A meeting of the 2030 Comprehensive Plan Update Committee was held on February 7, 2019 at the Chili Town Hall, 3333 Chili Avenue, Rochester, New York 14624 at 2:00 p.m. The meeting was called to order by Supervisor David Dunning.

PRESENT: Supervisor David Dunning, Ron Brand, RLP Plans, Paul Wanzenried, Building Department Manager, Al Hellaby, Planning Board VC, James Ignatowski, Architectural Advisory Committee Chair, Dorothy Borgus, Resident.

ABSENT: Steve Tarbell, Traffic & Safety Committee Member

David Dunning: Did everyone get a chance to take a look at the minutes from the last meeting.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes.

David Dunning: Any concerns, is there any issue with approving those minutes?

Jim Ignatowski: Nope.

David Dunning: Then they will stand approved. We have some further discussion to go on Chapter 2, there was some homework out there for mostly me. Ron had some homework, David Lindsay had some homework, Ron, I will let you start how is that.

Ron Brand: I sent that section of Chapter 2 to County Planning those two paragraphs and I asked Rochelle Bell is that still accurate or does it have to be changed. If so how does it how should it be reworded. I thought it was pretty clear.

Dorothy Borgus: Well what part was that on?

Ron Brand: On the airport.

David Dunning: Airport environs.

Dorothy Borgus: Oh, okay.

Ron Brand: She sends me back a contour map of the airport showing the contour levels of air operations at the airport; which I did not ask for. Sends me a copy of the county charter, which I did not ask for and sends me a link to the county's capital improvement program. The county didn't ever answer the question about is this still relevant or not. If you had just ignored them and sent, it in they would come back with a long dissertation about where did you get this from and you know. And you really need to check this, that and the other thing. Well that is what we are trying to do, but we did not get anything. So David Lindsay said that he was going to check with Gary Gaskin at County DOT isn't where he is?

Paul Wanzenried: No, Gary Gaskin is with the airport. He is the airport engineer.

Ron Brand: Yea okay and I emailed with Dave Lindsay today because he had sent me a thing about GTC wanting to do another study and wanted David to sit in on that study. The GTC is transportation impacts on economic development in the Greater Rochester International Airport area. It is the first step and they are preparing a scope of work and they it is built upon the previous efforts of the airport major investment study in 1998, but that is not the airport master plan so he was going to being David Lindsay was going to call Gary Gaskin to see if he could get a copy of that document. The ironic part.

David Dunning: I am going to attend that meeting also if it fits into my schedule.

Ron Brand: Okay.

David Dunning: I have a pretty good interest in that project.

Ron Brand: The ironic part is that the contour map that the county did send about the airport and it had a reference on the top and it said that it was a certain page of the airport master plan. So there is one somewhere it is a top-secret document that we are just trying to figure out what effects there are for Chili. So, going a step further reading through the capital improvement report for the next five years there is a project that they have funding in there for each year to acquire lands adjacent to the airport as they may come up for sale. These are lands that they do not want to use imminent domain on there are lands that are just adjacent to and they want to acquire them before somebody else moves in there and deals with them.

Dorothy Borgus: There is vacant land there?

Ron Brand: No, they could have houses on them.

Al Hellaby: They could have buildings on them.

Paul Wanzenried: Does that go to the west of.

Ron Brand: Well in Chili, it would go yea but they look all around the airport so anything over on Scottsville Road that comes up.

Paul Wanzenried: Scottsville Road I could see. Are you jumping Beahan Road?

Ron Brand: No, they did not jump Beahan Road.

David Dunning: What date did we have on the masterplan for the airport did we pick out a date on that do we mention a date in our masterplan.

Dorothy Borgus: The original one I think there was a date in there. This is a redo I did not bring the whole book. I read it; I think this morning I read it.

David Dunning: Because according to that document that you are referring to that map that she sent, is a 2012?

Dorothy Borgus: I think that was the date.

David Dunning: That ring a bell. It is 2-32 - 2-33 or whatever.

Ron Brand: I have 2-23 airport environs.

David Dunning: I have 2-32 airport environs.

Paul Wanzenried: I don't have airport environs.

David Dunning: Really.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay the last date that I had on mine is 2-33 it says 2008.

Ron Brand: Yep.

David Dunning: So that one is obviously from 2012, so there is an update that we are missing.

Ron Brand: The reason I am sure of that is in order for them to qualify for Federal Aviation Funding they have to update that plan and show the need for these improvements.

David Dunning: I can call Andy if I need to.

Ron Brand: Well let's see if David Lindsay is successful today he said to me in an email that he thinks that Gary Gaskin forgot about it and needed to remind him. The other thing I was asked to do was to do with the agricultural district, which we did get a new location map, which I sent electronically. Did Dawn print those out?

David Dunning: No not yet, by the way I did get those other maps today.

Paul Wanzenried: Oh, they dropped them off.

David Dunning: No but he sent some files but he was going to drop off the thumb drive with all the files, I told him that they need to come to me and my attention. I believe we now have the software to do that so we will get on that as soon as I can.

Ron Brand: The agricultural I have on 2-22. This is the adopted 2011 version I am looking at.

David Dunning: 2-22 is charts for the Housing Characteristics.

Dorothy Borgus: Which we are going to take out.

Ron Brand: Yea.

Paul Wanzenried: Ron is on the book. The book and this are two different things.

Ron Brand: The area south of Black Creek Park but anyways what use to be back in the day known as the Southwest Monroe County Agriculture District is now known as Monroe County Agriculture District Number 5 and it was last renewed in 2016 and it comes up for a complete review in December 2024.

Paul Wanzenried: Just to Monroe County Southwestern Agricultural District, it was formally Number 2 right.

Ron Brand: They are saying that it is Number 5.

Paul Wanzenried: That is the new number.

Ron Brand: Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: Previously it was Number 2.

Ron Brand: Back when we did that in 2010 it was still referred to as the Southwest Agricultural District.

Paul Wanzenried: The reason that I bring this up is because in the revised pamphlets on 2-57. It says referrals to the County Planning Department and there is mention of it within 500ft of the Monroe County Southwestern Agricultural District Number 2 boundary. That is why I bring this up.

Ron Brand: At one time, there was five agricultural districts. Then they expanded and added more now they went back to consolidating them and calling them by numbers and not regional areas. So I think that there is a total of five districts now consolidated.

David Dunning: So now on 2-57 with the information that you have from Bob King if I am hearing this right this needs to be updated then correct.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes.

David Dunning: And you will do that with the information that you have.

Ron Brand: Right.

David Dunning: Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: That is what I am trying to get out of Ron, yes.

David Dunning: Okay.

Ron Brand: So, that takes care of the agricultural right. The airport we talked about already and most of their activities are runway oriented, safety and security is getting a lot of uptake. They do have some things that they are talking about Little Black Creek culvert extension.

David Dunning: They did that didn't they?

Ron Brand: According to this, it is in 2020 and then another one in 2023.

David Dunning: Okay.

Ron Brand: The chemical storage things of that nature. Things that really are not going to impact Chili but there is that one project there that talked about the land that I sent you David remember. This is what the capital program talks about, other than that; I am still working on the Census information, updates and clearing up those tables and charts.

David Dunning: Okay then sorry for the small font but that is the way it printed so that is the way you get it. This is just those sections that I was supposed to work on. You will see in red anything that I suggested that we change. I don't know if you want to go through this page-by-page but we certainly can.

Paul Wanzenried: Can we finish the document first and then go back to this?

David Dunning: Do what?

Paul Wanzenried: Finish the document first.

David Dunning: You want to finish the document, I don't care, you guys want to do that. Okay.

Ron Brand: You mean where we left off.

Paul Wanzenried: Yea.

David Dunning: Which one was it?

Paul Wanzenried: I think we stopped at.

Dorothy Borgus: 2-42 right?

Paul Wanzenried: That is correct.

Ron Brand: Historic Sites and Museums.

Paul Wanzenried: That seems to be Dorothy's little parcel primarily. Then I think that Pete Widener's place is his the one on Union Street, the Williams Fellows house.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes.

Paul Wanzenried: I believe it is.

Dorothy Borgus: I don't think that any of that has changed.

Paul Wanzenried: No, I think based off of looking at the map that is fine.

Dorothy Borgus: I did not have any problem with that page.

Ron Brand: So on page 2-42 is okay.

Dorothy Borgus: I think so well for what we are doing today I have a note here that you were going to work on the emergency medical services. You were going to move that around to take.

David Dunning: I take yea that is part of my stuff.

Dorothy Borgus: Oh, okay.

David Dunning: I have that done as part of mine Dorothy.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

David Dunning: I do have a question on this though. Is the Chili Mills is there a historic district registered

that is called the Chili Mills Historic District.

Dorothy Borgus: Yes, yes there is.

David Dunning: There is okay, I wasn't sure.

Dorothy Borgus: State and National.

David Dunning: Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: And town.

Dorothy Borgus: I don't know about town.

David Dunning: They might be historic.

Paul Wanzenried: Three different distinctions, town, state and national.

Dorothy Borgus: I have copies of the state and national at home. I don't know anything about town. You

mean town would be included in state or that is another category.

Paul Wanzenried: No, I think that I don't know that the town would recognize it as an historical landmark.

Dorothy Borgus: Oh, I don't know.

Paul Wanzenried: Just automatically because the state and national does.

Dorothy Borgus: Oh, no I don't think so.

David Dunning: That is kind of, what I am trying to get to.

Dorothy Borgus: Does it say it is town in here? As far as I know, it is only state and national.

David Dunning: Okay.

Dorothy Borgus: Do we need to make a change here anywhere I did not see any mention of town.

David Dunning: Nope.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

David Dunning: The um if we are over on 2-43 I think I don't know if I did not include it in what I printed out but that third paragraph that starts off with "both of the museums" the doll hospital does not exist anymore so I just took stab at rephrasing it a little bit. I think I said in there that Figure 2.6 is the Cobblestone Schoolhouse Museum on Scottsville Road and you get a little bit, about how we are using it now. Because we do open it up from time to time for odd weekends but I did change that text and I can send it off to you.

Paul Wanzenried: I have a question, other community facilities. When you say other community facilities what does that mean.

David Dunning: Where are you, oh the next one down?

Al Hellaby: It makes it sound like the town owns it or is involved with it.

Paul Wanzenried: Thank you very much. Or that I can go into it, which I can't.

Al Hellaby: Right.

David Dunning: You could. You may not want to.

Paul Wanzenried: But when you say community facilities, it is not like it is a recreation center, the library, the senior center, or this building here. This is a community facility.

Al Hellaby: It should say, "facility located within the community".

Paul Wanzenried: Why do we even bring it up?

Dorothy Borgus: Well I can tell you why.

Paul Wanzenried: What is the significance of it?

Dorothy Borgus: Well I can tell you because when we did this originally we felt that was left out and it should be mentioned. That it was big enough that it is not a small operation it is good size and should be in there. But maybe the heading is not right. How about "other community facilities (private)".

Paul Wanzenried: I don't think that Crestwood is any different than Lifetime correct or the.

David Dunning: ARC.

Dorothy Borgus: Well I suppose.

Paul Wanzenried: The dozens of group homes that we have throughout the community.

Dorothy Borgus: That is probably true I agree.

Paul Wanzenried: I just wonder what warrants is significance to be mentioned.

Dorothy Borgus: You can take out for all I care. I think at the time when we did this originally those other entities where not as prominent as they are now. Now they have grown they are all bigger, there are more of them, and maybe this is not appropriate anymore. It is fine with me.

Ron Brand: I hear what you are all saying if you are going to list, one you should probably list them all.

Dorothy Borgus: Yea because there are more relevant than there were and they did not exist then and this was kind of a one-standout place. Well it is probably not true. So let's take it out.

David Dunning: I don't know if I agree with taking it out at this point, I don't know that I would do that I would think I would more be apt to include Lifetime Assistance, ARC and mention not in specific locations but mention the agencies group homes in the Town of Chili. At the end of the day you have the Legacy, the Legacy is a similar for seniors.

Dorothy Borgus: Right.

David Dunning: So we might want to expand on that category I think that the title might be inappropriate or not correct for this for what it is but maybe there is a better title. But I would prefer that this is still an attribute to our town regardless of what they serve and who and why it is still.

Paul Wanzenried: I am okay with marking it with rework and relocate.

David Dunning: Okay.

Ron Brand: Relocate to where?

David Dunning: I think you just rename it.

Jim Ignatowski: Right after government facilities and library.

Dorothy Borgus: I don't know where you would put it to relocate it.

David Dunning: Other community services facilities.

Dorothy Borgus: I am sorry what?

David Dunning: Community service facilities.

Dorothy Borgus: Does that make it any clearer to satisfy Paul's question?

David Dunning: I don't know. No, he says no.

Dorothy Borgus: I think that you have to mention that they are private. You know or put non-governmental.

Paul Wanzenried: You have got to take the word community out of it. Because community involves you, me and the general public and the ability to utilize a space. In the context of this document for the most part. They are not community facilities they are service organizations.

Dorothy Borgus: Why don't we call them other service organizations?

Paul Wanzenried: Put them up near the school institutions because 95% of them teach.

David Dunning: I think that if you are going to group them in with group homes and facilities like Lifetime Assistance that is not appropriate either.

Jim Ignatowski: I was just going back to 2-40 it says "government facilities and library" and after that is maybe would be "private facilities". Because we have government versus private.

Ron Brand: Private care facilities.

Dorothy Borgus: I think private and just take community out and put private. Does that work? Or private service facilities how is that?

Paul Wanzenried: Better.

David Dunning: And we are going to include the others?

Ron Brand: You said ARC, Lifetime Assistance, and the Legacy.

David Dunning: And we should probably I would think maybe mention the four or five organizations that run group homes.

Paul Wanzenried: Heritage Christian.

David Dunning: Group homes operated by, maybe you don't have to say that maybe even just say.

Paul Wanzenried: Several group homes.

David Dunning: Maybe even just say that maybe just say.

Paul Wanzenried: Several group homes.

David Dunning: Group homes serviced by a variety of agencies or something I don't know.

Paul Wanzenried: Yea okay, you have a title community facilities.

David Dunning: Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: And under it we start with schools, then you get into parks and recreations facilities all under the master heading of "communities". Then you have government facilities and library. So after as Jim said I believe after that should be this if you are going to talk about other community facilities.

David Dunning: Yea which is where it is now.

Dorothy Borgus: You are on page 2-36.

Paul Wanzenried: On 2-36, you see that large title.

Dorothy Borgus: Community facilities.

David Dunning: But all of these things up to that are community facilities.

Dorothy Borgus: They are you are back to that word again.

Jim Ignatowski: Not really, because you have after government facilities you have police, fire and emergency & medical services. It is technically not a facility.

Dorothy Borgus: You are back to that word again "facility" that Paul doesn't like.

Paul Wanzenried: I did not like community.

Dorothy Borgus: Yea community.

Jim Ignatowski: I still think it comes back to government facilities and library.

Dorothy Borgus: I like it where it is but.

David Dunning: I do too I think it is in the right spot I think it just may need to change the word might.

Dorothy Borgus: Changing the word to other private facilities.

Al Hellaby: Change it to private facilities.

David Dunning: At the very end of all that topic.

Dorothy Borgus: Right it is kind of the footnote.

David Dunning: And it is an old by the way.

Dorothy Borgus: Right. So which ones are we going to add Legacy and organizations running group homes and who else are we going to add.

David Dunning: Legacy, Lifetime Assistance, ARC.

Ron Brand: Heritage Christian you said.

David Dunning: That is one of the group homes Heritage Christian operates group homes. Heritage Christian agencies and is just one of the few. But I don't know that we need to mention them by name we may just be able to say "a variety of group homes".

Paul Wanzenried: Right.

David Dunning: Okay what else do you got? Anything else on 2-43?

Dorothy Borgus: No, I am good.

David Dunning: 2-44?

Paul Wanzenried: Per Al's comment that last paragraph should be stricken.

Al Hellaby: Down at the bottom.

Dorothy Borgus: The last paragraph?

Paul Wanzenried: Correct.

Al Hellaby: It runs over onto the top of the next page.

Dorothy Borgus: That should be gone.

David Dunning: I don't know that I would strike it I would probably revise it to say the extension has been completed to connect Paul Road to Chili Avenue. I would just reword that right.

Al Hellaby: It actually connected to Route 204 it wasn't done I don't think the connected to Chili Ave. I think they wanted to connect it to Route 204 that was the whole intent.

David Dunning: I understand that but right now, it ends at Chili Avenue technically it doesn't end at Route 204 you have to cross Chili Avenue to get to Route 204.

Al Hellaby: Right.

David Dunning: So I would think that you would want to reword this to say that it is completed and finished the connection. You okay with that Ron.

Jim Ignatowski: You can take out the words "essential part of a study".

David Dunning: Yea.

Jim Ignatowski: Because I don't know what study they are talking about.

David Dunning: Yea I think you just rephrase that whole paragraph.

Dorothy Borgus: Uh huh I would say so.

Paul Wanzenried: Yea reword it.

David Dunning: Anything else on 2-44? 2-245?

Paul Wanzenried: Yea technically the first paragraph on 2-45.

Ron Brand: "This plan recommends'?

Paul Wanzenried: Yea, what is the issues with Beaver Road and Archer Road, Chili Ave and Paul Road? Both of which are lighted controlled intersections at this point and do they still require attention?

David Dunning: Chili at Union yes, Chili at Chestnut Ridge yes, Chili at Beaver Road yes, Old Chili Scottsville to Beaver yes, and Beaver Road at Archer I am not sure. Chili Avenue at Paul Road.

Paul Wanzenried: Agreed I disagree to Chili Avenue at Paul Road.

Dorothy Borgus: What do you disagree, Paul says he disagrees.

David Dunning: Yea, Beaver Road at Archer there is no ever since I am there a lot as I am sure Al is down there quite a bit, since they did the bridge even though they did not do a whole lot of work to Ballantyne Road or anything to Beaver Road it has been much better the congestion is minimal at best.

Dorothy Borgus: Do you want to take it out Beaver Road at Archer?

David Dunning: I would say.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

David Dunning: Chili Ave at Paul Road there is no, there is a light there and it flows well.

Paul Wanzenried: That is why I don't understand.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay we can take it out.

Paul Wanzenried: What attention it would require?

Jim Ignatowski: Maybe they are talking about that they needed the turning lanes put in.

Paul Wanzenried: Maybe.

Jim Ignatowski: Because they were not there before and everyone was kind of running on the grass to make a right turn.

Paul Wanzenried: Although I think Chili Ave and Paul Road is always that way.

David Dunning: Chili Avenue at Chestnut Ridge east.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, it is down there at by the Urgent Care.

David Dunning: Yea I know by the market there you think that.

Paul Wanzenried: That is a pain in the backside.

David Dunning: Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: You could sit on Chestnut Ridge for a good fifteen minutes.

Dorothy Borgus: Trying to get out of there yea. So then just take out the two?

David Dunning: It looks like it.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

Ron Brand: Anything else that needs to be changed like Union Street?

Dorothy Borgus: So where it says seven intersections it would be five.

Paul Wanzenried: Correct.

David Dunning: At what point do we want to go back and refer to the Bicycle and Pedestrian study? Which talked about a lot of the challenges at some of the intersections.

Ron Brand: We don't even mention the Bicycle Study in here do we?

David Dunning: No. No because it was new since we created this.

Ron Brand: And what did it say.

David Dunning: I don't recall exactly, there was a lot of information about intersections. I think we need to do something in here to reference it. Seeing since we are talking about infrastructure, utilities, roads and highways.

Ron Brand: Where would one find that Bicycle Study.

David Dunning: It is online. It is on our online documents. But we should reverence it somehow someway in this or even include it as an addendum as we talked about for the Open Space Inventory and Farmland Protection.

Ron Brand: As an appendices.

David Dunning: As an appendix yea.

Paul Wanzenried: That is just somewhere in this section not necessarily where we are right.

David Dunning: That is correct I just when we start thinking about.

Paul Wanzenried: Just wanted to point that out.

David Dunning Right well the whole road safety thing kind of made me think about that.

Ron Brand: That might even become a separate category like bus service.

David Dunning: Maybe.

Ron Brand: Bicycle and Pedestrian.

David Dunning: Correct.

Dorothy Borgus: We are going to say that it exists and reference the appendix then.

Ron Brand: No.

Dorothy Borgus: No?

David Dunning We will probably have a paragraph or two about it.

Dorothy Borgus: Something little there.

David Dunning: Right. It doesn't have to be a whole section.

Paul Wanzenried: When you get to the bullet point items on 2-45. What were the roadway improvements we were thinking about on Union Street that ran from Westside Drive to essentially to Higbie let's say because that is where the Conrail main line is.

David Dunnng: I don't know.

Dorothy Borgus: I couldn't tell you.

Ron Brand: I think it was.

Paul Wanzenried: Bullet point number two sounds to me and I only says this because it is only in memory of my friend that we should redo the Ballantyne Corridor Study. Studies have to be updated.

Ron Brand: Well you have a recommendation in your action items for that traffic engineer report.

David Dunning: Let me ask you a stupid question. Why would we redo the study? I know it is kind of off topic here but it is mentioned in part of this so why would we redo that particular study what would be the goal in mind.

Paul Wanzenried: I just that is what it sounds like it sounds like that is what it is telling me that the study would need to be revisited, redone, clarified, or updated.

Ron Brand: If I recall certain individual's perceptions of that study if they ever did anything with it. It exists none of the recommendations were followed up with.

David Dunning: That is not completely true.

Paul Wanzenried: A lot of what was in that study has not materialized.

Ron Brand: But you do realize that what was in that study to improve that roadway and carry the larger volumes you are going to attract more traffic to it and then what happens when you get to Chili Avenue. What do you do there a traffic circle?

Paul Wanzenried: Oh, I could see that becoming a lighted intersection. A traffic circle is not going to do it.

Dorothy Borgus: You need a light there.

Al Hellaby: With the amount of traffic that goes through there.

Dorothy Borgus: I think a light is the answer.

David Dunning: This bullet point.

Ron Brand: I mean if you let these types projects in there for your traffic engineer to be able to focus in on and say yep that is important still because this, this and this and RT 252 isn't important because it is operating great right now.

Paul Wanzenried: He is reading it right now and he is going to tell me "oh this does not have anything to do with the Ballantyne Corridor Study this has to with Scottsville Road and the Ballantyne Bridge.

David Dunning: Actually it does not because it talks about it goes all the way up to RT 33A. So that does go up Beaver Road. However, we have had between American Packaging did a traffic impact study which is similar to what you would be doing with the Ballantyne Corridor Study. It is a state corridor regardless of what we study nothing will happen unless the state is willing to do it. I don't want to suggest that the town invest more money into an area that we are not going to get anything done. I mean we can make recommendations to what we would like to see change in that area but I don't know that much needs to change at this point outside of that intersection at Beaver Road and Chili Avenue. The corridor seems to work.

Paul Wanzenried: Do we agree with the premise of the study.

Dorothy Borgus: You mean the old one.

David Dunning: Uh huh. Not in its entirety.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay. Not to say that there would not be outdated items now within that study.

David Dunning: There certainly is. When that study was done that was a county road. Since then it has changed over to a state road.

Dorothy Borgus: Which almost makes it harder to get funding.

David Dunning: Almost?

Al Hellaby: It is impossible.

David Dunning: The County is a lot easier to work with on things than it is with the state. I would look at rewording that bullet point to be not so heavy on the corridor study and identify those intersections still because the intersection in of itself specifically Beaver Road and which we have already done up here. So I don't even know if we need that bullet point. They did the bridge we know they did the bridge I don't think we need to continue to call it out that was done what fifteen years ago, twelve, thirteen years ago something like that.

Dorothy Borgus: You don't think we need to leave that in there about further study needs to be undertaken.

David Dunning: I don't do you. Do you think further study of that?

Dorothy Borgus: I am just thinking forward if it was ever potential that would behoove us to have something in there that we are aware of it. You know what I mean.

David Dunning: But any development that, let's say that and I hear you Dorothy but let's say something else is going to develop in some of those more open areas along Ballantyne Road or there is not much left on Beaver Road that is all pretty much acquired now at this point. So it has got to come from Ballantyne Road so if you are looking at the flow of the traffic through there anybody that comes into the Planning Board is going to have to do a traffic study. Those traffic studies I would think would be sufficient to deal with anything that would.

Al Hellaby: Widening turns lanes all that stuff.

David Dunning: Exactly all that stuff is taken into consideration and those things and this suggests that the town should do a study and I don't know that the town should do that study and that should be something that should be passed off to the developers.

Dorothy Borgus: Well it doesn't actually say that the town is going to it just says further study.

David Dunning: I think it suggests the town. Because anytime you say, put this in our plan that further study needs to be done who is going to do it.

Ron Brand: Well if it isn't in the plan then chances of getting GTC funding towards the study are greatly reduced.

Dorothy Borgus: To me it seems like insurance.

David Dunning: I still go back to whose; the GTC is not going to fund American Packaging's traffic study. They are going to fund the towns or the counties. Again that is a state road we have no authority there what so ever and I don't know if we could even qualify for grant funding for a study on a state road the state would.

Paul Wanzenried: The only reason you would do the document would be to support your advocacy or push for things to be done on that road.

David Dunning: Which is why I would make a different statement here and not necessarily a study, but I think you make a statement in here that says that the town has concerns about this particular area and the traffic impacts and so on and so forth. But not necessarily suggest that study be done.

Paul Wanzenried: Right.

David Dunning: Because the only one that is going to do the study is the town.

Dorothy Borgus: Then just change the wording then.

David Dunning: That is what I am saying.

Dorothy Borgus: That is fine.

David Dunning: That is what I am saying. You look confused.

Ron Brand: I am I am trying to follow what it is that you are looking for me to do here and I am not sure I know.

Paul Wanzenried: Reword the paragraph.

David Dunning: Reword it but not imply that the town is going to embark upon a study for the corridor but at least identify the difficulties or the challenges that the area has today and what further development impact of further development might have on the area is that fair.

Dorothy Borgus: Yea.

David Dunning: Because there is still quite a bit of land out that way that different things could happen. There is a lot of land up Ballantyne Road.

Al Hellaby: Most of it is under water though you are not going to get very far with it.

Paul Wanzenried: A floodway.

David Dunning: There is still a lot of land.

Paul Wanzenried: That is all I had for that sheet.

David Dunning: Anybody else have anything.

Dorothy Borgus: Although I know nothing about bus service, so I would not be anybody to ask their opinion about any part of that.

David Dunning: I am in discussions now with Bill Carpenter about some of the bus services in Chili. They have a public hearing coming up in I will be participating in that with some of the things that they are doing. We may have some maybe not before this is closed out any changes that RGRTA service and how they service the town.

Dorothy Borgus: So you are in touch with Carpenter on this.

David Dunning: Yea. Not just this part of the comprehensive plan Dorothy but there is other services we are looking for here.

Ron Brand: So are we going to change anything?

David Dunning: I don't think so at this time. I don't think I will have anything before we are ready to adopt this.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

David Dunning: I think the last part of that sufficient "the town board will continue to work closely with RTS to find opportunities to increase public transportation services for town residents" I think that is a fair statement.

Paul Wanzenried: Sums it up in a nutshell.

David Dunning: Yep pretty much.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

Ron Brand: That would be the same kind of statement that you would want then for the Ballantyne Road Corridor "the town board will continue to work with the State DOT and.

David Dunning: State DOT, GTC and developers, anybody developing in the area yea that would be fair.

Ron Brand: Okay.

David Dunning: Anything on the railroads at all.

Paul Wanzenried: Other than, to back up Al's comment.

David Dunning: On the spurs.

Al Hellaby: Yea and also on the same token it says that Suburban Propane spur is still active but not used they use that all the time.

Dorothy Borgus: Yea they do use that.

Al Hellaby: Constantly.

Paul Wanzenried: There is a freight car there.

Dorothy Borgus: A lot.

Ron Brand: Where is that?

Al Hellaby: The seventh one down it says "the Suburban Propane".

Ron Brand: Just take out "but not used".

Dorothy Borgus: It is still active I think.

Al Hellaby: It is still active they use it all the time.

Paul Wanzenried: Correct me if I am wrong Al, did C&M not have a spur too I believe they have a spur

going.

David Dunning: He has talked about it I don't know that they have got it approved.

Al Hellaby: I don't know if they ever moved forward with it.

David Dunning: I don't either.

Ron Brand: But this spur here the former Case Hoyt was removed.

Al Hellaby: It is gone but they added one American Packaging one.

Paul Wanzenried: American Packaging.

Al Hellaby: American Packaging is in the process of putting one in right now.

Paul Wanzenried: Actually, I think they are connecting it today.

David Dunning: Yea so, we will want to add that.

Dorothy Borgus: The one at the former Case Hoyt Facility is gone.

David Dunning: Was it.

Ron Brand: It was removed in the early 1990's.

Paul Wanzenried: I would not go that far, I bet you I could go trip over a track out there.

Dorothy Borgus: I bet you could.

David Dunning: It might be more fair to say that it was.

Paul Wanzenried: No longer utilized.

David Dunning: Yea, decommissioned.

Paul Wanzenried: Oh, that is a nice word.

David Dunning: You like that word better.

Dorothy Borgus: I don't know about the next part of that sentence.

Paul Wanzenried: That is the former sheet metal workers that is gone.

Al Hellaby: Yea it is gone.

Dorothy Borgus: That is gone. You want to leave that in there or.

David Dunning: Is it relevant?

Dorothy Borgus: Is it relevant I mean right take that out.

Paul Wanzenried: Because it does not talk about the spur that comes off 30 Airline Drive.

David Dunning: So you just need to make reference that Suburban still has active spur, American Packaging now has a spur and that the one from the Case Hoyt was decommissioned but it is still there.

Dorothy Borgus: And leave it at that.

David Dunning: Yea.

Al Hellaby: What happened to the one that fed Gottrey's?

David Dunning: Who?

Al Hellaby: Gottrey's.

David Dunning: That would have been the sheet metal workers one.

Paul Wanzenried: Sheet metal workers.

David Dunning: It would have been the same section because they back up into each other.

Al Hellaby: Oh, I see what you are saying.

David Dunning: They back up to the same spur.

Ron Brand: Okay.

David Dunning: Anything else on 2-46?

Dorothy Borgus: I did not have anything more.

David Dunning: 2-47?

Paul Wanzenried: Yes. The first paragraph it is not "Shaffer" it is "Sheffer". I am pretty sure that Schaffer does not exist.

Ron Brand: Sheffer?

Paul Wanzenried: Yes sir.

Jim Ignatowski: I don't know if this is important or not but R&S doesn't haul coal anymore it is just that

the plant closed on the lake the power station.

Dorothy Borgus: It is just hauls salt?

Al Hellaby: Pretty much, it is miscellaneous odds and ends.

Jim Ignatowski: Yea.

Dorothy Borgus: It says primary there, so coal should come out of there.

Jim Ignatowski: Yea I checked their website they do not haul it.

Dorothy Borgus: Oh.

David Dunning: Anything else on 2-47?

Dorothy Borgus: I was good with the rest of that page.

Jim Ignatowski: Just a question on the water supply. Didn't they put a new intake on the lake?

David Dunning: I have no idea.

Jim Ignatowski: Near Webster.

Ron Brand: Webster yes it goes down through Penfield, Perinton serves Victor.

Jim Ignatowski: All right, it does not serve out here then.

David Dunning: No.

Jim Ignatowski: Okay then.

Ron Brand: They just put into to elevate that water.

David Dunning: Oh in Greece, North Greece there.

Al Hellaby: Monroe County Water Authority did all kinds of main work to feed Scottsville and I know that there is a booster pad or something that they can roll a pump in on Morgan Road that ties from the two pieces coming up out of the ground. I guess it is not that important it back feeds Scottsville somehow but I know they did all kinds of main work down through there eight or ten years ago now.

Jim Ignatowski: Is it the buildings.

Al Hellaby: No, there is no building over this one; it is just two hunks of pipe coming out of the ground.

Jim Ignatowski: I know that they built something on the corner there of Morgan and Union.

Al Hellaby: Yea that has been there for a long time now that one has.

Jim Ignatowski: Yea what is inside there though?

Al Hellaby: That is part of the pump system off that tank up Union Street but that has been there.

David Dunning: Anything on 2-48?

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, coming from the bottom going up it is the second paragraph "Two developments". Home Trends it is not Home Trends that would be Leisure Living and or Dan Rand properties, whichever one they are both the same company.

Dorothy Borgus: I know what they are called Leisure Living and what?

Paul Wanzenried: No just, call it Leisure Living.

Dorothy Borgus: Instead of Home Trends okay.

Paul Wanzenried: You probably want to add American Packaging to that too.

Dorothy Borgus: So it would be three developments instead of two.

Paul Wanzenried: Uh huh.

David Dunning: Are they still outside the district. I want to think that they are now. I want to say that they had to expand that district for American Packaging, which would then put these in that district.

Paul Wanzenried: Mr. Lindsay can't remember if they did a district extension or if they are just out rightly in the district but they are in the district.

David Dunning: That we kind of know I just did not know if they were actually if the district boundaries had been modified. Mr. Lindsay is looking at that. I want to say they open the district up.

Ron Brand: Then should this somehow read that previously two developments along Beaver Road, Leisure Living and the Cedars of Chili were provided service although they were outside the district. In 2018 with the American Packaging project, the GCO was extended to include American Packaging and as well as these other two.

David Dunning: I guess if that is the case if, we need to be that ribose about it then yea otherwise can we just say that.

Ron Brand: Either that or just take it out.

David Dunning: I would necessarily it may not be relevant anymore.

Dorothy Borgus: Take it out.

David Dunning: If they are in the district, it is not a big deal.

Paul Wanzenried: If they are in the district what is the point.

Ron Brand: Right.

David Dunning: It would just be an update to this to say, "since the previous update the district has been expanded to include previously excluded to properties" or something like that if, we need to say anything.

Ron Brand: I think you just take the whole thing out because you go onto talk about special out of district user agreements.

David Dunning: My guess is if a developer or somebody was to read this, they are not going to go back and compare it to the previous one correct.

Ron Brand: Right.

David Dunning: So any new information or changes are just that they are not comparing the old stuff.

Ron Brand: So.

David Dunning: Let's wait to see what David says because if they are it may be relevant that they are not in the district right or no does it matter whether they are or aren't in the district to us.

Jim Ignatowski: The only person it would matter to is someone else is going to put something down there that they know there is a district they can extend into for another business to go in.

David Dunning: Let's wait and see and go back to that. All right anything else on 2-48. Anything on 2-49?

Jim Ignatowski: Where are the two major lift stations?

David Dunning: What are you talking Jim?

Jim Ignatowski: At the top of the paragraph, it says, "Figure 2-8 shows the two major lift or pumping stations in Chili".

David Dunning: Is that the water authority or pure waters. I am not sure where they are.

Ron Brand: Two main pump stations one is over there on Union Street, though there is a number of them.

David Dunning: Well this statement says "two major lift or pumping stations".

Jim Ignatowski: Yea that was my guess what he was trying to say when I wrote this down there is no delineation on the map which is a standard.

Ron Brand: What does that add I mean if you take it out?

Dorothy Borgus: Well I guess I am a little bit nervous about taking too much out of that section. That is going to be the major.

Ron Brand: You are showing on Figure 2-8.

Paul Wanzenried: Those are in the district.

Ron Brand: Huh?

David Dunning: Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: They are in the district because they pump into district. Follow that, a, b, c is in the district they were already in the district they did not extend the district that was already in the district. So, the district must have extended for Home Trends and they owned that whole thing so the district would have extended down. Town of Chili is in it the Cedars is in it, people in the south of Beaver are not because they are all on septic up to Rose Hill.

David Dunning: Which is pumping up to the district.

Paul Wanzenried: Which is pumping up into the district.

David Dunning: But the houses aren't physically in the district.

Paul Wanzenried: No, Rose Hill is in the district the houses that are not a part of Rose Hill would not be in it.

David Dunning; Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: Do you want me to clarify that?

David Dunning: No I get you, I hear you. So that should just come out right.

Paul Wanzenried: I would.

David Dunning: That paragraph does not need to be there at all.

Paul Wanzenried: Unless you wanted to say, "special off district user give the privilege to require them the same charge" but you know.

Ron Brand: Well even.

Paul Wanzenried: Why even say that.

Ron Brand: Yea.

Dorothy Borgus: So we take that whole paragraph out that starts with "Two developments".

David Dunning: Yea.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

David Dunning: So back to our major – minor pumping stations.

Jim Ignatowski: Yea I looked on the map and there is no delineation which is a major and which is just a main pumping station so I was just a little confused by that.

Ron Brand: Just say that "figure shows the lift or pumping station".

Jim Ignatowski: Yea.

Dorothy Borgus: Take out.

Jim Ignatowski: Major.

Dorothy Borgus: Take out "two major".

David Dunning: Yea "two and major" go. Anything else on 2-49?

Dorothy Borgus: Okay then should you change the next sentence. "There is also a small number of lift pumping stations" they are all on the map right.

Jim Ignatowski: Yea.

Dorothy Borgus: Can't we take that paragraph out or that sentence out.

David Dunning: Yea.

Dorothy Borgus: Take the next one out right.

David Dunning: Anything else on 2-49?

Dorothy Borgus: I have a note here it says add to 2-9 which is we were going to move to 2-49 "small municipal storm water".

Ron Brand:Okay.

Dorothy Borgus: It looks like I wanted to move that all storm water management all into small municipal storm water sewer systems move it to that other page.

Ron Brand: Yea instead of calling it storm water.

Dorothy Borgus: Storm water management move it up to page 2-9.

Ron Brand: No, my notes says move this to 2-49 and call it small municipal storm water sewer system.

Dorothy Borgus: Oh okay I got you okay.

Ron Brand: MS4 storm water management.

Dorothy Borgus: And you did that or you're going to.

Ron Brand: Yea.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

David Dunning: Okay anything else on 2-49.

Ron Brand: And 2-50 is just continuing the.

David Dunning: Right.

Al Hellaby: Those last two paragraph on 2-50 is that still relevant.

Paul Wanzenried: I would have gone the last three and I wanted to ask about that to the "districts cannot only".

Al Hellaby: Didn't we consolidate everything finally.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes we did.

Al Hellaby: Yea this should all go away then I would think.

Paul Wanzenried: If we consolidated them, I forget where I was reading it about consolidation but this says that "each districts funds can only be spent on actions that benefit the district".

Al Hellaby: Right and that is not case.

David Dunning: We have a town wide drainage district now.

Al Hellaby: Yea so, this whole thing is no longer relevant in my eyes.

Dorothy Borgus; The last three paragraphs?

Al Hellaby: That and probably the next two pages as well. I mean somebody is going to have to go through this whole section I think.

Ron Brand: So you take the last three paragraphs out.

David Dunning; You might be more than that Ron.

Al Hellaby: I don't know if I would take it out without saying something about being a consolidated drainage district, you are going to have to rework this thing.

David Dunning: Back in 2010, 2011 the town went to from adding individual or adding people to drainage districts, we made it a town wide drainage district so it is all-inclusive at this point. So anything that refers to individual districts is no longer valid.

Dorothy Borgus: What year did we do this?

David Dunning: I think it was 2010 or 2011 Dorothy but don't quote me on that but I think it is close.

Dorothy Borgus: I was thinking it was 2010 but it could be 2011.

Ron Brand: Call the Town Clerk and ask her whether it was 2010 or 2011 or just go with 2010 unless somebody wants to spend the time researching it.

Paul Wanzenried: If you go back to 2-50 and you start some older developments as a result yards, basements the town struggles to correct these problems and apply appropriate" why do we struggle. Why is it even relevant?

Dorothy Borgus: What on 2-50?

Paul Wanzenried: Yea why do I need to say the town struggles?

David Dunning: Because we do.

Dorothy Borgus: But I thought we were taking out the last three paragraphs where are you on 2-50.

Paul Wanzenried: You have to eliminate emotion.

Al Hellaby: You are correct.

Paul Wanzenried: That is a factual document not (inaudible) which is why we are getting rid of the anger and resentful.

Dorothy Borgus: I thought we were taking those three paragraphs out totally.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes, any revision will start after.

David Dunning: Yea we need to look at this whole thing and we will identify the year that it happened but how that impacts the rest this from here forward I think right. How that impacts these statements at all.

Dorothy Borgus: Right.

David Dunning: Because you know, the districts are not means so there are things in here that would probably need to be redone.

Jim Ignatowski: If you went to one district, wouldn't this just all disappear?

David Dunning: I don't know there might be some relevance to some of this. I think identifying some of the challenges still that the town has within the drainage district do deal with things like being able to fund certain thing which would be important to have some mention of some of the challenges in this so we could at least continue to use the Comprehensive Plan as a funding mechanism or a support funding mechanism. So how this gets done I don't know. I think that would be a good opportunity for Paul to take a look at and kind of massage a little bit with David Lindsay.

Paul Wanzenried: What are Lindsay and I massaging?

David dunning; Anything to do with eliminating some of those statements and more tie in with the town wide drainage district without so I would say that first under the storm water management I would look at that whole section. Anybody disagree.

Dorothy Borgus: No that sounds good.

David Dunning: Look at the whole section and see if there is a better way to manage that. We okay with that.

Paul Wanzenried: Yep.

David Dunning: So we are going to be good with that up to gas and electric on 2-52, anything on 2-52 gas and electric.

Dorothy Borgus: We are going to take out that last paragraph on 2-51.

David Dunning: They are going to look at the whole thing there Dorothy. David Lindsay and Paul will look storm water section there.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

David Dunning: 2-49 - 2-51 I think it is or even some of 2-52.

Paul Wanzenried: Well 2-52 it even says, "the town is now in the process of creating a consolidated drainage district" so right there you have it.

David Dunning: Right.

Paul Wanzenried: See there, my job is done already.

David Dunning: No, it isn't because we have already done that. You still have to capture some of the mechanisms inside.

Paul Wanzenried: I got it.

David Dunning: Gas and electricity.

Al Hellaby: At the end of that do you want to make mention all of "24-inch high pressure main" and all that happy crap they have been doing up there on 2-52 and it runs over the top of 2-53.

Paul Wanzenried: Well they are essentially extending gas service south of Beaver Road for all intense purposes.

David Dunning: Well there is that high-pressure line that went in, it has gone in since and there is the replacement line that has gone in or going in.

Paul Wanzenried: But they are also extending it out to.

Al Hellaby: The property owners all now have gas going up and down Ballantyne.

Paul Wanzenried: Which never had gas before.

Al Hellaby: Reed Road and they have done a lot of work out there.

David Dunning: But that was done in order to replace a line I believe.

Paul Wanzenried: No, that is all brand new.

Al Hellaby: No, that is all brand new we never had gas. We have been fighting with these people for years over this.

David Dunning: Oh, I thought that was a replacement.

Al Hellaby: That was part of the deal when I let them come through the back forty is buddy you want that you have to give me this.

Ron Brand: So who has the history on that?

Al Hellaby: I could tell you where it went I mean you would probably want to talk to RG&E engineer that did if you want maps or something.

Ron Brand: Oh, that will go over good.

Paul Wanzenried: La Bella.

Jim Ignatowski: Don't you guys still have the plans from the architectural meetings they showed the locations of the lines when they put up those buildings.

Paul Wanzenried: No but we can get them from La Bella though.

David Dunning: I will work on it; I will work on it to revise that section. I have all the maps I have all the data.

Paul Wanzenried: Lindsay would have it too.

David Dunning: Lindsay does too yea. We can revise that section; just give me a week or two. Anything else on 2-52, we should be good then, 2-53.

Ron Brand: Are we going to "refuse disposal"?

Note: At this time, the tape was asked to be stopped at 1 hour and 16 minutes.

David Dunning: Anything else on 2-53?

Dorothy Borgus: Is Union Processing now part of Metalico should that be taken out Union Processing.

David Dunning: Union Scrap Processing no, they are separate.

Dorothy Borgus: They are still separate.

Paul Wanzenried: No, they are still separate.

David Dunning: Oh yea.

Dorothy Borgus: I thought that was Metalico okay.

David Dunnng: It is now Union Scrap Processing is the official name of it.

Al Hellaby: The town is not running their own leaf shredding are they, are they using the county stuff down there.

Paul Wanzenried: No, we don't do that anymore. You mean the one on Avion Drive for leaves; no, we are not a part of that anymore. If you want them go down to Merante Field in the parking lot there is about a ten-foot pile there.

David Dunning: We are hauling them out. They are all being hauled out now we have to. We have to clear it out for the Community Center.

Paul Wanzenried: We are no longer doing it Al.

At this time the Supervisor's Secretary brings in a document.

David Dunning: April 13, 2011 Consolidated Drainage District was approved.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay.

Ron Brand: Does it say how many districts there were.

David Dunning: I doubt it.

Ron Brand: Somehow 49 sticks out in the back of my brain matter.

David Dunning: Well there were 3,344 parcels. Here you go.

Dorothy Borgus: So we are going to add that word to refuse disposal and other than that, it is okay. Now development since 2000 should we change that heading.

Paul Wanzenried: Since 2015.

Dorothy Borgus: Huh?

Paul Wanzenried: So 2000 becomes 2015.

Ron Brand: It became 2011.

David Dunning: 2017, oh when we adopted.

Dorothy Borgus: Should be 2011. Is that figure number still going to remain after we change these around a little bit is still going to be 2-9.1 that is right yea.

David Dunning: Uh huh. You are just going to change everything to 2011 right.

Ron Brand: Yes.

Dorothy Borgus: And then in that one sentence you are going to change that to 2011 is that what you are saying. Okay. We are still on 2-53.

David Dunning: Yes.

Dorothy Borgus: Is that table 2-4 is that still the correct table?

David Dunning: I thought we were taking that out.

Paul Wanzenried: I thought that was 2-5.

Dorothy Borgus: I was thinking that was not the right.

David Dunning: Oh yea that is the wetland summary.

Dorothy Borgus: Right.

Ron Brand: Table 2-4 is a summary of Chili Zoning District.

David Dunning: No Table 2-1 I am looking at page 2-4. Where is Table 2-4?

Ron Brand: On page 2-53.

David Dunning; No the table itself.

Dorothy Borgus: We are questioning the table; the table number in the second paragraph under "Land Development Regulations" is that still table 2-4.

Ron Brand: Yea because right below that is table 2-4 Summary of the Chili Zoning Districts.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay. I see what you are saying okay.

David Dunning: Oh, I was looking for a table, a chart or.

Ron Brand: If we had done, it would have been tiny words.

Dorothy Borgus: I was confusing figure and table. I got you.

Ron Brand: Whatever Paul has.

Paul Wanzenried: I don't have anything else on that page. If you turn to 2-54.

David Dunning: Well actually floodway district according to.

Paul Wanzenried: No, I agree with everything there. I looked it up I checked it out.

David Dunning: On our current code review.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes.

David Dunning: Because FW changed.

Paul Wanzenried: To what? Overlay?

David Dunning: Yea.

Paul Wanzenried: FWO.

David Dunning: No, it was yea I think so. FW is changing according to our code revisions.

Paul Wanzenried: New code revisions.

David Dunning: But I think you have that too Ron. I think that is what it was.

Paul Wanzenried: Why are RM that is where it first picks up "dwellings up to 2½ stories tall". Why is it 2½ stories the maximum height is 35 feet.

Ron Brand: So you want to say 35 feet instead of 2 ½ stories?

Paul Wanzenried: I want to be correct yea 2 ½ stories is arbitrary.

Dorothy Borgus: Is the square footage still there?

Paul Wanzenried: The square footage is correct on sites of at least 36,000 square feet.

Ron Brand: It should be "on" not "or".

Paul Wanzenried: Does this need to the order of these districts need to follow the order that is in the codebook. Because if I reference it I am referencing one going to another should does that matter.

David Dunning: The codebook is alphabetical is it not?

Paul Wanzenried: No.

David Dunning: No.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay then my next question would be would you orient these in districts of intensity (i.e.: your RB would be the first district, NB would be the second, and GB would be the third).

Ron Brand: Less density to greater density.

Paul Wanzenried: Correct. You agree with that.

Ron Brand: Yea that is fine.

David Dunning: Just to reorder it right.

Paul Wanzenried: Right.

David Dunning: You are talking about just reordering it; I have no problem with that.

Paul Wanzenried: Right. But does it matter.

David Dunning: The least intense use.

Ron Brand: If you have this already done.

Paul Wanzenried: If you would like I will type it up for you. I will type my changes or my comments to you in an email.

Ron Brand: That would be perfect rather than my trying to.

Paul Wanzenried: No, I am just going to ask questions now about this. There is only one property in the PUD.

Ron Brand: Right.

Paul Wanzenried: That is College Green, which we discussed recently. There is also only one property in the RPO and the RPO is not even in here.

Dorothy Borgus: What is that?

Paul Wanzenried: Residential Preservation Overlay.

David Dunning; Isn't that.

Paul Wanzenried: The daycare center in North Chili.

David Dunning: We talked about maybe re-zoning that.

Paul Wanzenried: I thought we did.

David Dunning: Because there was no in speaking with the Supervisor who did that or was a part of that zoning change they were trying to preserve the building for some reason and I did not understand the whole logic there.

Paul Wanzenried: It was a furniture store I believe.

David Dunning: Something like that but they were trying to preserve something that was happening in there end. No historical significance I think it was just.

Paul Wanzenried: There is apartments on the second floor.

David Dunning: Is there really.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes sir.

Ron Brand: I don't recall anything about it.

David Dunning: I think that we are going to strike that from the code.

Paul Wanzenried: RPO, yes I believe we are.

David Dunning: It is going to come out of the code so it won't need to be in here.

Paul Wanzenried: PUD should be struck too.

David Dunning: What should be?

Paul Wanzenried: PUD.

David Dunning: Planned Unit Development.

Ron Brand: The difficulty with that is that if you have a map district that you can't designate to some other classification.

Paul Wanzenried: I know I know. When you get to Neighborhood Business, we have intentions of taking out neighborhood pharmacies and replacing that with convenience stores based on our review. A lot of what I am going to say has to do with my knowledge of what is upcoming and trying to make that make this coordinate with that.

Dorothy Borgus: So you take out drug stores and add.

Paul Wanzenried: Neighborhood pharmacies.

David Dunning: Wouldn't it be more beneficial in a comprehensive plan to indicate the purpose rather than the uses. The codebook is the rule the comprehensive plan is a guide. So if we are going to talk about all these zoning districts wouldn't it just I think what is the purpose of a PRD, what is the purpose of a PUD rather than what is allowed inside of it. Because what is allowed inside of them will change and can change.

Ron Brand: Yea but this.

Paul Wanzenried: Interesting that you say that because my note for RB is that the district is intended as a buffer between residential and more intense use districts NB and RB. That is what it should say.

David Dunning: If we change these to the purpose rather than the use.

Dorothy Borgus: That is probably a good idea. Paul would be the one to do that or who is going to do that.

Ron Brand: He has got it all done.

Paul Wanzenried: I would be more than happy.

David Dunning: If you want to fine but I can do it rather simply because I can just cut and paste from one to the other.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay.

Dorothy Borgus: So who is going to, I am making notes here.

David Dunning: I will do it. Anything else.

Paul Wanzenried: When you get to 2-55.

David Dunning: Anybody before we move on anybody else go anything?

Paul Wanzenried: Again a Neighborhood Business District you use this in beauty shops and buildings up to two stories tall.

David Dunning: On where?

Paul Wanzenried: On the NB.

David Dunning: Oh well we are going to change all that anyways.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay well yea.

David Dunning: That will fix your RM problem that should fix them all. Are we going to eliminate the ADATOD?

Paul Wanzenried: No, you can't.

David Dunning: Yea we can't.

Paul Wanzenried: Not according to Ron you can't he just said you can't.

Ron Brand: Do you have a map ADATOD parcel.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes several.

David Dunning: Okay.

Paul Wanzenried: According to what Ron said, once you have mapped it, it is there you can't get rid of it.

Ron Brand: Unless you provide replacement, language saying that it is going to be just and then you have to go through a rezoning of each of the parcels.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes but if I have only in the instances going back if in the instances of the RPO there is just one property in the RPO. There is just one property in the PUD okay so if you wanted to rezone that property in the RPO it is no longer in the RPO therefore the RPO would no longer exist. There would be no property in the RPO.

Ron Brand: If you delete the RPO whatever the underlying zone is, is.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay then done it is an R1-15 that property.

David Dunning: All right let's get on from this. We will deal with this else wise, it is more of a code issue because we have talked about whatever was in the ADATOD we were going to put into the LI I believe it was.

Paul Wanzenried: Because that is the only place, ADATOD has kicked in.

David Dunning: Correct we were going to put those a couple one or two statements that make it an ADATOD so that the ADATOD would no longer be a zone that you would apply for that would be allowed in the LI so you would not have to get ADATOD anymore. But there are still properties that are going to have an ADATOD Overlay because they did not initially, even though it is a permitted their new they are preexisting business would still be conforming once we change and got rid of ADATOD, the underlying zone is still LI, and it would still be permitted in LI.

Paul Wanzenried: Agreed, but to what Ron is saying if we maneuver every criteria that makes it an ADATOD into the LI they are still LI's, the underlying district is still LI.

David Dunning: Right okay.

Ron Brand: Just like the RAO-20 in the mapped area in Ballantyne over there.

David Dunning: Yea. Anything else on 2-55.

Paul Wanzenried: Yes Chapter 115, I believe that is Chapter 500 in my book.

Ron Brand: Yea it is now.

Paul Wanzenried: Also, I would change "the principal and accessory uses that are allowed" no "that are permitted". Then I am going to get into the second paragraph there where we talk about "driveways, accessory uses or subordinate uses customary associated with and incidental to the principal uses driveways and garages" no "sheds and pools" would be a better way for single families.

Dorothy Borgus: Instead of driveways and garages.

Paul Wanzenried: Driveways are not an accessory use we don't monitor changed driveways except for road cuts.

Dorothy Borgus: So it should read.

Paul Wanzenried: "Sheds and pools" are the more prevalent accessory use.

Jim Ignatowski: Decks.

Paul Wanzenried: Decks thank you Jim that is probably better than pools. Then on the next paragraph we use the term "conditional use", they are not in our book. They are special permitted uses.

David Dunning: That changed since the last one.

Paul Wanzenried: So everywhere, you have "conditional" and there are three spots I found in that one paragraph needs to change to "special permitted uses". Then I had a question mark on the last statement "development standards for the various uses" and my question was, are they not standards per each district.

Ron Brand: If you say something is a "special permitted use", say you want a camping ground you have to have criteria for what a camping ground has to consist of. If you don't then the Planning Board has no basis for granting the special use permit.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay and in taking the use that you are going to use I don't know where I get the standard of how big my camp ground has to be how many acres it has to be or how sites I am allowed, anything like that it just tells me where it is in the district and whether it is a permitted or a specially permitted use.

David Dunning: But it also gives you the setbacks, in some cases, the acreage that is required that you are going to do.

Paul Wanzenried: But that is per district.

David Dunning: Well that is what this says, "for each exclusive zoning district the ordinance specifies development standards for various uses". That is the heading for each exclusive zoning district. So, for each one of these the development standards for various uses and we have those. We also have a little book that does that too. Am I right or am I missing something there Paul?

Paul Wanzenried: Maybe it is just me. I move on.

Ron Brand: Historically a lot of municipalities use to say, "special permitted uses in residential district included hospitals and things of that" there is no way in hell you are ever going to have a hospital in a residential district. I mean it is just not happening and if it did how would you determine the size of the hospital and all the amenities that had to go with it if you did not have criteria.

Paul Wanzenried: My point is that they are not for the use they are for the district.

Ron Brand: They are for the use in that district.

Paul Wanzenried: Okay. I don't have my book to discuss this topic further.

David Dunning: Am I hearing you right that you would say that "development standards for the various districts" rather than "uses".

Paul Wanzenried: I would just say "development standards" you are saying "for each exclusive zoning district the ordinance specifies development standards" period.

Ron Brand: That would change ordinance to regulations.

Dorothy Borgus: Let's do that.

Paul Wanzenried: All the ordinances.

Dorothy Borgus: What are we going to have here?

Paul Wanzenried: Okay let's change it to regulations I am good with that.

Dorothy Borgus: So what are we going to call it? "Development standards"?

David Dunning: "Development regulations".

Jim Ignatowski: "Development regulations" can always change.

Dorothy Borgus: "Development regulations for the various uses".

David Dunning: I would say "development regulations" period. Right?

Paul Wanzenried: Yes.

Dorothy Borgus: Just period "development regulations" period.

David Dunning: You have already talked about zoning districts so we are going to have "development regulations" for that zoning district. Regardless of the use, it will have a regulation.

Paul Wanzenried: I have a recreation area in an R-1-15 I can have a recreation area in an AC, this is where you get tripped up because it is now the same use but I am in a different district and different parameters that is my point.

Ron Brand: I agree.

David Dunning: Yea okay.

Ron Brand: As long as there are standards for it.

David Dunning: I know Ron has to get out of here.

Dorothy Borgus: Are we almost done?

David Dunning: Yea pretty much.

Paul Wanzenried: Yea see Ron let's hop onto the next page. You are redundant in the end sentence in the previous page, right.

David Dunning: That is a part of it.

Paul Wanzenried: I wrote add to the previous sentence.

Dorothy Borgus: I think it probably was it just was that.

David Dunning: It is it is just that it was on another page it is a carry over.

Ron Brand: Yea there is not a separate dash there.

David Dunning: Have you got anything on 2-56?

Paul Wanzenried: Yea where it says "the law" the first paragraph after the bulleted items.

David Dunning: "The law specifics various requirements".

Paul Wanzenried: Yea the last sentence "proposals can then be modified or rejected if significant potential problems are identified". Something about "potential problems". 'Compliance with the regulations" would be better. Because the Planning Board could sit up there and hash through several things that they may see or may not see, that may or may not come to fruition. Ultimately, they are looking for compliance with regulations first and foremost.

Ron Brand: So just take out "significant potential" and you are there.

David Dunning: Why do you even have that sentence?

Jim Ignatowski: Question though, what if Traffic and Safety is looking at and they see a potential problem with traffic, are they complying with the regulation or they just anticipating what is happening down the road.

Paul Wanzenried: You could.

Ron Brand: Well that sentence maybe ought to say, "proposals can be modified or rejected". If appropriate mitigation measures aren't determined, found or created.

Paul Wanzenried: Do you have to quantify, modify or rejected. Qualify is the word I want to use.

David Dunning: Upon appropriate findings.

Paul Wanzenried: That last sentence made me a little queasy.

David Dunning: Reading it I don't like the sentence at all, I don't know why we need it because that is a given. I mean the Planning Board can modify or reject.

Paul Wanzenried: Right.

.....

Dorothy Borgus: Yea why don't we just take the sentence out?

David Dunning: Yea. You have anything else on that page.

Paul Wanzenried: No sir.

David Dunning: Anybody else have anything on 2-56? And we have said that Ron is going to revise the planning department stuff. Airport reviews we are still going to have to wait for Mr. Lindsay on some stuff probably.

Paul Wanzenried: Well you want to short that airport review up, just stop it at the Monroe County Planning Department.

David Dunning: Yea.

Paul Wanzenried: Because there are other criteria's and if you draw seven-mile circle from the end of the runway at Paul Road, it pretty much encompasses 90% of the town.

Dorothy Borgus: Yea just, stop it after "Monroe County Planning Department".

David Dunning: Right.

Dorothy Borgus: That is good.

David Dunning: Because any other criteria would fall into that.

Paul Wanzenried: Right and be reviewed by them.

David Dunning: Anything else on 2-57?

Dorothy Borgus: Is that still figure 2-2 for the wetland areas? Is that still all the same?

David Dunning: The figures all stayed the same.

Dorothy Borgus: Figures stay the same.

David Dunning: The figures stayed the same the sub areas are the only thing that really changed Dorothy.

Dorothy Borgus: Okay just want to be sure.

David Dunning: Okay. I know Dorothy would also like kind of get a break here today, so I gave you the changes that I am suggesting on certain sections, they are on red on your things take a look at them. See what we need to do there I will try to continue to work on the schools I have a little more homework as does Paul and David and Ron. So, I think we are good right.

Dorothy Borgus: We are good.

David Dunning: Outstanding.

The next meeting of the committee will be TBD.

Meeting adjourned at 3:45 pm.