specific guidelines, they have it in writing, and it is documented to the things that need to be accomplished covered from everything the opening of the meeting, the introductions to the public hearing, the SEQR portion.

Ron Brand: They have rules and procedures.

David Dunning: Correct, that is done.

Dorothy Borgus: So you want to omit this?

David Dunning: Omit it.

Ron Brand: Or just maintain operating procedures.

David Dunning: Review and maintain.

Paul Wanzenried: So you want to say review and maintain.

David Dunning: Yes and leave that as ongoing.

Ron Brand: The official map that is an obligation of the Town Clerk.

David Dunning: That should go under the ongoing.

Ron Brand: I do not think that you are going to be successful with “F” unless you put that in as the areas of concern. Just to say that you have a traffic problem at an intersection, what kind of traffic calming improve that.

David Dunning: I thought when we originally talked about this that was one of the things that was brought up was those in some of the plazas in looking at some of that when you develop a commercial site like a plaza do you put in some raised pedestrian crossings that would help slow traffic. I think that there was some talk about doing that in other areas. Again, we are not talking about town roads where this would happen. But it could be a part of the Planning Board’s approvals. If a Chili-Paul Plaza wanted to re-do a parking lot we could have them do certain things to try to make them do.

Paul Wanzenried: It would put them in plazas when primarily when plazas are being used as cut thru.

Ron Brand: So you are talking about on-site traffic calming conditions as a part of the development projects.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: I do not, no not completely Ron because I think we can get into developments like Rose Hill or Park Place could we then be working at intersections in saying “hey you have some intersections over in the Park Place area that you have two roads that intersect each other with no stop signs or anything they just intersect,”. Could we or should we have had guidelines in place for things like that simply said that when you have a four way intersection and you either put stop signs in or you have raised curbing there.

Ron Brand: Are they dedicated public roads? Well then the uniform traffic device needs to be looked at because if one of those intersecting roads.

David Dunning: The Traffic & Safety Committee is looking at those things right now but nonetheless should that be dictated in the development of that which obviously in the case was not. If the Planning Board had that type of a document.

Ron Brand: Yes, but that would be onsite because it was part of a project that was being developed from some non-existing anything.

Paul Wanzenried: I would think that the Town Engineer, the Highway Superintendent would have the uniform traffic code at their prevue and that would be part of the Planning Board review process. Whether this was overlooked or not.

David Dunning: Well maybe let’s take the simpler one Vista Villas, when you come out of the Vista Villas there is no stop sign there.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, I know I have heard that complaint already.

David Dunning: There is no stop sign you can technically although common sense tells you to stop before you enter a major intersection. There is no stop sign there.

Dorothy Borgus: It is mandated and it is not done is that what you are saying.

David Dunning: I do not know.

Al Hellaby: I heard about this last month, I purposely looked to see, and there is one there now if memory serves me right. I think there is.

David Dunning: You could be right Al, I do not know. I am just saying that happens.

Dorothy Borgus: It seems to me that is asking the Planning Board an awful lot of them to look for stop signs on a subdivision site map.

Paul Wanzenried: No, I disagree.

Ron Brand: I think they should be.

Al Hellaby: If you look at that other traffic-calming situation because I mean you just do not want, “T intersections” there is other road layouts to actually slow traffic down.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: Before you take, dedication of the road there should be some documentation of all traffic control devices, including street names.

Al Hellaby: Right.

Ron Brand: Or the road should not be accepted for dedication. The obligation there is the responsibility of the developer for those signs.

David Dunning: I do not have a problem with leaving it.

Ron Brand: Okay “G”, “Major Thoroughfare Overlay”.

David Dunning: What does that mean?

Ron Brand: In communities where you have highways that carry large volumes of traffic you create driveway spacing standard that apply to different situations for the close proximity to other intersecting streets that have traffic control devices. This is a project that the State DOT has been promoting since the early 90’s and it requires municipalities when they start looking at development to start promoting cross access easements as oppose to individual curb cut for different types of commercial activities along major highways.

David Dunning: Every single major highway that belongs to the County of Monroe or the State of New York in which we get their blessings and rely on their blessings on curb cuts and the Planning Board currently looks at cross access agreements to make sure that, we already do this. Because of all of the major roads, any major road in the Town of Chili belongs to either the County or the State. They give it the blessings we are okay with it. They may question it or talk about it we have no authority to tell them no.

Ron Brand: I think that varies from community to community I know that driveway spacing standards exist in Pittsford, Penfield, and Farmington has them Canandaigua has them.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay now the difference between them aside from maybe Pittsford but even then you are looking at Farmington and Penfield you are looking at RT 250 where there is a fast amount of undeveloped land RT 332 running thru Farmington and a vast amount of undeveloped land. Show me in Chili where on Chili Avenue which is a State road, Beaver would be RT 252 the other one I can think of is Buffalo Road when you get to North Chili, but you are not going to find.

Ron Brand: Well you have Union Street.

Paul Wanzenried: Union Street okay Union Street would be the only one that could be remotely applicable that I could think of off the top of my head where driveway spacing and the speed of the road.

Ron Brand: Right, but what do you do with these smaller parcels of land that are along the major roads that all of a sudden someone comes in they want to combine two or three of those parcels do they still keep the curb cuts.

Paul Wanzenried: Again, that boils back to a DOT State or County depending on which one it is that has to go before them they sit on the County Planning Board.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: We just had one with American Packaging.

Paul Wanzenried: Right.

David Dunning: We go back to the State and tell us you look at it. You let us know what you are going to allow. We have no jurisdiction there.

Ron Brand: Well.

David Dunning: Maybe I am wrong in this but if the State turns around and American Packaging goes to the State and said "hey State road, but I want to put my driveway here" and the State says ok, you are fine with where you want to put it. Then they come to Planning Board and they say no you are not building it there. An Article 78 covers it right away because it gives it permission by the overriding the jurisdiction.

Ron Brand: I am just saying that the State says to some municipalities that if you have driveway spacing standards that you have reviewed and using the State model, they would support and endorse that effort at the local level control as oppose to them having to dictate it.

Dorothy Borgus: So you feel we should leave that.

James Ignatowski: It says regulations and the State controls the regulations.

Paul Wanzenried: You have to define what the Major Thoroughfare is.

Ron Brand: Well yes absolutely.

Paul Wanzenried: I mean I just labeled three or four there and you thought of Union Street. Aside from that, I do not know of any Town road like Morgan, Stottle. Again, anyone developing parcels of land off those that is the Town Engineer and the Superintendent of Highways that does those reviews and that is the criteria that they look at based on that traffic book that they look at and site distances based on hills and other driveways, properties. Just not seeing the benefit. If we wanted to create some sort of criteria, then I think that would fall into the Town's design standards criteria and they could be referenced there if follows the current.

David Dunning: Can you hurt yourself with those types of standards too.

Paul Wanzenried: Why not.

Ron Brand: They are based upon models that have been created by the State and are used by a number of municipalities throughout the State that have dealt with development, vacant land or re-development of land that has already been used for some other purpose.

David Dunning: If we develop regulations that say that the State or the County would respect our issues and we say we do not want a curb cut within one hundred feet of this that or the other thing and then all of a sudden Google comes to Chili and wants to build their facility over here but the only driveway they can put in is within fifty feet of the next driveway. State says yes no problem, we say no those are not our standards do you think the Town really wants to turn them away because of that because of a curb cut.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: I do not think the State would do that.

David Dunning: I am just saying. I might be over cut on it, but do we really want to restrict ourselves or do we want to trust the Planning Board and the State to do the right thing. I would prefer that.

Ron Brand: I have seen it work.

David Dunning: I am sure it can, I am sure there is places where it can; I just think we are still Chili.

Ron Brand: I understand. I just would hate to think that someone would get a bad idea that they could take a large piece of land along Union Street and start carving it out into individual curb cuts for open areas there are there and turn it into a traffic-congested area.

Paul Wanzenried: The best of our knowledge Union Street is what RT 259, so is that not a State road.

David Dunning: Rather than spend a whole lot of time on this, the timeframe in which looking at this getting accomplished is very flexible, we can always keep it there and wait for the next review and see what happens.

Dorothy Borgus: We could do that.

David Dunning: There is no harm in keeping it there.

Dorothy Borgus: No.

David Dunning: It does not have to be done in my tenure so.

Ron Brand: That can be dealt with very easily by contacting the State DOT Regionally Office and asking them to come out and talk to us about Overlay Districts and Transportation Districts and whether or not there is a need if they come out and determine there is no need.

David Dunning: Okay we can do that.

Ron Brand: This next one has a valid purpose the State DEC has grant funding's for money to municipalities to purchase trees to replace along Town roads but they need to have a plan of what types of species and where they should be placed so when they do funded it is placed upon documented source.

David Dunning: If the town would engage upon street trees in the right of ways, whether we received permission from the State or the County. The Town would engage a licensed landscape architect to do so. We would unlikely engage the Conservation Board for such a plan because that is not part of their charter, species, size and all that other stuff would be. Obviously, the Highway Superintendent would be involved I do not know that the Recreation Advisory Committee would have anything to say about it or why unless we are going to put swings and like that I do not know what they would have to do with that Ron. Do you know why we put that there, other than that; I am okay with leaving that then other than the Recreation Committee & Conservation Board.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Paul Wanzenried: And what about the word “adopt”. We already have street trees when subdivisions come in we have a street tree planting, I do not necessarily see us going and starting to plant trees along Beaver Road or Union Street.

David Dunning: However.

Paul Wanzenried: I am not oppose to it.

David Dunning: The Chili Center Masterplan does call for a center median as a part of that with trees, street plantings so I am supporting that.

Paul Wanzenried: I am just saying the word “adopt” I think we already have regulations as to sizes, types we just want to update and maintain.

David Dunning: That is a good one.

Paul Wanzenried: I believe we already have “Street Lightings Standards”, so that could be maintain as lights and technology changes and evolves.

Ron Brand: So, in your Town design criteria you have a standard. Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: You have to be dark sky compliant as to the type and style.

David Dunning: I think it would be the same update and maintain.

Paul Wanzenried: Right.

Ron Brand: Just maintain.

David Dunning: Update may change though as lighting technologies change.

Ron Brand: Technology changes to maintain your thing your standards. Update and maintain is fine.

Paul Wanzenried: And as far as “J” goes what does, it mean to “regulate overcrowding”?

Dorothy Borgus: Don’t we have something in our code now that can define how many people can live in a small upstairs apartment and a house in North Chili.

David Dunning: Is that what this means?

Dorothy Borgus: Yes, that was what we were after.

David Dunning: Yes, we have defined a family in our code and family as it relates to single-family residence.

Dorothy Borgus: These would be students.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: We have created a “Student Overlay District” but it does not have anything to do with students. The code defines “family” as no more than three unrelated people living in a household in a single-family dwelling. And a “Student Overlay District” which the regulations say how close you have to be to an educational institution or a higher learning to have a student apply for student housing overlay in an area. No one is using that particular district but it is available.

Dorothy Borgus: So in other words you have done that.

David Dunning: I do.

Ron Brand: So would you take it out or leave.

Dorothy Borgus: It is done.

David Dunning: Enforcement is another thing all-together Paul it is, you have the standards it is difficult to enforce we know.

Dorothy Borgus: So you would take “J” out.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes. We have to leave “K”.

David Dunning: Have to leave it?

Paul Wanzenried: I think that during the code review we need to address hospice. If you look at the Legacy across the street and that proposal and the cottages involved in that.

David Dunning: However, none of that is necessarily senior housing. You are looking at specialty care.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay but you still need to; I still think that it is something you need to address.

David Dunning: I do not disagree with you I am just saying as it relates to this particular this is “senior housing needs”.

Paul Wanzenried: Well those are the only two I could come up with.

David Dunning: I know but they are not.

Dorothy Borgus: Special needs.

David Dunning: I mean, this particular one was put in because of the lack of affordable housing for seniors. There is certainly sufficient amount of housing that would take care of a need of senior citizens but there is only one affordable housing project in the Town of Chili for seniors, which is College Green Apartments. That is identified as a senior citizen dwelling and qualifies for affordable housing.

Paul Wanzenried: Way in the back there.

David Dunning: Yes the apartments.



**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: That was the point of this but it has not accomplish it.

David Dunning: That is correct. The point of this was to address a basis for seniors to live and afford to live in like College Green and was not the Legacy, which are not always considered affordable correct did not happen.

Dorothy Borgus: No, they are not affordable, not for a lot of people.

David Dunning: I don't think the zoning is the issue. Zoning is not the issue in this.

Dorothy Borgus: Developers want to build what.

David Dunning: They want to build correct.

Ron Brand: Everyone has their own niche.

David Dunning: Can we create though a zoning district instead of saying were we have our multi residential some type of zoning just to say that this particular zone is zoned for a apartments but it has to be a particular type of this age group needs to be addressed in that. Do you follow me?

Ron Brand: That is an interesting question I have ever heard.

David Dunning: You know what I am saying so the only people who are sixty and above can live in this dwelling that you can build in this particular zone.

Dorothy Borgus: And have an income.

Paul Wanzenried: That is discrimination.

David Dunning: I do not know that it is because you can have Greenwood over here has a section, which is all fifty-five and older, and they have COMIDA on that particular project.

Ron Brand: Sixty-five and older projects are not exclusive in what you were, talking about they would label exclusionary zoning. You are excluding a certain age level.

David Dunning: Then maybe we are excluding a certain income level. I do not know can we look into that.

Ron Brand: I will look into it.

David Dunning: Because that would address that.

Paul Wanzenried: But you also have to look into the independent assisted living, independent care, where those are because I do not think we have addressed those in any zoning district.

Al Hellaby: Section 8 housing too is there anything in there.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, we do Cedars of Chili.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: Stenwick probably I am sure Stenwick takes Section 8.

Too many speaking at once inaudible.

Ron Brand: So what are we doing with “K”?

Paul Wanzenried: I say we leave it.

Dorothy Borgus: And add special needs housing in addition to senior housing.

David Dunning: Do we need to put this in the Comprehensive Plan or is this something we should just be addressing as a part of our zoning code update.

Paul Wanzenried: I would think it is something you want to address as part of your zoning code updates.

David Dunning: Which we know we realize we need because we have some challenges with that.

Paul Wanzenried: Right, but then I guess could take this statement.

David Dunning: “K” needs to stay, but as it is.

Paul Wanzenried: I am of the opinion that “K” you are addressing in the zoning code review. I am going to create zoning amendments that will address senior housing in my zoning code review.

David Dunning: So check it off the list for next year.

Dorothy Borgus: So do you want to leave it.

David Dunning: I would prefer we leave it, affordable senior housing needs. I would add the word affordable.

James Ignatowski: But you are going to look at that if it is possible.

Dorothy Borgus: Paul mentioned special needs housing, it is not just seniors.

David Dunning: That is just it right that is why I said it could be a twenty year old that has a memory.

Paul Wanzenried: We can take care of that in my zoning code review because I am going to address that as a special use permit in the R1 district and I am going to say hospice, or houses of such nature. Like the Aurora House or we have an inquiry now of someone that wants to build a house in chili split in two just for that measure to take care of people who are.

Dorothy Borgus: So you do not want to put special needs housing in here, just leave it at senior affordable housing.

Paul Wanzenried: Special needs is too broad of a scope to be addressing.

Dorothy Borgus: All right.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: Okay now on this “Green Energy” what is the phrase “green infrastructure” has now become the buzzword for green energy.

Dorothy Borgus: So do you want to change that wording.

Ron Brand: I would change the wording to “green infrastructure regulations” and take out “green energy building” because the State code deals with energy, the International Code.

Dorothy Borgus: Green infrastructure.

Ron Brand: That is a whole new area that talks about.

David Dunning: And we already have.

Ron Brand: So maintain?

Paul Wanzenried: No.

Ron Brand: Take it out?

David Dunning: Just remove it.

Paul Wanzenried: Remove it.

David Dunning: Omit it, it is code.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, you cannot do anything with wind in the town. “M” is the same thing.

David Dunning: “O” is the same thing omit.

Al Hellaby: What about solar farms because that is not in here.

Dorothy Borgus: Right.

David Dunning: You know what we are missing “N”. Where is “N”?

Dorothy Borgus: You are right there is no “N”.

David Dunning: Dorothy you did not catch that? So we already did “O”.

Dorothy Borgus: So take that out.

Paul Wanzenried: “P” is a lesson in redundancy.

Dorothy Borgus: Omit that right?

Paul Wanzenried: Right.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Paul Wanzenried: "Q".

Dorothy Borgus: There we go with affordable housing.

David Dunning: Incentive zoning no.

Dorothy Borgus: Right.

David Dunning: Incentive zonings are for anything. We have incentive zoning guides and codes. I would think "Q" can go.

Ron Brand: "Senior Housing Regulations".

David Dunning: That we would have to address with the prior. Right?

Paul Wanzenried: It seems redundant to me.

Too many speaking at once inaudible.

David Dunning: What is that?

Ron Brand: "Major and Minor Home Occupations". Is that an issue?

Paul Wanzenried: You are going to have to define what a major occupation is and what a minor occupation is.

Ron Brand: We have done that yes.

David Dunning: I believe our code says that.

Paul Wanzenried: We have customary home occupations and there is criteria on that. There is nothing that says what is a major (ie) what is this gentleman that you did all the work on the corner of Chili Avenue you came and talked to me about earlier this year.

Dorothy Borgus: Oh the chiropractor.

Paul Wanzenried: There you go right, now is that a major occupation because no one lives there. No one that owns the property lives there they use it to work there and they rent out the top floor.

Dorothy Borgus: They have cars there all the time by the garage.

Paul Wanzenried: Right. So is that a major in a home, and in my mind their occupation is running his sales out of his house where he is occupying.

David Dunning: Operating out of a house.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Paul Wanzenried: Right, which is, occupied twenty-five percent of his house, we now define it as customary home occupation. Total enclosed in the garage there is a criteria for that. So if you want to do this then we need to define what in our code you need to define what a major home occupation is and a minor home occupation is.

David Dunning: I would like us to still do that.

Paul Wanzenried: I am okay with it I just been thinking on it.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes, that is all right.

David Dunning: Actually, I would almost like to move that up.

Paul Wanzenried: High priority?

David Dunning: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: I could see that.

James Ignatowski: What would we do with “R”?

Dorothy Borgus: That was my next question do we have any regulations for that right.

David Dunning: No, I think we would create that as a part of “affordable” and look at.

Dorothy Borgus: It is kind of like the one we just did.

Paul Wanzenried: Right and that is redundant to “K” on the previous page.

James Ignatowski: Aren't there different levels for senior housing regulations? What is affordable, what is mandatory, is it handicapped, I mean there are all kinds of degrees of senior housing how are you going to define that.

Paul Wanzenried: Independent, assisted living, there is living in a group home where there is nurse care or supervised care.

David Dunning: From a planning standpoint though when you get into assisted living or you get into a nursing home or you get into convalescent or I don't know so much as hospice but, the memory care, alzheimer's a lot of that is regulated by the State and what they have to do with a specific facility, we as a town need to make sure that the buildings built to code. We do not necessarily get involved with all the other issues related around these special affairs because they have to get those permits in order to operating. So how much of that do we really need to be involved with.

James Ignatowski: I think it is already done and not at our level.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: Right. Senior housing regulations, if it is just senior housing for seniors and affordable senior housing that is not any different from any other housing project other than it is affordable. If we cannot be discriminatory and you say you want to build a senior housing and want to bill twenty-five hundred dollars for rent well that is not affordable, so we are not going to approve it, I do not think that is going to fly either if the zone is right for it.

Ron Brand: "T"?

Dorothy Borgus: "T" take out adopt and just maintain.

Paul Wanzenried: I would eliminate the Conservation Board as a part of this. I think you say review or update and maintain.

David Dunning: What is a Development Review Procedure?

Paul Wanzenried: I would assume that is the prevue that you are granting the Planning Board or the Zoning Board the criteria for review or what is required for an applications and submissions and how there is interaction or lack of interaction with the DRC and what role that plays. I guess that is what I took as Town Development Procedures to be.

Ron Brand: Combined with your rules of operation you got it.

Paul Wanzenried: Right, what else.

Dorothy Borgus: I was thinking that was your monthly meeting for new projects coming in that is what I thought. DRC?

Paul Wanzenried: It is if they want to come before us, they do not necessarily have to.

Dorothy Borgus: But it has been adopted then.

Ron Brand: Correct.

Dorothy Borgus: So just take that out and put maintain?

Paul Wanzenried: There is nothing in writing or in stone about the DRC.

David Dunning: It is a courtesy that we offer it to developers to come in and if you are going to develop, adopt and maintain procedures that means that you are going to have to strictly follow whether or not someone wants to come into DRC or not is another thing. What is the procedure if I want to build a Taco Bell on Chili Avenue? What is the procedure?

Paul Wanzenried: You are going to submit a site plan for Planning Board review. Now, there are several options that you can do. You can come before discussion; you could come to the DRC and make a presentation there. At DRC there is the Town Engineer, Commissioner of Public Works, Planning Board Chair, Head of the Building Department that is typically in the room, you make the presentation to us and we give you feedback.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: But doesn't the procedure as defined by Town Code what they only really have to do is come in and apply for a Planning Board application, fill out the information, provide the correct and appropriate documentation that the Planning Board/Building Department requires. But that process is really is defined in our code. If I want to develop something I do not have to come to DRC, I do not have to talk to the Supervisor, I do not have to talk to the head of the Building Department or the Town Engineer or Commissioner of Public Works. I can just come in fill out the application and move forward. Now, having said that it may be a bit more difficult to do it that way but nonetheless that is the procedure. Correct?

Paul Wanzenried: Yes.

David Dunning: And do you want to change that?

Paul Wanzenried: That is the procedure as I know laid forth in the code.

David Dunning: And do you want to change that? Which this is suggesting that you can do.

Paul Wanzenried: I would have to go thru it with a fine toothcomb, but I cannot see why I would want to change it right now.

Ron Brand: So, you want to maintain it.

David Dunning: It is already there.

Dorothy Borgus: Leave it.

Paul Wanzenried: You are going to take out adopt and just say maintain.

David Dunning: And get rid of the Conservation Board out of that.

Ron Brand: The next one should be a no brainer.

Dorothy Borgus: You should stay right.

Paul Wanzenried: That is ongoing.

David Dunning: Yes.

Ron Brand: Did we ever do that with the community rating system?

David Dunning: No, we know what the benefits are. I think it is worded wrong. The benefits are vast if you are going to improve it; it will cost people less for insurance. I think we have some three hundred properties in this right in that area.

Ron Brand: There is an obligation on the part of the town to make the effort and commit to that effort.

Dorothy Borgus: Well I guess we just need to take "evaluate the benefits" out of there.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting  
5/30/2017**

Paul Wanzenried: Start with improve.

David Dunning: Work to improve.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes, work to improve.

Ron Brand: Okay, "Establish and create procedures for a Town of Chili Farmland Protection Advisory Committee".

Dorothy Borgus: You have that committee now don't you.

David Dunning: No we don't.

Paul Wanzenried: And you won't.

Dorothy Borgus: No?

Ron Brand: There just does not seem to be.

David Dunning: There is just not enough interest in it Dorothy.

Dorothy Borgus: No, is Bob Steimer interested.

David Dunning: It is not that he would be interested, it would be somewhat of a conflict with his position and we do not like to put one person on more than one board if we can prevent it.

Dorothy Borgus: Is he on one now?

David Dunning: He is on the Conservation Board now and is a valuable member. We had talked to a couple of other people that were involved early on in the Farmland Protection process and they are not interested. So, it is unlikely that we will create that committee.

Dorothy Borgus: So do we take that out?

Ron Brand: Why leave it.

Dorothy Borgus: How long has he been on the Conservation Board?

David Dunning: Fifteen years, I believe I know he was there long before I got here.

Ron Brand: You do not have to access the value of property maintenance we already know what it is.

Dorothy Borgus: So "X" should come out.

David Dunning: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: I am up to my ankles in grass okay.



**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

James Ignatowski: What is enhance anyways?

David Dunning: We are at an important review of our planning process. I would take out enhance and just leave maintain.

Paul Wanzenried: Update or I hate to use that word it is redundant.

Ron Brand: Update is for something that you know may be wrong with it and you are not telling us what it is.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay, there is no "Z" there either did you notice. What does that mean?

Ron Brand: That is just another way of saying the code take it out.

Paul Wanzenried: Update the code for what?

David Dunning: Do you want me to tell you exactly what that means Dorothy, you do not remember really. This came about when we talked about a local liquor on Chili Avenue that was given a site plan approval to do some work, they got their permit, they got their C of O, but there was nothing in there about the work. Now you know what I am talking about now.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes.

David Dunning: So the work that was supposed to be done was not completely completed because they had their permit but there was no need to follow up because there was no C of O I believe or something of that nature but I remember this came from you Dorothy. Chili Liquor Store and this was an issue with work that was not followed up on and not completely complete.

Dorothy Borgus: So should we leave it.

David Dunning: Yes because it was an issue there that I do not think we ever really addressed.

Dorothy Borgus: I do not think that some of that work was done anyway.

David Dunning: It is now.

Dorothy Borgus: You think so?

David Dunning: Yes, with the addition. There was work along the side of the building before there was supposed to be.

Too many speaking at once inaudible.

David Dunning: Because procedurally it slipped thru the cracks for us we never went back to look at it. I do not recall when that was.

Paul Wanzenried: It had to be when he purchased the back property there and connected it.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Dorothy Borgus: It was after that.

Paul Wanzenried: Shortly thereafter.

Dorothy Borgus: Then they parked down there. But you did not really see it walking up the hill

Ron Brand: All right, we have one more page.

Paul Wanzenried: Not anymore.

Dorothy Borgus: It is all fixed.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, they are putting sidewalks, landscape in there. "Maintain Conservation Standards for Energy & Water Conservation".

Dorothy Borgus: Why do you have the Conservation Board in there? I do not think they have anything to do with that.

Ron Brand: Yes, take it out.

Dorothy Borgus: The Conservation Board or the whole thing?

Ron Brand: The whole thing. There you go if you are going to have PDR's, TDR's, or any of that stuff.

David Dunning: That was a mechanism to fund. I would say keep that, but I would get rid of the Conservation Board.

Paul Wanzenried: Would the Building Department be a part of that, only we would need to know what has been put into the trust.

Ron Brand: Has the County done anything on these former landfills sites, do you have any updates?

Paul Wanzenried: When I read this, I was like what landfill is in Chili.

Al Hellaby: There was a bunch of them one was over Stryker Road.

David Dunning: There was one down by the Highway Garage. If you consider that site on Golden Road.

Too many speaking at once inaudible.

Al Hellaby: Scottsville Road there was one; there were a bunch of them around.

Paul Wanzenried: Scottsville Road was the old builders supply.

Ron Brand: But has the County map been changed to reflect.

Paul Wanzenried: That I do not know and I will look into it.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: Okay so you have a Comprehensive Plan that has waste disposal sites which is in there, go to that first and.

David Dunning: Then go there.

Ron Brand: You would rather maintain appropriate development fees for park and recreational programs.

David Dunning: Not according to Chili Soccer, Chili Football and Chili Baseball they would not.

Dorothy Borgus: You mean they hide.

David Dunning: We do not charge them anything.

Dorothy Borgus: So?

David Dunning: I know you would be on board with it.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes.

Ron Brand: This is more to okay you are building a subdivision and putting lots what are you charging each of the lots for recreational programs. Some communities have gone to fifteen hundred dollars a month.

David Dunning: We are cheap.

Dorothy Borgus: Does soccer think those fields maintain themselves.

David Dunning: Please we can talk about that later.

Dorothy Borgus: The "Town Commercial Land Use Regulations".

Paul Wanzenried: I can only think that this is zoning code review.

Ron Brand: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: Correct?

Ron Brand: Yes, take it out. The next one is in your Farmland Protection Plan. So what are you going to do? Because you are going to put the plan in the appendices.

Paul Wanzenried: Why do we refer to "Town Farmland Protection Plan Advisory Committee" and the next one we refer to "Town Agricultural Advisory Committee"? Aren't they essentially the same thing?

Ron Brand: Yes.

David Dunning: I would say that "HH" goes out to correct.

Ron Brand: Yes.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

David Dunning: Because we are going to have that in the Farmland Protection Plan.

Paul Wanzenried: We have two “HH’s”.

David Dunning: Sweet.

Dorothy Borgus: Makes up for the “N” and the “Z”.

David Dunning: Yes, it makes up for the two extra letters there you go, wow. But I want to bring this one up to Mr. McCullough according to the Comprehensive Plan I am supporting COMIDA sorry it should be okay.

Dorothy Borgus: Is it called that anymore?

David Dunning: Imagine Monroe.

Dorothy Borgus: Should we change it?

David Dunning: So under the second “HH”.

Dorothy Borgus: Imagine Monroe.

David Dunning: Right. That actually came out of MCC that is your college students for you.

Paul Wanzenried: I would say that “I” is ongoing.

David Dunning: Yes.

Ron Brand: So is “JJ”.

David Dunning: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: Are you going to change “Town Development Office” it is Town Building Department.

David Dunning: Yes, Building Department.

Ron Brand: We are getting close.

David Dunning: Anyone have anything on 6-8?

Al Hellaby: When do these new SEQR regulations.

Ron Brand: They have not announced it yet.

Al Hellaby: Right but do you think they will.

Ron Brand: As far as the Comprehensive Plan goes, it won’t change anything there.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Al Hellaby: All right.

Ron Brand: They tried to get through solar energy as a type II action.

James Ignatowski: What is a type II action?

Ron Brand: Requiring an environmental review.

James Ignatowski: Did they do that with wind farms too?

Ron Brand: No, they had too much opposition.

David Dunning: I do not see anything, anyone?

Paul Wanzenried: When you say “Amending the Comprehensive Plan” under that title, finding a significant changes in the community. Do you address American Packaging, Rose Hill and the subdivisions there?

David Dunning: Say that again.

Paul Wanzenried: It says, “In order for the Plan to remain viable, it must be periodically reviewed and updated as conditions warrant”. “A finding of significant change within the community (e.g. demography, traffic, building activity, the economy, the environment, institutional activity)”. Do you identify specific properties or specific buildings? Or do you identify it by district.

Ron Brand: Specific change, in other words something that is coming forth that is not anticipated in the Comprehensive Plan so the two that you cited I believe were contemplated in the Comprehensive Plan.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay, then the next one is “A finding of significant public benefit proposed revision” and it just says “(e.g. a proposed rezoning of land)”. So the Farmland Protection we are going to be rezoning PRD back to the AC and maybe switching gears over there on the Ballantyne area.

David Dunning: On Beahan Road over there.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, Beahan Road and Ballantyne switching that out of those.

David Dunning: Out of those that would be significant.

Paul Wanzenried: It would be significant zoning changes.

David Dunning: Because you are changing the character of what could be built or done.

Ron Brand: And those are things that are identified in your Farmland Protection Plan to be there.

David Dunning: However, it is unlikely before we adopt this that any of that will really get started.

Ron Brand: And it should be. This justifies that action.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Paul Wanzenried: Okay. The last paragraph makes a reference to the Agricultural Advisory Committee again.

Ron Brand: Where in there.

Paul Wanzenried: It says “The Town Board with assistance from the Town Planning Board, Conservation Board, Zoning Board of Appeals and the Agricultural Advisory Committee”.

Dorothy Borgus: So we are taking that out.

Paul Wanzenried: We are taking that out.

Dorothy Borgus: The only thing that bothers me on that page is in general but we do not do that. At the top on the third bullet “Ensure that the goals and objectives of the plan are integrated into land use and development decisions made by all Town Board and committees”. I think of Rose Hill and that was not supposed to be, look at the Future Land Use Map.

Ron Brand: That is a specific action identified in SEQR forms is the project consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

Dorothy Borgus: No, it was not.

Ron Brand: Was the check not consistent?

Dorothy Borgus: I do not know that is agricultural land.

Al Hellaby: Was the check not consistent.

Dorothy Borgus: It was not.

Al Hellaby: Yes it was.

David Dunning: There are sections of the Comprehensive Plan where it is not consistent and there are sections in the Comprehensive Plan where it is consistent I do not know which one outweighs the other but they are both in there. I do not know that you would change that.

Dorothy Borgus: Well I am saying it is probably most of the time but. It is a big contradiction in there on that one. And I see the answer to replacing these pages or however, you are going to deal with that at the first meeting we had it is addressed in the last paragraph. “New pages will be made available to insert into the original document with instructions for replacing outdate pages”. We talked about whether we would do it in different color or and I think we agreed that we were going to do new pages.

Ron Brand: Yes if it was adopted in 11/12/2011 and there is a new page to it.

Dorothy Borgus: No, no that is the way it is.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: If you change the wording on one of them then it is going to get blended subsequently in 2017.

Dorothy Borgus: You talked about the method.

Ron Brand: Yes.

Dorothy Borgus: I guess it was there all along. I did not have anything on 6-10.

David Dunning: What is the dissent to the maintenance process Ron?

Ron Brand: What is the, where am i?

David Dunning: On 6-10 the second category “Responding to Dissent and the Plan Maintenance Process”. What is the dissent to the plan maintenance process?

Ron Brand: Someone complaining about the fact that Comprehensive Plan being followed.

David Dunning: Is it always the case of a complaint or is it that it just has not been done?

Ron Brand: There is a difference between not being done and not being followed. In other words if you have something that you have as identified action to be and you have not gotten to it yet then there is a dissent issue.

David Dunning: So if someone does not agree with how this is being executed and Dorothy does not agree on how the Comprehensive Plan was followed as it states she then has appropriate action in that have submitted that in writing and come to the Town Board with that.

Ron Brand: Right.

David Dunning: It is not that I want to give you any ideas. I am just trying to understand it. So that is what that process should have been.

Ron Brand: Rather than having the controversy not be, addressed people have recourse here. If they feel something has not been done correctly.

Paul Wanzenried: This says “Responding to Dissent in the Maintenance Process”. Maintenance is the process this is what they are going to make dissent about. From this I do not get that John Q developer is not following the Comprehensive Plan.

Ron Brand: Well I guess.

Dorothy Borgus: I take that to mean that if we have a public hearing and there is a huge outcry about what we are doing here with the plan is that this is how we deal with it.

Ron Brand: Right.

Dorothy Borgus: I do not imagine there will be.

**2030 Comprehensive Plan Committee Meeting**  
**5/30/2017**

Ron Brand: There should not be there has not been to date.

David Dunning: No one cares but only a few people that mostly sit in this room. I am sorry it is true.

Dorothy Borgus: It is true.

Ron Brand: No not necessarily, the only thing a judge is going to look at is where the Comprehensive Plan is, and has it been adopted.

David Dunning: Yes, I know I get it.

Dorothy Borgus: I hope we are going to do the other chapter another time.

David Dunning: Yes.

Dorothy Borgus: Between the Black Creek Watershed and this I am.

The tape has cut itself off at this point, time, and does not record any other conversations with the committee.

The next meeting of the committee will be held on Wednesday, June 28, 2017 at 6 PM in the Main Meeting Room of the Town Hall.

Meeting adjourned at 8:29 pm.